

Regulatory Scrutiny for Physician Compensation Continues

Since the beginning of September 2015, the *Department of Justice* (DOJ) and the *Office of Inspector General* (OIG) have announced three major settlements with health systems relating to physician compensation issues. In *United States ex rel. Barker v. Columbus Regional Healthcare System et al.*, the Columbus, Georgia-based organization Columbus Regional Healthcare System agreed to pay a minimum of \$25 million to settle allegations of healthcare fraud & abuse through excessive physician compensation and upcoding of physician services.¹ In *U.S. ex rel. Reilly v. North Broward Hospital District*, the Broward County, Florida-based government hospital, North Broward Hospital District, agreed to pay \$69.5 million to settle allegations of tracking physician referral dollars to offset the losses of employing physicians in violation of the Stark Law.² Finally, in *U.S. ex rel. Payne et al. v. Adventist Health System et al.*, Adventist Health System agreed to pay \$115 million to settle allegations of employing physicians at a loss, which would be covered by referrals for inpatient and ancillary services by the employed physicians, in violation of the Stark Law.³ The short timeframe between the settlement announcements, coupled with the high dollar value of the settlements, reflect the OIG's continued push to scrutinize physician compensation arrangements after the OIG issued its "*Special Fraud Alert*" on physician compensation issues in June 2015⁴ and should put organizations and boards of directors on high alert for potential regulatory violations. This Health Capital Topics article will review the allegations contained in each lawsuit and discuss how these cases highlight how healthcare organizations may be prudent to seek additional protection in their efforts to maintain compliance with federal fraud and abuse laws.

Of the three recent cases settled with the DOJ and OIG, two broke the inglorious record for largest settlement amount without litigation in relation to the *Stark Law*. The first of the three cases was settled between the government and Columbus Regional Healthcare System for \$25 million, with the potential for Columbus Regional to pay an additional \$10 million.⁵ In *U.S. ex rel. Barker v. Columbus Regional Healthcare System*, a relator, or whistleblower, filed two lawsuits alleging, in part, that Columbus Regional physicians, particularly oncologists, associated with an affiliated cancer center, were compensated in excess of *fair market value* (FMV)

for their physician salaries as well as through medical directorship arrangements that were not *commercially reasonable*.⁶ The complaints also alleged that Columbus Regional submitted claims to federal healthcare programs for upcoded physician services that were inappropriate based on patients' medical records.⁷ At the time of filing, the relator had served as an administrative director at a cancer center within Columbus Regional; however, the relator had experience with healthcare compliance issues through previous employment as a compliance officer for another healthcare company.⁸

In addition to payment by Columbus Regional, the settlement agreement also provided that one of the physicians who allegedly received excessive salary and directorship payments from Columbus Regional agreed to be held liable to pay \$425,000 to resolve the allegations.⁹ The individual lawsuit is yet another reminder that the government is also holding individuals liable for their role in healthcare fraud and abuse violations. As mentioned in previous *Health Capital Topics* articles, this heightened focus on individual liability emphasizes the importance of physicians and boards of directors to ensure that physician compensation arrangements can withstand regulatory scrutiny.¹⁰

Less than two weeks after the Columbus Regional settlement, the DOJ and OIG announced a then-record breaking settlement with Florida-based North Broward Hospital District to resolve allegations of fraudulent financial arrangements with referring physicians.¹¹ The lawsuit, which settled for \$69.5 million, alleged that the hospital district compensated nine physicians in excess of FMV for their services, at levels which were not commercially reasonable, and at levels that took into account the volume or value of referrals by the physicians.¹² In this case, the relator alleged that North Broward's financial strategists purposely tracked the physicians' referrals in "*Contributive Margin Reports*," which were then used to cover the "*massive direct losses*" from excessively compensating the physicians.¹³ This lawsuit highlights a particular approach utilized by the OIG and DOJ to determine whether to intervene in a healthcare fraud and abuse case filed by a relator: that employing physicians at a loss can be a sign of excessive physician compensation under the Stark Law.

The complaint alleges that, for North Broward, employing physicians at a loss is not a “commercially sustainable business model,” alleging that employing physicians at a loss “is only sustainable by anticipating and allocating hospital referral profits to cover the massive direct losses from excessive physician compensation.”¹⁴ Furthermore, it emphasizes the government’s use of the one-purpose test, which states that if even one purpose of a compensation arrangement is to induce referrals, then the entire arrangement violates the *Anti-Kickback Statute*.¹⁵

The most recent of the three settlements arose from a group of relators’ claim against Adventist Health System for over-compensating and rewarding physicians in an effort to induce referrals.¹⁶ The settlement, which broke the record set only one week prior by North Broward for largest award to the government for Stark Law violations without a trial, amounted to a staggering \$115 million dollars.¹⁷ The complaint, filed a group of relators associated with compliance activities within the Adventist system,¹⁸ alleged that Adventist repeatedly authorized non-commercially reasonable compensation arrangements that exceeded FMV with physicians such that the hospitals would have been forced to operate at a loss, but for the referrals generated by the physicians.¹⁹ The physicians allegedly received bonuses that were tied to the number of tests and procedures ordered, and also allegedly coded their services improperly in order to obtain a higher reimbursement amount for the services rendered.²⁰ Examples alleged in the relators’ complaint included: (1) payment by Adventist of a physician’s luxury car leases; (2) payment by Adventist for a physician’s private practice supplies, staff, equipment, and malpractice insurance; and, (3) payment of a \$710,000 bonus by Adventist to a dermatologist who worked part-time.²¹

These three settlements highlight both the government’s heightened pursuit of physician compensation arrangements in light of its June 2015 fraud alert as well as its development of its attitude toward employment of a *trained and assembled physician workforce* (TAWF) by hospitals and health systems. Each of the complaints were similar in that each alleged that the physicians were compensated at rates that could only be commercially reasonable if they took into account the value or volume of referrals. Additionally, the complaints alleged that the physicians at issue received remuneration for their services that did not fit within the range of FMV. However, arguably the most troubling thread connecting these three cases is that the government, through the relators, argued that the hospitals employed physicians at a loss, which was offset by the physicians’ alleged referrals. This assumption seems to imply that a hospital cannot employ physicians at a loss without committing healthcare fraud, or in other terms, that hospitals have to employ physicians at a gain. This attitude towards physician employment could discourage hospitals from

hiring physicians, and it seems to defeat the purpose for physicians to join a hospital, since a physician who must increase hospital profits would be incentivized to work in private practice instead, wherein he/she could keep the profits for him/herself. Additionally, this approach ignores two critical facts that help guide determinations of value for TAWF:

- (1) “[I]ndividual discrete intangible assets may hold value even in the absence of positive net cash flow being generated by the enterprise in its entirety;” and,
- (2) “[T]he possibility that there may be economic benefit of avoided costs that potential purchasers obtain by purchasing an assembled, trained workforce that fits into the strategic mission of the purchasing organization.”²²

It may be beneficial for healthcare organizations to consider these three cases when examining their own compliance programs. Early preventive measures to pinpoint any potential areas of concern could significantly reduce the likelihood of a future healthcare fraud investigation or lawsuit. Additionally, providers may feel more comfortable with obtaining a certified opinion, prepared in compliance with professional standards by an independent credential valuation professional (under the advice of legal counsel) and supported by adequate documentation, as to whether each of the elements of a proposed transaction are both at FMV and commercially reasonable, so as to establish a risk adverse, defensible position that the transactional arrangement, including physician compensation arrangements, can withstand regulatory scrutiny.

-
- 1 “United States ex rel. Barker v. Columbus Regional Healthcare System et al.,” Case No. 4-14-cv-304 (M.D.Ga. December 29, 2014), Relator’s Complaint, p. 13, 14, 27; “Georgia Hospital System and Physician to Pay More than \$25 Million to Settle Alleged False Claims Act and Stark Law Violations” U.S. Department of Justice, September 4, 2015, <http://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/georgia-hospital-system-and-physician-pay-more-25-million-settle-alleged-false-claims-act-and> (Accessed 9/16/15).
 - 2 “United States ex rel. Reilly v. North Broward Hospital District, et al.,” Case No. 10-60590-CV (S.D.Fla. September 11, 2012), Relator’s Third Amended Complaint Under Federal False Claims Act, p. 29-31; “Florida Hospital District Agrees to Pay United States \$69.5 Million to Settle False Claims Act Allegations” U.S. Department of Justice, September 15, 2015, <http://www.justice.gov/usaosdf/pr/floridahospitaldistrictagreespayunitedstates695millionsettlefalseclaimsact> (Accessed 9/16/15).
 - 3 “United States ex rel. Payne et al. v. Adventist Health System et al.,” Case No. 3:12cv856-W (W.D.N.C. February 13, 2013), Relator’s Amended Complaint, p. 56-57; “Adventist Health System Agrees to Pay \$115 Million to Settle False Claims Act Allegations” U.S. Department of Justice, September 21, 2015, <http://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/adventist-health-system-agrees-pay-115-million-settle-false-claims-act-allegations> (Accessed 9/22/15).
 - 4 “Fraud Alert: Physician Compensation Arrangements May Result in Significant Liability” Office of Inspector General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, June 9, 2015, http://oig.hhs.gov/compliance/alerts/guidance/Fraud_Alert_Physician_Compensation_06092015.pdf (Accessed 10/12/15).

-
- 5 “Georgia Hospital System and Physician to Pay More than \$25 Million to Settle Alleged False Claims Act and Stark Law Violations” By DOJ, September 4, 2015, <http://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/georgia-hospital-system-and-physician-pay-more-25-million-settle-alleged-false-claims-act-and> (Accessed 10/9/15).
- 6 “United States ex rel. Barker v. Columbus Regional Healthcare System et al.,” Case No. 4-14-cv-304 (M.D.Ga. December 29, 2014), Relator’s Complaint, p. 13, 14, 27; “United States ex rel. Barker v. Columbus Regional Healthcare System et al.,” Case No. 4-12-cv-108 (M.D. Ga., May 10, 2013), Relator’s Amended Complaint, p. 11; “Georgia Hospital System and Physician to Pay More than \$25 Million to Settle Alleged False Claims Act and Stark Law Violations” By DOJ, September 4, 2015, <http://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/georgia-hospital-system-and-physician-pay-more-25-million-settle-alleged-false-claims-act-and> (Accessed 10/9/15).
- 7 “U.S. ex rel. Barker v. Columbus Regional Health System” Case No. 4:12-cv-304 (M.D. Ga May 10, 2013), Amended Complaint, p. 21.
- 8 “U.S. ex rel. Barker v. Columbus Regional Health System” Case No. 4:12-cv-304 (M.D. Ga May 10, 2013), Amended Complaint, p. 3.
- 9 “Georgia Hospital System and Physician to Pay More than \$25 Million to Settle Alleged False Claims Act and Stark Law Violations” By DOJ, September 4, 2015, <http://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/georgia-hospital-system-and-physician-pay-more-25-million-settle-alleged-false-claims-act-and> (Accessed 10/9/15).
- 10 See July 2015’s “Fraud Alert Puts Added Pressure on Physicians” and the 3-part series on Commercial Reasonableness and ACOs in August, September, and October 2015.
- 11 “Adventist Health System to pay \$118.7 million settlement over Stark, False Claims allegations” By Lisa Schencker, Modern Healthcare, September 21, 2015, <http://www.modernhealthcare.com/article/20150921/NEWS/150929974> (Accessed 10/9/15).
- 12 “Florida Hospital District Agrees to Pay United States \$69.5 Million to Settle False Claims Act Allegations” By DOJ, September 15, 2015, <http://www.justice.gov/usao-sdfl/pr/florida-hospital-district-agrees-pay-united-states-695-million-settle-false-claims-act> (Accessed 10/9/15); “U.S. ex rel. Reilly v. North Broward Hospital District” Case No. 10-60590-CV (S.D.Fla. September 11, 2012), Relator’s Third Complaint under Federal False Claims Act, p. 8.
- 13 “U.S. ex rel. Reilly v. North Broward Hospital District” Case No. 10-60590-CV (S.D.Fla. September 11, 2012), Relator’s Third Complaint under Federal False Claims Act, p. 28-31.
- 14 “United States ex rel. Reilly v. North Broward Hospital District, et al.,” Case No. 10-60590-CV (S.D.Fla. September 11, 2012), Relator’s Third Amended Complaint Under Federal False Claims Act, p. 31.
- 15 “United States ex rel. Reilly v. North Broward Hospital District, et al.,” Case No. 10-60590-CV (S.D.Fla. September 11, 2012), Relator’s Third Amended Complaint Under Federal False Claims Act, p. 26.
- 16 “Adventist Health System Agrees to Pay \$115 Million to Settle False Claims Act Allegations” By DOJ, September 21, 2015, <http://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/adventist-health-system-agrees-pay-115-million-settle-false-claims-act-allegations> (Accessed 10/9/15).
- 17 *Ibid.*
- 18 “U.S. ex rel. Payne v. Adventist Health System et al.” Case no. 3:12cv856-W (W.D.N.C. February 13, 2013), Relator’s Amended Complaint p. 9-10.
- 19 “U.S. ex rel. Payne v. Adventist Health System et al.” Case no. 3:12cv856-W (W.D.N.C. February 13, 2013), Relator’s Amended Complaint p. 4-6.
- 20 DOJ, September 21, 2015.
- 21 U.S. ex rel. Payne v. Adventist Health System et al.” Case no. 3:12cv856-W (W.D.N.C. February 13, 2013), Relator’s Amended Complaint, p. 72, 77.
-



(800) FYI - VALU

*Providing Solutions
in the Era of
Healthcare Reform*

Founded in 1993, HCC is a nationally recognized healthcare economic financial consulting firm

- [HCC Home](#)
- [Firm Profile](#)
- [HCC Services](#)
- [HCC Experts](#)
- [Clients & Projects](#)
- [HCC News](#)
- [Upcoming Events](#)
- [Contact Us](#)
- [Email Us](#)

HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC) is an established, nationally recognized healthcare financial and economic consulting firm headquartered in St. Louis, Missouri, with regional personnel nationwide. Founded in 1993, HCC has served clients in over 45 states, in providing services including: valuation in all healthcare sectors; financial analysis, including the development of forecasts, budgets and income distribution plans; healthcare provider related intermediary services, including integration, affiliation, acquisition and divestiture; Certificate of Need (CON) and regulatory consulting; litigation support and expert witness services; and, industry research services for healthcare providers and their advisors. HCC's accredited professionals are supported by an experienced research and library support staff to maintain a thorough and extensive knowledge of the healthcare reimbursement, regulatory, technological and competitive environment.



Robert James Cimasi, MHA, ASA, FRICS, MCBA, CVA, CM&AA, serves as Chief Executive Officer of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, a nationally recognized healthcare financial and economic consulting firm headquartered in St. Louis, MO, serving clients in 49 states since 1993. Mr. Cimasi has over thirty years of experience in serving clients, with a professional focus on the financial and economic aspects of healthcare service sector entities including: valuation consulting and capital formation services; healthcare industry transactions including joint ventures, mergers, acquisitions, and divestitures; litigation support & expert testimony; and, certificate-of-need and other regulatory and policy planning consulting.

Mr. Cimasi holds a Master in Health Administration from the University of Maryland, as well as several professional designations: Accredited Senior Appraiser (ASA – American Society of Appraisers); Fellow Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (FRICS – Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors); Master Certified Business Appraiser (MCBA – Institute of Business Appraisers); Accredited Valuation Analyst (AVA – National Association of Certified Valuators and Analysts); and, Certified Merger & Acquisition Advisor (CM&AA – Alliance of Merger & Acquisition Advisors). He has served as an expert witness on cases in numerous courts, and has provided testimony before federal and state legislative committees. He is a nationally known speaker on healthcare industry topics, the author of several books, the latest of which include: “*Accountable Care Organizations: Value Metrics and Capital Formation*” [2013 - Taylor & Francis, a division of CRC Press], “*The Adviser’s Guide to Healthcare*” – Vols. I, II & III [2010 – AICPA], and “*The U.S. Healthcare Certificate of Need Sourcebook*” [2005 - Beard Books]; and, “*Healthcare Valuation: The Financial Appraisal of Enterprises, Assets, and Services*” was published by John Wiley & Sons in 2014. Mr. Cimasi is the co-author of the soon-to-be released “*Adviser’s Guide to Healthcare – 2nd Edition*” [2015 – AICPA].

Mr. Cimasi is the author of numerous additional chapters in anthologies; books, and legal treatises; published articles in peer reviewed and industry trade journals; research papers and case studies; and, is often quoted by healthcare industry press. In 2006, Mr. Cimasi was honored with the prestigious “*Shannon Pratt Award in Business Valuation*” conferred by the Institute of Business Appraisers. Mr. Cimasi serves on the Editorial Board of the Business Appraisals Practice of the Institute of Business Appraisers, of which he is a member of the College of Fellows. In 2011, he was named a Fellow of the Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (RICS).



Todd A. Zigrang, MBA, MHA, ASA, FACHE, is the President of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, where he focuses on the areas of valuation and financial analysis for hospitals, physician practices, and other healthcare enterprises. Mr. Zigrang has over 20 years of experience providing valuation, financial, transaction and strategic advisory services nationwide in over 1,000 transactions and joint ventures. Mr. Zigrang is also considered an expert in the field of healthcare compensation for physicians, executives and other professionals.

Mr. Zigrang is the co-author of the soon-to-be released “*Adviser’s Guide to Healthcare – 2nd Edition*” [2015 – AICPA], numerous chapters in legal treatises and anthologies, and peer-reviewed and industry articles such as: *The Accountant’s Business Manual* (AICPA); *Valuing Professional Practices and Licenses* (Aspen Publishers); *Valuation Strategies; Business Appraisal Practice*; and, *NACVA QuickRead*. Additionally, Mr. Zigrang has served as faculty before professional and trade associations such as the American Society of Appraisers (ASA); the National Association of Certified Valuators and Analysts (NACVA); Physician Hospitals of America (PHA); the Institute of Business Appraisers (IBA); the Healthcare Financial Management Association (HFMA); and, the CPA Leadership Institute.

Mr. Zigrang holds a Master of Science in Health Administration (MHA) and a Master of Business Administration (MBA) from the University of Missouri at Columbia. He is a Fellow of the American College of Healthcare Executives (FACHE) and holds the Accredited Senior Appraiser (ASA) designation from the American Society of Appraisers, where he has served as President of the St. Louis Chapter, and is current Chair of the ASA Healthcare Special Interest Group (HSIG).



John R. Chwarzinski, MSF, MAE, is Senior Vice President of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**. Mr. Chwarzinski holds a Master’s Degree in Economics from the University of Missouri – St. Louis, as well as, a Master’s Degree in Finance from the John M. Olin School of Business at Washington University in St. Louis. Mr. Chwarzinski’s areas of expertise include advanced statistical analysis, econometric modeling, as well as, economic and financial analysis.



Jonathan T. Wixom, MBA, is Vice President of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**. Mr. Wixom holds a Master of Business Administration degree from Washington University, a Bachelor of Arts in Economics from St. Louis University, and a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration from St. Louis University. Mr. Wixom’s areas of expertise include valuation consulting, financial analysis, due diligence, and financial modeling. He is a member of the St. Louis Chapter of the American Society of Appraisers, as well as a Level III Candidate in the Chartered Financial Analyst Program.



Jessica L. Bailey-Wheaton, Esq., is Senior Counsel of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, where she conducts project management and consulting services related to the impact of both federal and state regulations on healthcare exempt organization transactions and provides research services necessary to support certified opinions of value related to the Fair Market Value and Commercial Reasonableness of transactions related to healthcare enterprises, assets, and services. Ms. Bailey is a member of the Missouri and Illinois Bars and holds a J.D., with a concentration in Health Law, from Saint Louis University School of Law, where she served as Fall Managing Editor for the *Journal of Health Law & Policy*.



Kenneth J. Farris, Esq., is a Research Associate at **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, where he provides research services necessary to support certified opinions of value related to the Fair Market Value and Commercial Reasonableness of transactions related to healthcare enterprises, assets, and services, and tracks impact of federal and state regulations on healthcare exempt organization transactions. Mr. Farris is a member of the Missouri Bar and holds a J.D. from Saint Louis University School of Law, where she served as the 2014-2015 Footnotes Managing Editor for the *Journal of Health Law & Policy*.