

### CMS Auditing Series: More Stringent Provider Penalties

The Office of Inspector General (OIG) recently announced newly expected recoveries of up to \$3.4 billion from penalties tied to unlawful misconduct in the provision of federally funded healthcare programs from October 2010 and March 2011.<sup>1</sup> The OIG further estimated that approximately \$222 million, more than 60 percent of the funds recovered, will likely be recouped from audits conducted by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS).<sup>2</sup> These audits can result in various penalties beyond simple reconciliation, such as civil fines and criminal prosecution. The OIG may also opt to exclude individuals or entities from participating in federally funded healthcare programs as a result of misconduct.<sup>3</sup> In the fourth and final installment of the *CMS Auditing Series*, this article examines the provider penalties associated with CMS audits.

CMS Medicare and Medicaid audits identify fraudulent billing practices. Once uncovered, providers may be subject to repayment, regulatory sanctions, and civil fines. Fines are levied against providers or organizations when sufficient evidence shows false or fraudulent claims, negligence in patient care, anti-kickback statute or Stark Law violations, as well as other violations.<sup>4</sup> These regulatory penalties are typically coupled with the expectation that the sanctioned party will repay all falsely collected funds.<sup>5</sup>

Providers have the right to appeal accusations of fraud and abuse. To do so, the accused parties must request a hearing before an administrative law judge (ALJ) within the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. If the ALJ finds against the provider, accused parties may appeal the ALJ's decision administratively and to a federal court. Most cases, however, are resolved through negotiation and settlement with the OIG before a hearing occurs.<sup>6</sup> While many violations result in monetary fines, governmental agencies are increasingly seeking criminal prosecution as well.

As CMS auditing gains momentum, the federal government is concurrently prosecuting more cases involving fraudulent billings and payments.<sup>7</sup> The OIG estimates that approximately \$3.2 billion in expected recoveries will result from criminal and civil actions against individuals and entities suspected of healthcare-related fraud or other financial misconduct.<sup>8</sup> Criminal statutes addressing Medicare and Medicaid fraud include the False Claims Act, which holds providers

liable for false or fictitious claims or demands made to the government, and the False Statements Act, which prohibits providers from hiding or falsifying statements, representations, or documentation related to Federal government correspondence. The Social Security Act, the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, and the Federal Mail and Wire Fraud statutes also contain provisions that apply to healthcare providers.<sup>9</sup> For most statutes, the maximum criminal punishment is five years in prison and up to \$500,000 in fines.<sup>10</sup> Although not generally enforced against healthcare providers, some court cases have expanded criminal sanctions for wire fraud (fraud accomplished through electronic communications) to 20 years in prison.<sup>11</sup>

The most egregious offenses under Medicare, Medicaid, SCHIP, or other state healthcare programs, result in a mandatory exclusion from federally funded healthcare programs. By law, OIG must exclude any individual or entity with a felony conviction of healthcare-related fraud, theft, or other financial misconduct. A direct relation between the conviction and a federal or state program is not necessary for a mandatory exclusion to apply. Convictions for patient abuse or neglect, as well as felonies associated with the illegal manufacturing, distributing, prescribing, or dispensing of controlled substances, may also result in the individual or entity being denied program participation.<sup>12</sup>

Permissive exclusions are left to the discretion of the OIG, which is generally utilized under the following circumstances: misdemeanor convictions of healthcare-related fraud or the illegal manufacturing, distributing, prescribing, or dispensing of controlled substances; fraud in any non-healthcare program funded by a government agency; or the suspension, revocation, or surrender of professional licensure related professional competence, professional performance, or financial integrity. Many additional factors may also affect OIG exclusion decisions, including the provision of unnecessary or substandard services; health education loan or scholarship obligation avoidance; acting as a sanctioned entity owner, officer, or managing employee; anti-kickback statute violations; or false or fraudulent claim submissions.<sup>13</sup>

Efforts to crack down on healthcare fraud are set to expand over the next decade, as additional funding, manpower, and legal provisions are dedicated to

(Continued from previous page)

enforcement activities.<sup>14</sup> While providers may face numerous penalties some note, “*various Medicare and Medicaid auditing initiatives have sharply lowered erroneous excess payments under the programs over the past three years . . . and new initiatives will lower such mistaken spending further.*”<sup>15</sup> The overall error rate in Medicare alone has fallen 1.6 percent in the past year, resulting in savings of approximately \$12 billion.<sup>16</sup> System improvements protect both the integrity of federally funded healthcare programs and the welfare of these programs’ beneficiaries.<sup>17</sup>

1 “Inspector General: Audits, Legal Actions May Net Up to \$3.4 Billion” Office of Inspector General, June 1, 2011, [http://oig.hhs.gov/newsroom/news-releases/2011/sar\\_release.asp](http://oig.hhs.gov/newsroom/news-releases/2011/sar_release.asp) (Accessed 11/18/2011).

2 Ibid.

3 “Exclusion of Certain Individuals and Entities from Participation in Medicare and State Health Care Programs” 42 U.S.C. 1320a-7, §1128 (January 7, 2011); Obligations of Health Care Practitioner and Providers of health Care Services; Sanctions and Penalties; Hearings and Review” U.S.C. 1320c-5, §1156 (January 7, 2011).

4 “Civil Monetary Penalties and Affirmative Exclusions” Office of Inspector General, <http://oig.hhs.gov/fraud/enforcement/cmp/index.asp> (Accessed 11/18/2011); “Criminal Prosecutions for Medicare and Medicaid Fraud” By Mark L. Bennett Jr., Bennett & Dillon L.L.P., Posted on Association of American Physicians and Surgeons (AAPS), <http://www.aapsonline.org/>

fraud/fraud.htm (Accessed 11/18/2011).

5 OIG, “Civil Monetary Penalties and Affirmative Exclusions.”

6 Ibid.

7 Bennett, “Criminal Prosecutions for Medicare and Medicaid Fraud.”

8 OIG, “Inspector General: Audits, Legal Actions May Net Up to \$3.4 Billion” 2011.

9 Bennett, “Criminal Prosecutions for Medicare and Medicaid Fraud.”

10 False Claims Act, 18 U.S.C. § 287 (October 27, 1986); False Statements Act, 18 U.S.C. §1001 (July 27, 2006); Social Security Act: 42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7 (January 7, 2011); Federal Mail and Wire Fraud: 18 U.S.C. §§1341, 1343 (January 7, 2008).

11 Skilling v U.S., 130 S.Ct. 2896 (June 24, 2010).

12 “Exclusions: Background Information” Office of Inspector General, <http://oig.hhs.gov/exclusions/background.asp> (Accessed 11/18/2011).

13 Ibid.

14 “Top 12 Uncertainties Hovering Over Healthcare” By Cheryl Clark, HealthLeaders (November 21, 2011), Accessed at <http://www.healthleadersmedia.com/content/LED-273486/Top-12-Uncertainties-Hovering-Over-Healthcare.html> (Accessed 11/28/2011).

15 “White House Cites Progress on Payment Errors” By Rich Daly, Modern Healthcare (November 15, 2011), Accessed at <http://modernhealthcare.com/article/20111115/NEWS/311159964/?template=printp> (Accessed 11/18/2011).

16 Ibid.

17 “Medicare Fraud & Abuse: Prevention, Detection, and Reporting” Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (October 2011), Accessed at [https://www.cms.gov/MLNProducts/downloads/Fraud\\_and\\_Abuse.pdf](https://www.cms.gov/MLNProducts/downloads/Fraud_and_Abuse.pdf) (Accessed 11/21/2011).



**(800) FYI - VALU**  
*Providing Solutions  
in the Era of  
Healthcare Reform*

Founded in 1993, HCC is a nationally recognized healthcare economic financial consulting firm

- [HCC Home](#)
- [Firm Profile](#)
- [HCC Services](#)
- [HCC Experts](#)
- [Clients Projects](#)
- [HCC News](#)
- [Upcoming Events](#)
- [Contact Us](#)
- [Email Us](#)

**HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)** is an established, nationally recognized healthcare financial and economic consulting firm headquartered in St. Louis, Missouri, with regional personnel nationwide. Founded in 1993, HCC has served clients in over 45 states, in providing services including: valuation in all healthcare sectors; financial analysis, including the development of forecasts, budgets and income distribution plans; healthcare provider related intermediary services, including integration, affiliation, acquisition and divestiture; Certificate of Need (CON) and regulatory consulting; litigation support and expert witness services; and, industry research services for healthcare providers and their advisors. HCC's accredited professionals are supported by an experienced research and library support staff to maintain a thorough and extensive knowledge of the healthcare reimbursement, regulatory, technological and competitive environment.



**Robert James Cimasi**, MHA ASA, MCBA, AVA, CM&AA, President. Mr. Cimasi is a nationally recognized healthcare industry expert, with over 25 years experience in serving clients, in 49 states, with a professional focus on the financial and economic aspects of healthcare industry including: valuation consulting; litigation support & expert testimony; business intermediary and capital formation services; certificate-of-need and other regulatory and policy planning; and, healthcare industry

transactions, joint ventures, mergers and divestitures.

Mr. Cimasi holds a Masters in Health Administration from the University of Maryland, and several professional certifications. He has been certified and has served as an expert witness on cases in numerous states, and has provided testimony before federal and state legislative committees.

Mr. Cimasi is a nationally known speaker on healthcare industry topics, is the author of several nationally published books, chapters, published articles, research papers and case studies, and is often quoted by healthcare industry press. Mr. Cimasi's latest book, "The U.S. Healthcare Certificate of Need Sourcebook", was published in 2005 by Beard Books. In 2006, Mr. Cimasi was honored with the prestigious "Shannon Pratt Award in Business Valuation" conferred by the Institute of Business Appraisers and was elevated to the Institute's College of Fellows in 2007.



**Todd A. Zigrang**, MHA, MBA, ASA, FACHE, Senior Vice-President. Mr. Zigrang has over twelve years experience in providing valuation, financial analysis, and provider integration services to HCC's clients nationwide. He has developed and implemented hospital and physician driven MSOs and networks involving a wide range of specialties; developed a physician-owned ambulatory surgery center; participated in the evaluation and negotiation of managed care contracts, performed

valuations of a wide array of healthcare entities; participated in numerous litigation support engagements; created pro-forma financials; written business plans and feasibility analyses; conducted comprehensive industry research; completed due diligence analysis; overseen the selection process for vendors, contractors, and architects; and, developed project financing.

Mr. Zigrang holds a Masters in Business Administration and a Master of Science in Health Administration from the University of Missouri at Columbia. He holds the Certified Healthcare Executive (CHE) designation from, and is a Diplomat of, the American College of Healthcare Executives and a member of the Healthcare Financial Management Association.



**Anne P. Sharamitaro**, Esq., Vice President. Ms. Sharamitaro focuses on the areas of Certificate of Need (CON); regulatory compliance, managed care, and antitrust consulting. Ms. Sharamitaro was admitted to the Missouri after graduating with J.D. and Health Law Certificate from St. Louis University School of Law. At St. Louis University, served as an editor and staff member of the Journal of Health Law, published by the American Health Lawyers Association. She has presented healthcare

industry related research papers before Physician Hospitals of America (f/k/a American Surgical Hospital Association) and the National Association of Certified Valuation Analysts.