

Valuation of Ambulatory Surgery Centers (ASCs): Regulatory

As noted in the first installment of this five-part series, an *ambulatory surgery center* (ASC) is a distinct entity that primarily provides outpatient surgical procedures to patients who do not require an overnight stay after the procedure.¹ ASCs typically provide relatively uncomplicated surgical procedures in a non-hospital, outpatient setting, and most ASC cases are non-emergency, noninfected, and elective.² This fourth installment in this five-part series on the valuation of ASCs will discuss the regulatory environment in which ASCs operate.

Federal Fraud and Abuse Laws

The *Anti-Kickback Statute* (AKS) and the *Stark Law* are generally concerned with the same issue – the financial motivation behind patient referrals. However, while the AKS is broadly applied to payments between any healthcare industry actor and relates to any item or service that may be paid for under any federal healthcare program, the Stark Law specifically addresses the referrals from physicians to entities with which the physician has a financial relationship for the provision of defined services that are paid for by Medicare or Medicaid.³ Additionally, while violation of the Stark Law carries only civil penalties, violation of the AKS carries both criminal penalties (up to a five-year prison term per violation) and civil penalties.⁴ It is also important to note that many states also have “baby” Stark and AKS laws, which are more restrictive than their federal counterparts.⁵

AKS

The AKS makes it a felony for any person to “*knowingly and willfully*” solicit or receive, or to offer or pay, any “*remuneration*,” directly or indirectly, in exchange for the referral of a patient for a healthcare service paid for by a federal healthcare program.⁶ Of note, interpretation and application of the AKS under case law has created a precedent for a regulatory hurdle known as the “*one purpose*” test, under which test healthcare providers violate the AKS if even one purpose of the arrangement in question is to offer remuneration deemed illegal under the AKS.⁷

The *Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act* (ACA) made two additional changes to the intent standards related to the AKS. First, the legislation amended the AKS by stating that a person need not have *actual knowledge* of the AKS or specific intent to commit a violation of the AKS for the government to prove a kickback violation.⁸ However, the ACA did not remove the requirement that a person must “*knowingly and willfully*” offer or pay remuneration for referrals in order to violate the AKS.⁹ Therefore, in order to prove a violation of the AKS, the government must show that the defendant was aware that the conduct in question was “*generally unlawful*,” but not that the conduct specifically violated the AKS.¹⁰ Second, the ACA provided that a violation of the AKS is sufficient to state a claim under the *False Claims Act* (FCA).¹¹ The amended AKS points out that liability under the FCA is “[i]n addition to the penalties provided for in [the AKS]...”¹² This suggests that in addition to civil monetary penalties paid under the AKS, violation of the AKS would create additional liability under the FCA, which itself carries civil monetary penalties of over \$21,500 plus treble damages.¹³

Due to the broad nature of the AKS, legitimate business arrangements may appear to be prohibited.¹⁴ In response to these concerns, Congress created a number of statutory exceptions and delegated authority to the *U.S. Department of Health and Human Services* (HHS) to protect certain business arrangements by means of promulgating several safe harbors,¹⁵ which set forth regulatory criteria that, if met, shield an arrangement from regulatory liability, and are meant to protect transactional arrangements unlikely to result in fraud or abuse.¹⁶ Failure to meet all of the requirements of a safe harbor does not necessarily render an arrangement illegal.¹⁷

Under the AKS, ASCs are treated differently. ASCs must meet specific AKS safe harbor provisions: the entity must be certified in accordance with applicable regulations; the entity’s operating and recovery room space must be exclusively dedicated to the ASC; all patients referred to the entity by an investor must be fully informed of the investor’s ownership interest; and, all the following applicable standards must be met within one of the following categories set forth in the Table 1:

Table 1: ASC Exceptions to the AKS¹⁸

	A	B	C	D	E
	Category	Surgeon-Owned ASC	Single-Specialty ASC	Multi-Specialty ASC	Hospital/Physician ASC
1	Investor	General surgeons or surgeons engaged in the same surgical specialty, who are in a position to refer patients directly to the ASC and perform surgery on such referred patients;	Physicians engaged in the same medical practice specialty who are in a position to refer patients directly to the entity and perform procedures on such referred patients;	Physicians who are in a position to refer patients directly to the entity and perform procedures on such referred patients;	A hospital; and,
2		Surgical group practices comprised exclusively of such surgeons; or,	Group medical practices composed exclusively of such physicians; or,	Group medical practices composed exclusively of such physicians; or,	General surgeons or surgeons engaged in the same surgical specialty, who are in a position to refer patients directly to the ASC and perform surgery on such referred patients;
3		Individuals not employed by the ASC or any other investor, not in a position to provide items or services to the entity or any other investors, and not in a position to make or influence referrals directly or indirectly to the ASC or any other investors	Individuals not employed by the ASC or any other investor, not in a position to provide items or services to the entity or any other investors, and not in a position to make or influence referrals directly or indirectly to the ASC or any other investors	Individuals not employed by the ASC or any other investor, not in a position to provide items or services to the entity or any other investors, and not in a position to make or influence referrals directly or indirectly to the ASC or any other investors	Physicians engaged in the same medical practice specialty who are in a position to refer patients directly to the entity and perform procedures on such referred patients;
4					Physicians who are in a position to refer patients directly to the entity and perform procedures on such referred patients;
5					Surgical group practices comprised exclusively of such surgeons;
6					Group medical practices composed exclusively of such physicians; or,
7					Individuals not employed by the ASC or any other investor, not in a position to provide items or services to the entity or any other investors, and not in a position to make or influence referrals directly or indirectly to the ASC or any other investors

	A	B	C	D	E
	Category	Surgeon-Owned ASC	Single-Specialty ASC	Multi-Specialty ASC	Hospital/Physician ASC
8		The investment terms offered to an investor may not be tied to the previous or expected number of referrals, services furnished, or the amount of business for the entity otherwise generated by the investor;	The investment terms offered to an investor may not be tied to the previous or expected number of referrals, services furnished, or the amount of business for the entity otherwise generated by the investor;	The investment terms offered to an investor may not be tied to the previous or expected number of referrals, services furnished, or the amount of business for the entity otherwise generated by the investor;	The investment terms offered to an investor may not be tied to the previous or expected number of referrals, services furnished, or the amount of business for the entity otherwise generated by the investor;
9		At least one-third of the surgeon investor's practice income for the prior fiscal year or the prior 12-month period must come from the surgeon's performance of procedures;	At least one-third of the surgeon investor's practice income for the prior fiscal year or the prior 12-month period must come from the surgeon's performance of procedures;	At least one-third of the surgeon investor's practice income for the prior fiscal year or the prior 12-month period must come from the surgeon's performance of procedures;	Neither the entity nor any investor can loan funds or guarantee a loan for an investor if the investor uses any portion of the loan to acquire the investment interest;
10	Standards	Neither the entity nor any investor can loan funds or guarantee a loan for an investor if the investor uses any portion of the loan to acquire the investment interest;	Neither the entity nor any investor can loan funds or guarantee a loan for an investor if the investor uses any portion of the loan to acquire the investment interest;	At least one-third of the procedures performed by each physician investor must be performed at the investment entity;	An investor's payment in return for their investment must be directly proportional to the amount of capital they invested;
11		An investor's payment in return for their investment must be directly proportional to the amount of capital they invested;	An investor's payment in return for their investment must be directly proportional to the amount of capital they invested;	Neither the entity nor any investor can loan funds or guarantee a loan for an investor if the investor uses any portion of the loan to acquire the investment interest;	The ASC, the hospital and any physician investors must treat patients receiving medical benefits or assistance under any healthcare program in a nondiscriminatory manner;
12		Ancillary services performed for beneficiaries of federal healthcare programs must be related to the primary procedures performed at the ASC, and may not be billed separately to Medicare or other federal healthcare programs; and,	Ancillary services performed for beneficiaries of federal healthcare programs must be related to the primary procedures performed at the ASC, and may not be billed separately to Medicare or other federal healthcare programs; and,	An investor's payment in return for their investment must be directly proportional to the amount of capital they invested;	The ASC may not use (1) space, including operating and recovery room space located in or owned by any hospital investor, unless the space lease complies with the space rental safe harbor; (2) equipment provided by any hospital investor unless the equipment lease complies with the equipment rental safe harbor; nor (3) services provided by any hospital investor unless the services contract complies with the personal services and management contracts safe harbor;

	A	B	C	D	E
	Category	Surgeon-Owned ASC	Single-Specialty ASC	Multi-Specialty ASC	Hospital/Physician ASC
13	Standards	The ASC and any investors must treat patients receiving medical benefits or assistance under any healthcare program in a nondiscriminatory manner.	The ASC and any investors must treat patients receiving medical benefits or assistance under any healthcare program in a nondiscriminatory manner.	Ancillary services performed for beneficiaries of federal healthcare programs must be related to the primary procedures performed at the ASC, and may not be billed separately to Medicare or other federal healthcare programs; and,	Ancillary services performed for beneficiaries of federal healthcare programs must be related to the primary procedures performed at the entity, and may not be billed separately to Medicare or other federal healthcare programs;
14				The ASC and any investors must treat patients receiving medical benefits or assistance under any healthcare program in a nondiscriminatory manner.	The hospital's report, or any other claim for payment from a federal healthcare program, may not include any costs associated with the ASC unless the federal healthcare program requires their inclusion; and,
15					

Stark Law

The Stark Law governs those physicians (or their immediate family members) who have a financial relationship (i.e., an ownership investment interest or a compensation arrangement) with an entity, and prohibits those individuals from making Medicare referrals to those entities for the furnishing of *designated health services* (DHS).¹⁹ DHS encompasses the following items and services:

- (1) Clinical laboratory services;
- (2) Physical therapy services;
- (3) Occupational therapy services;
- (4) Radiology services, including magnetic resonance imaging, computerized axial tomography scans, and ultrasound services;
- (5) Radiation therapy services and supplies;
- (6) Durable medical equipment and supplies;
- (7) Parenteral and enteral nutrients, equipment, and supplies;
- (8) Prosthetics, orthotics, and prosthetic devices and supplies;
- (9) Home health services;
- (10) Outpatient prescription drugs;
- (11) Inpatient and outpatient hospital services; and,
- (12) Outpatient speech-language pathology services.²⁰

ASCs are generally not subject to Stark Law restrictions, because they typically do not furnish DHS. However, in the event that the ASC is performing DHS (e.g., radiology services), and that DHS is not reimbursed by Medicare as part of a composite rate,²¹ then any financial relationship between the physicians and the hospital, and their connection to the ASC, may be subject to Stark, the application of which regulations (and any appropriate exceptions) would be determined by the structure of the financial relationship between the parties (e.g., direct/indirect, compensation/ownership investment).

Certificate of Need

Certificate of Need (CON) laws present market entry barriers for potential ASCs.²² CON programs have the major goal of controlling costs by restricting provider capital expenditures.²³ The rationale behind CON laws mainly originates from the belief that healthcare does not operate like other markets to correct excess supply, and healthcare is plagued by market failures resulting in excess supply and needless duplication of some services, causing overall costs to rise.²⁴

ASCs located in a state with a CON law must complete a regulatory review process in order to obtain a certificate.²⁵ Currently, 27 states have CON laws relating to the opening of an ASC.²⁶ Of note, states without CON laws restricting the formation of ASCs have slightly more ASCs per 100,000 individuals on average than states with CON laws restricting ASCs.²⁷

Future Regulatory Trends

As mentioned in the October 2019 *Health Capital Topics* articles titled, “Proposed Anti-Kickback Statute Changes: Healthcare Valuation Implications,”²⁸ and “Proposed Stark Law Changes: Healthcare Valuation Implications,”²⁹ significant modernization and clarification of fraud and abuse laws have been outlined in the most recently proposed rule changes by federal regulators.

It is important to note that, despite the stance of the current presidential administration toward de-regulating healthcare,³⁰ the regulatory scrutiny of healthcare entities (especially with regard to fraud and abuse violations) has generally increased in recent years. Due to the nature of AKS, and its criminal and civil penalties, disregarding federal and state regulation of the ASC industry may result in more than an unassuming fine. With the level of regulation of the ASC industry intensifying,³¹ ASC operators increasingly need to pay heed to current regulations and understand how future regulatory developments may affect the industry going forward.

- 1 “Chapter 5: Ambulatory Surgical Center Services” in “Report to Congress: Medicare Payment Policy” Medicare Payment Advisory Commission, March 2019, p. 127-129.
- 2 “Ambulatory surgical centers: Development and management” By Thomas R. O’Donovan, Aspen Systems Corp, 1976, p. xiv.
- 3 “COMPARISON OF THE ANTI-KICKBACK STATUTE AND STARK LAW” Office of Inspector General, 2019, <https://oig.hhs.gov/compliance/provider-compliance-training/files/StarkandAKSChartHandout508.pdf> (Accessed 10/16/19).
- 4 *Ibid.*
- 5 See “State Health Care Fraud Law: An AHLA 50-State Survey with Summaries and Links” American Health Lawyers Association Fraud and Abuse Practice Group: Washington, D.C., September 9, 2018, available at: <https://www.healthlawyers.org/store/Pages/Product-Details.aspx?productId=%7B3B609F69-E8B1-E811-80DF-0050569E287F%7D> (Accessed 12/3/19).
- 6 “Criminal Penalties for Acts Involving Federal Health Care Programs” 42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7b(b)(1).
- 7 “Re: OIG Advisory Opinion No. 15-10” By Gregory E. Demske, Chief Counsel to the Inspector General, Letter to [Name Redacted], July 28, 2015, <https://oig.hhs.gov/fraud/docs/advisoryopinions/2015/AdvOpn15-10.pdf> (Accessed 10/15/19), p. 4-5; “U.S. v. Greber” 760 F.2d 68, 69 (3d Cir. 1985).
- 8 “Health Care Reform: Substantial Fraud and Abuse and Program Integrity Measures Enacted” McDermott Will & Emery, April 12, 2010, p. 3; “Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act,” Pub. L. No. 111-148, § 6402, 124 Stat. 119, 759 (March 23, 2010).
- 9 “Health Care Fraud and Abuse Laws Affecting Medicare and Medicaid: An Overview” By Jennifer A. Staman, Congressional Research Service, September 8, 2014, <https://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/RS22743.pdf> (Accessed 10/16/19), p. 5.
- 10 *Ibid.*
- 11 McDermott Will & Emery, April 12, 2010, p. 3; “Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act,” Pub. L. No. 111-148, § 6402, 124 Stat. 119, 759 (March 23, 2010).
- 12 “Liability under subchapter III of chapter 37 of title 31” 42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7b(g) (2013).
- 13 “False claims” 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1) (2013).
- 14 Demske, July 28, 2015, p. 5.
- 15 *Ibid.*
- 16 “Medicare and State Health Care Programs: Fraud and Abuse; Clarification of the Initial OIG Safe Harbor Provisions and Establishment of Additional Safe Harbor Provisions Under the Anti-Kickback Statute; Final Rule” Federal Register, Vol. 64, No. 223 (November 19, 1999), p. 63518-63520.
- 17 “Re: Malpractice Insurance Assistance” By Lewis Morris, Chief Counsel to the Inspector General, United States Department of Health and Human Services, Letter to [Name redacted], January 15, 2003, <http://oig.hhs.gov/fraud/docs/alertsandbulletins/MalpracticeProgram.pdf> (Accessed 10/15/19), p. 1.
- 18 “Exceptions: Ambulatory Surgery Centers” 42 C.F.R. § 1001.952(r) (2015).
- 19 “Limitation on Certain Physician Referrals” 42 U.S.C. § 1395nn(a).
- 20 “Limitation on Certain Physician Referrals” 42 U.S.C. § 1395nn(h)(6)(A).
- 21 The regulations specifically note that “DHS do not include services that are reimbursed by Medicare as part of a composite rate (for example, SNF Part A payments or ASC services identified at §416.164(a)), except to the extent that services listed in paragraphs (1)(i) through (1)(x) of this definition are themselves payable through a composite rate (for example, all services provided as home health services or inpatient and outpatient hospital services are DHS).” “Definitions” 42 C.F.R. § 411.351.
- 22 “IBISWorld Industry Report 0D5971 Ambulatory Surgery Centers in the US” By Dmitry Diment, IBISWorld, August 2019, p. 22.
- 23 “Chapter 8: Miscellaneous Subjects” Department of Justice, June 25, 2015, <https://www.justice.gov/atr/chapter-8-miscellaneous-subjects#1a> (Accessed 10/15/19).
- 24 *Ibid.*
- 25 Diment, IBISWorld, August 2019, p. 22.
- 26 “CON-Certificate of Need State Laws” National Conference of State Legislatures, February 28, 2019, <http://www.ncsl.org/research/health/con-certificate-of-need-state-laws.aspx> (Accessed 10/11/19).
- 27 “CON versus non-CON states: Which regions boast more Medicare-certified ASCs?” By Mary Rechteris, Becker’s ASC Review, June 15, 2017, <https://www.beckersasc.com/asc-coding-billing-and-collections/con-versus-non-con-states-which-regions-boast-more-medicare-certified-asc.html> (Accessed 10/15/19).
- 28 “Proposed Anti-Kickback Statute Changes: Healthcare Valuation Implications” Health Capital Topics, Vol. 12, Issue 10 (October 2019), available at: https://www.healthcapital.com/hcc/newsletter/10_19/PDF/AKS.pdf (Accessed 12/16/19).
- 29 “Proposed Stark Law Changes: Healthcare Valuation Implications” Health Capital Topics, Vol. 12, Issue 10 (October 2019), available at: https://www.healthcapital.com/hcc/newsletter/10_19/PDF/STAR K.pdf (Accessed 12/16/19).
- 30 “Executive Order Minimizing the Economic Burden of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act Pending Repeal” The White House, January 20, 2017, Federal Register Vol. 82, No. 14, p. 8351-8352.
- 31 Diment, IBISWorld, August 2019, p. 29.



(800)FYI - VALU

*Providing Solutions
in the Era of
Healthcare Reform*

Founded in 1993, HCC is a nationally recognized healthcare economic financial consulting firm

- [HCC Home](#)
- [Firm Profile](#)
- [HCC Services](#)
- [HCC Experts](#)
- [Clients & Projects](#)
- [HCC News](#)
- [Upcoming Events](#)
- [Contact Us](#)
- [Email Us](#)

HCC Services

- [Valuation Consulting](#)
- [Commercial Reasonableness Opinions](#)
- [Commercial Payor Reimbursement Benchmarking](#)
- [Litigation Support & Expert Witness](#)
- [Financial Feasibility Analysis & Modeling](#)
- [Intermediary Services](#)
- [Certificate of Need](#)
- [ACO Value Metrics & Capital Formation](#)
- [Strategic Consulting](#)
- [Industry Research Services](#)



[Todd A. Zigrang](#), MBA, MHA, CVA, ASA, FACHE, is the President of HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC), where he focuses on the areas of valuation and financial analysis for hospitals, physician practices, and other healthcare enterprises. Mr. Zigrang has over 25 years of experience providing valuation, financial, transaction and strategic advisory services nationwide in over 2,000 transactions and joint ventures. Mr. Zigrang is also considered an expert in the field of healthcare compensation for physicians, executives and other professionals.

Mr. Zigrang is the co-author of "[The Adviser's Guide to Healthcare – 2nd Edition](#)" [2015 – AICPA], numerous chapters in legal treatises and anthologies, and peer-reviewed and industry articles such as: *The Accountant's Business Manual* (AICPA); *Valuing Professional Practices and Licenses* (Aspen Publishers); *Valuation Strategies*; *Business Appraisal Practice*; and, *NACVA QuickRead*. In addition to his contributions as an author, Mr. Zigrang has served as faculty before professional and trade associations such as the American Society of Appraisers (ASA); American Health Lawyers Associate (AHLA); the American Bar Association (ABA); the National Association of Certified Valuators and Analysts (NACVA); Physician Hospitals of America (PHA); the Institute of Business Appraisers (IBA); the Healthcare Financial Management Association (HFMA); and, the CPA Leadership Institute.



[Jessica L. Bailey-Wheaton](#), Esq., is Senior Vice President & General Counsel of HCC, where she focuses on project management and consulting services related to the impact of both federal and state regulations on healthcare exempt organization transactions, and research services necessary to support certified opinions of value related to the Fair Market Value and Commercial Reasonableness of transactions related to healthcare enterprises, assets, and services. She has presented before associations such as the American Bar Association and NACVA.



[John R. Chwarzinski](#), MSF, MAE, is Senior Vice President of HCC, where he focuses on the areas of valuation and financial analysis of healthcare enterprises, assets and services. Mr. Chwarzinski holds a Master's Degree in Economics from the University of Missouri – St. Louis, as well as, a Master's of Science in Finance Degree from the John M. Olin School of Business at Washington University in St. Louis. He has presented before associations such as the National Association of Certified Valuators and Analysts; the Virginia Medical Group Management Association; and, the Missouri Society of CPAs. Mr. Chwarzinski's areas of expertise include advanced statistical analysis, econometric modeling, and economic and quantitative financial analysis.



[Daniel J. Chen](#), MSF, CVA, focuses on developing Fair Market Value and Commercial Reasonableness opinions related to healthcare enterprises, assets, and services. In addition he prepares, reviews and analyzes forecasted and pro forma financial statements to determine the most probable future net economic benefit related to healthcare enterprises, assets, and services and applies utilization demand and reimbursement trends to project professional medical revenue streams and ancillary services and technical component (ASTC) revenue streams. Mr. Chen holds the Certified Valuation Analyst (CVA) designation from NACVA.



[Paul M. Doelling](#), MHA, FACMPE, has over 25 years of healthcare valuation and operational management experience and he has previously served as an administrator for a number of mid to large-sized independent and hospital-owned physician practice groups. During that time, he has participated in numerous physician integration and affiliation initiatives. Paul has authored peer-reviewed and industry articles, as well as served as faculty before professional associations such as the Medical Group Management Association (MGMA) and the Healthcare Financial Management Association (HFMA). He is a member of MGMA, as well as HFMA where he previously served as President of the Greater St. Louis Chapter.