

## ACOs Achieving Quality with Shared Savings

This article is the first in a three-part Health Capital Topics series focusing on quality trends in *Accountable Care Organizations* (ACO). The driving purpose behind the development and implementation of ACOs is to shift reimbursement in the healthcare industry to incentivize “quality care rather than quantity of care,” by encouraging healthcare providers to find methods by which to improve care coordination and safety, as well as promote preventive health services.<sup>1</sup> This series will focus on how ACOs can improve the quality of healthcare delivered to consumers.

The *Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services* (CMS) has developed and implemented two federal ACO programs to support the creation and sustainability of ACOs – the *Pioneer ACO Model* and the *Medicare Shared Savings Program* (MSSP). These two Medicare ACO models provide various levels of shared savings for ACOs that commit to providing quality care. The *Advanced Payment Model*, which operates under the MSSP,<sup>2</sup> provides resources to MSSP ACOs that could benefit from added support for creating the infrastructure and network to support an ACO,<sup>3</sup> particularly smaller ACOs with less access to capital.<sup>4</sup>

The potential amount of shared savings that an ACO may achieve differs depending on the type of Medicare ACO model utilized. MSSP ACOs still receive payments under a *Fee-For-Service* (FFS) reimbursement model, but when the ACOs enter the program, they can choose one of two tracks, which will afford ACOs shared savings if the ACO actually saves money, and quality performance standards have been met.<sup>5</sup> The two tracks are:

- (1) Track 1: Shared Savings Only for the Initial Agreement. “*Shared savings are calculated for each performance year...[but] ACOs are not held accountable for losses.*”<sup>6</sup> Under this track, ACOs are eligible for up to 50% of the shared savings.<sup>7</sup>
- (2) Track 2: Shared Savings and Shared Losses for All Years of the Agreement. “*ACOs will be eligible for a higher sharing rate, with a higher performance payment limit...[but] share in losses in return for the opportunity for a higher share of savings.*”<sup>8</sup> Under this track, ACOs are eligible for up to 60% of the shared savings.<sup>9</sup>

To determine any shared savings and losses, CMS establishes an annual benchmark based on the previous three years of data on per-beneficiary expenditures, and adjusts for absolute growth in national per capita expenditures.<sup>10</sup> Additionally, under Track 1, a *Minimum Savings Rate* (MSR) is calculated to ensure that expenditure levels below the benchmark are not just yearly fluctuations, creating a corridor around the established benchmark which must be met or exceeded.<sup>11</sup> For Track 2, a *Minimum Loss Rate* (MLR) is calculated to aid in establishing whether the ACO must share in losses. CMS describes the calculations required to determine eligibility for shared savings as follows:

*“To calculate savings or losses, the ACO’s per capita, risk-adjusted Medicare expenditures in each performance year is compared to its updated benchmark. If actual expenditures are lower than the updated benchmark and savings meet or exceed the MSR, the ACO may receive shared savings. Under the two-sided model only, if actual expenditures are higher than the benchmark and losses meet or exceed the MLR, a loss is incurred.”*<sup>12</sup>

To determine the actual dollar amount of savings, both Pioneer and MSSP ACOs must meet or exceed 33 quality benchmarks (which are expected to increase to 37 in 2015<sup>13</sup>) that fall into one of the following four categories/domains:

- (1) Patient/caregiver experience;
- (2) Care coordination/patient safety;
- (3) Preventive health; and,
- (4) At-risk population.<sup>14</sup>

Both Pioneer and MSSP ACOs have a “*ramp up*” period to start achieving these quality benchmarks based on their number of years in the program, with each year progressively holding ACOs more accountable for meeting or exceeding quality benchmarks. The breakdown is as follows:

- (1) *Performance Year 1* is a pay-for-reporting arrangement, whereby as long as an ACO accurately reports on all 33 measures, as well as meets their MSR, then they will be eligible for shared savings;<sup>15</sup>

- (2) *Performance Year 2* transitions to the pay-for-performance model, whereby ACOs are required to report on 25 of the 33 measures, on which their performance will be assessed, (with the remaining eight measures in a pay-for-reporting arrangement) as well as meet their MSR, to be eligible to receive shared savings;<sup>16</sup> and,
- (3) *Performance Year 3* will continue as a pay-for-performance model, whereby ACOs are required to report on 32 of the 33 measures, on which their performance will be assessed (with the remaining measure in a pay-for-reporting arrangement), as well as meet their MSR, in order to be eligible to receive shared savings.<sup>17</sup>

Under the pay-for-performance model, starting in year two, ACOs must be at least in the 30<sup>th</sup> percentile (or achieve 30% of the total points) in each domain to earn points, and in the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile (or achieve 90% of the total points) to achieve maximum points.<sup>18</sup> These points fall into the four aforementioned quality domains, with each domain contributing 25% to the total score. This total score is weighted, with the resulting percentage applied to the Maximum Sharing Rate (50% for Track 1 and 60% for Track 2) to determine the amount of shared savings.<sup>19</sup>

The Pioneer ACO Model follows a similar methodology for calculating shared savings as described for MSSP ACOs, as stated above, but with a greater risk potential per year since it operates solely under Track 2, whereby ACOs are responsible for sharing in losses. Pioneer ACOs share in saving and losses as follows:

- (1) In *Performance Year 1*, Pioneer ACOs are eligible for up to 60% of shared savings and shared losses;<sup>20</sup>
- (2) In *Performance Year 2*, Pioneer ACOs are eligible for up to 70% shared savings and shared losses;<sup>21</sup> and,
- (3) In *Performance Year 3*, if minimum average annual savings have been met in the previous two years (determined by CMS), then the ACO will enter into a population-based payment model, which is “a per-beneficiary per month payment amount intended to replace a significant portion of the ACOs Fee-For-Service payment with a prospective payment.”<sup>22</sup>

The switch to a population-based payment model is intended to allow Pioneer ACOs the flexibility to invest in infrastructure and other care coordination measures, as well as provide services which are not currently covered under the FFS system.<sup>23</sup>

While all of the Medicare ACO programs intend to reward organizations for quality care with shared savings payments, a recent study by Avalere Health Center for Payment and Delivery Innovation<sup>TM</sup> (Avalere) found a disconnect between achieving quality care and earning shared savings.<sup>24</sup> The MSSP ACOs

that were able to achieve higher quality scores did not always earn shared savings because they did not meet their MSR, outnumbering those who did earn savings by three to one.<sup>25</sup> There were 49 ACOs that were able to achieve shared savings because they met their MSR, and for *Performance Year 1*, accurately reported on all 33 quality measures. Of the MSSP ACOs achieving savings, 59% (29 out of the 49) had below average quality scores.<sup>26</sup> This study was based on “*Performance Year 1 quality performance results for ACOs with 2012 and 2013 agreement start date*,” according to a report released by CMS last month.<sup>27</sup>

Of the top five quality measures most commonly achieved by ACOs, only one, “*All Conditions Readmissions*,” was an outcomes measure. The remaining four measures were either patient survey based, or processes with no associated outcome, i.e., *Medication Reconciliation*.<sup>28</sup> For the five least achieved benchmarks, all five quality measures came from the “*Care Coordination/Patient Safety and At-Risk Population*” domains, which are outcomes based measures,<sup>29</sup> indicating that it may be more difficult to improve outcomes than originally thought, thereby triggering concern that the ACO model may not yet be very effective at changing quality outcomes.

Pioneer ACO quality data was also recently released, which finally demonstrated Performance Year 2 results, whereby ACOs were required to meet performance targets in order to be eligible for shared savings.<sup>30</sup> The Performance Year 2 results yielded the same top five areas of quality improvement as the MSSP ACOs mentioned earlier; however, Pioneer ACOs improved in various other measures, such as:

- (1) Tobacco use assessment and cessation intervention;
- (2) Aspirin use; and,
- (3) Percent of beneficiaries with IVD who use Aspirin or other antithrombotic.<sup>31</sup>

Overall, ACOs improved on all quality measures except for five (i.e., Shared Decision Making; ASC Admissions: COPD or Asthma in Older Adults; ASC Admissions: Heart Failure; Medication Reconciliation; and, Proportion of Adults who had blood pressure screened in past two years) over the previous year.<sup>32</sup> At least three of those five measures were outcomes based, further strengthening the argument that outcomes measures may be difficult to improve, even in an ACO model.<sup>33</sup>

Financial results were also released by CMS in September 2014, which reported that 53 MSSP ACOs and 11 Pioneer ACOs, out of a total of 243 Federal ACOs, earned bonuses totaling \$445 million, while Medicare saved \$372 million.<sup>34</sup> Forty-one MSSP ACOs spent more than predicted; however, the majority of these ACOs are in their first performance year and function under the one-sided risk model, and as such, are not liable for sharing in any of these losses.<sup>35</sup> One ACO that participated in the two-sided risk model will

have to repay Medicare \$4 million because it exceeded its established benchmark by \$10 million.<sup>36</sup> Of the 23 Pioneer ACOs, three lost money and three are delaying reconciliation until after three years of experience.<sup>37</sup> Pioneer ACOs also found that health spending slowed up to 5.4% (a decrease from 7% the first year) among ACOs that were able to reduce medical bills for patients, and increased by up to 5.6% (an increase from 5% the first year) for ACOs that saw elevated costs.<sup>38</sup> After the first two years of performance data, only 19 of the original Pioneer ACOs remain in the program, with most leaving due to feared financial risk, and tensions surrounding Medicare's changes to payment models.<sup>39</sup>

Overall, CMS is reporting that quality of care is improving, with Pioneer ACOs increasing their mean quality score from 71.8% in 2012 to 85.2% in 2013, and MSSP ACOs improving on 30 out of 33 quality benchmarks for their year one results.<sup>40</sup> As more results are published, "quality care rather than quantity of care" will continue to be an important focus, and further refined to maximize the success and sustainability of the ACO model.

- 1 "The Affordable Care Act At Three: Paying for Quality Saves Health Care Dollars" By Kathleen Sebelius, Health Affairs Blog, March 20, 2013, <http://healthaffairs.org/blog/2013/03/20/the-affordable-care-act-at-three-paying-for-quality-saves-health-care-dollars/> (Accessed 9/29/14).
- 2 "Pioneer Accountable Care Organization Model: General Fact Sheet" Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, September 12, 2012, <http://innovation.cms.gov/Files/fact-sheet/Pioneer-ACO-General-Fact-Sheet.pdf> (Accessed 10/6/14).
- 3 *Ibid.*
- 4 "Advance Payment ACO Model" Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, <http://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/Advance-Payment-ACO-Model/> (Accessed 10/8/14).
- 5 "Methodology for Determining Shared Savings and Losses under the Medicare Shared Savings Program" Department of Health and Human Services: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, April 2014, [http://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/sharedsavingsprogram/Downloads/ACO\\_Methodology\\_Factsheet\\_ICN907405.pdf](http://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/sharedsavingsprogram/Downloads/ACO_Methodology_Factsheet_ICN907405.pdf) (Accessed 10/3/14).
- 6 *Ibid.*
- 7 "The Final Rule for the Medicare Shared Savings Program" The Commonwealth Fund, 2011, <http://www.commonwealthfund.org/~media/Files/Publications/Other/2011/ZezzasummaryfinalruleMedicaresharedsavingsv2%202.pdf> (Accessed 10/3/14).
- 8 CMS, April 2014.
- 9 The Commonwealth Fund, 2011.
- 10 CMS, April 2014.
- 11 *Ibid.*; The Commonwealth Fund, 2011.
- 12 CMS, April 2014.
- 13 "Medicare Program; Revisions to Payment Policies Under the Physician Fee Schedule, Clinical Laboratory Fee Schedule, Access to Identifiable Data for the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Innovation Models & Other Revisions to Part B for CY 2015" 79 Fed. Reg. 40318, (July 11, 2014), p. 40475-40485.
- 14 "Medicare Shared Savings Program Quality measure Benchmarks for the 2014 and 2015 Reporting Years" Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, 2014, [- Benchmarks.pdf \(Accessed 10/01/14\); "Pioneer Accountable Care Organization \(ACO\) Model Request for Application" Center for Medicare & Medicaid Innovation, <http://innovation.cms.gov/Files/x/Pioneer-ACO-Model-Request-For-Applications-document.pdf> \(Accessed 10/6/14\), p. 17.
  - 15 The Commonwealth Fund, 2011.
  - 16 \*Ibid.\*
  - 17 \*Ibid.\*
  - 18 "Guide to Quality Performance Scoring Methods for Accountable Care Organizations" Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, 2012, <http://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/sharedsavingsprogram/Downloads/2012-11-ACO-quality-scoring-supplement.pdf> \(Accessed 10/6/14\).
  - 19 \*Ibid.\*
  - 20 CMS, "Pioneer Accountable Care Organization \(ACO\) Model Request for Application," p. 8.
  - 21 \*Ibid.\*
  - 22 \*Ibid.\*
  - 23 \*Ibid.\*
  - 24 "Avalere Analysis: Most Medicare ACOs Earning Shared Savings Payments Were Below Average on Quality" By Erik Johnson, Avalere, September 25 2014, <http://avalere.com/expertise/life-sciences/insights/avalere-analysis-most-medicare-acos-earning-shared-savings-payments-were-be> \(Accessed 9/30/14\).
  - 25 \*Ibid.\*
  - 26 \*Ibid.\*
  - 27 "Program News and Announcements" CMS, September 22 2014, <http://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/sharedsavingsprogram/News.html> \(Accessed 9/29/14\).
  - 28 Johnson, September 25, 2014.
  - 29 \*Ibid.\*
  - 30 "CMS posts long-awaited Pioneer ACO quality and financial results" By Melanie Evans, Modern Healthcare: Healthcare Business News, October 8, 2014, <http://www.modernhealthcare.com/article/20141008/NEWS/310089921/cms-posts-long-awaited-pioneer-aco-quality-and-financial-results> \(Accessed 10/9/14\).
  - 31 \*Ibid.\*
  - 32 "Shared Savings Don't Come Easily In Pioneer, MSSP; Certain ACOs Win Big" ACO Business News, October 2014, <http://aishealth.com/archive/nabn1014-01> \(Accessed 10/10/14\).
  - 33 Evans, October 8, 2014.
  - 34 "One-Quarter of ACOs Save Enough Money to Earn Bonuses" By Jordan Rau, Kaiser Health News: Capsules the KHN Blog, September 16, 2014, <http://capsules.kaiserhealthnews.org/index.php/2014/09/one-quarter-of-acos-save-enough-money-to-earn-bonuses/> \(Accessed 9/30/14\).
  - 35 "Detailed Summary--Medicare Shared Savings/Accountable Care Organization \(ACO\) Program" American College of Physicians, November 15 2011, \[http://www.acponline.org/running\\\_practice/delivery\\\_and\\\_payment\\\_models/aco/aco\\\_detailed\\\_sum.pdf\]\(http://www.acponline.org/running\_practice/delivery\_and\_payment\_models/aco/aco\_detailed\_sum.pdf\) \(Accessed 10/01/14\).
  - 36 Rau, September 16, 2014.
  - 37 \*Ibid.\*
  - 38 Evans, October 8, 2014.
  - 39 "Medicare's Pioneer program down to 19 ACOs after three more exit" By Melanie Evans, Modern Healthcare: Healthcare Business News, September 25, 2014, <http://www.modernhealthcare.com/article/20140925/NEWS/309259938/medicares-pioneer-program-down-to-19-acos-after-three-more-exit> \(Accessed 10/10/14\).
  - 40 "Fact sheets: Medicare ACOs continue to succeed in improving care, lowering cost growth" Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, September 16, 2014, <http://www.cms.gov/Newsroom/MediaReleaseDatabase/Fact-sheets/2014-Fact-sheets-items/2014-09-16.html> \(Accessed 9/30/14\).](http://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/sharedsavingsprogram/Downloads/MSSP-QM-</a></li>
</ol>
</div>
<div data-bbox=)





(800) FYI - VALU

*Providing Solutions  
in the Era of  
Healthcare Reform*

Founded in 1993, HCC is a  
nationally recognized healthcare  
economic financial consulting firm

- [HCC Home](#)
- [Firm Profile](#)
- [HCC Services](#)
- [HCC Experts](#)
- [Clients & Projects](#)
- [HCC News](#)
- [Upcoming Events](#)
- [Contact Us](#)
- [Email Us](#)

#### HEALTH CAPITAL

CONSULTANTS (HCC) is an established, nationally recognized healthcare financial and economic consulting firm headquartered in St. Louis, Missouri, with regional personnel nationwide. Founded in 1993, HCC has served clients in over 45 states, in providing services including: valuation in all healthcare sectors; financial analysis, including the development of forecasts, budgets and income distribution plans; healthcare provider related intermediary services, including integration, affiliation, acquisition and divestiture; Certificate of Need (CON) and regulatory consulting; litigation support and expert witness services; and, industry research services for healthcare providers and their advisors. HCC's accredited professionals are supported by an experienced research and library support staff to maintain a thorough and extensive knowledge of the healthcare reimbursement, regulatory, technological and competitive environment.



**Robert James Cimasi**, MHA, ASA, FRICS, MCBA, CVA, CM&AA, serves as Chief Executive Officer of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, a nationally recognized healthcare financial and economic consulting firm headquartered in St. Louis, MO, serving clients in 49 states since 1993. Mr. Cimasi has over thirty years of experience in serving clients, with a professional focus on the financial and economic aspects of healthcare service sector entities including: valuation consulting and capital formation services; healthcare industry transactions including joint ventures, mergers, acquisitions, and divestitures; litigation support & expert testimony; and, certificate-of-need and other regulatory and policy planning consulting.

Mr. Cimasi holds a Masters in Health Administration from the University of Maryland, as well as several professional designations: Accredited Senior Appraiser (ASA – American Society of Appraisers); Fellow Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (FRICS – Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors); Master Certified Business Appraiser (MCBA – Institute of Business Appraisers); Accredited Valuation Analyst (AVA – National Association of Certified Valuators and Analysts); and, Certified Merger & Acquisition Advisor (CM&AA – Alliance of Merger & Acquisition Advisors). He has served as an expert witness on cases in numerous courts, and has provided testimony before federal and state legislative committees. He is a nationally known speaker on healthcare industry topics, the author of several books, the latest of which include: “*Accountable Care Organizations: Value Metrics and Capital Formation*” [2013 - Taylor & Francis, a division of CRC Press], “*The Adviser’s Guide to Healthcare*” – Vols. I, II & III [2010 – AICPA], and “*The U.S. Healthcare Certificate of Need Sourcebook*” [2005 - Beard Books]. His most recent book, entitled “*Healthcare Valuation: The Financial Appraisal of Enterprises, Assets, and Services*” was published by John Wiley & Sons in March 2014.

Mr. Cimasi is the author of numerous additional chapters in anthologies; books, and legal treatises; published articles in peer reviewed and industry trade journals; research papers and case studies; and, is often quoted by healthcare industry press. In 2006, Mr. Cimasi was honored with the prestigious “*Shannon Pratt Award in Business Valuation*” conferred by the Institute of Business Appraisers. Mr. Cimasi serves on the Editorial Board of the Business Appraisals Practice of the Institute of Business Appraisers, of which he is a member of the College of Fellows. In 2011, he was named a Fellow of the Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (RICS).



**Todd A. Zigrang**, MBA, MHA, ASA, FACHE, is the President of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, where he focuses on the areas valuation and financial analysis for hospitals and other healthcare enterprises. Mr. Zigrang has significant physician integration and financial analysis experience, and has participated in the development of a physician-owned multi-specialty MSO and networks involving a wide range of specialties; physician-owned hospitals, as well as several limited liability companies for the purpose of acquiring acute care and specialty hospitals, ASCs and other ancillary facilities; participated in the evaluation and negotiation of managed care contracts, performed and assisted in the valuation of various healthcare entities and related litigation support engagements; created pro-forma financials; written business plans; conducted a range of industry research; completed due diligence practice analysis; overseen the selection process for vendors, contractors, and architects; and, worked on the arrangement of financing.

Mr. Zigrang holds a Master of Science in Health Administration and a Masters in Business Administration from the University of Missouri at Columbia. He is a Fellow of the American College of Healthcare Executives, and serves as President of the St. Louis Chapter of the American Society of Appraisers (ASA). He has co-authored “*Research and Financial Benchmarking in the Healthcare Industry*” (STP Financial Management) and “*Healthcare Industry Research and its Application in Financial Consulting*” (Aspen Publishers). He has additionally taught before the Institute of Business Appraisers and CPA Leadership Institute, and has presented healthcare industry valuation related research papers before the Healthcare Financial Management Association; the National CPA Health Care Adviser’s Association; Association for Corporate Growth; Infocast Executive Education Series; the St. Louis Business Valuation Roundtable; and, Physician Hospitals of America.



**Matthew J. Wagner**, MBA, CFA, is Senior Vice President of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, where he focuses on the areas of valuation and financial analysis. Mr. Wagner has provided valuation services regarding various healthcare related enterprises, assets and services, including but not limited to, physician practices, diagnostic imaging service lines, ambulatory surgery centers, physician-owned insurance plans, equity purchase options, physician clinical compensation, and healthcare equipment leases.



**John R. Chwarzinski**, MSF, MAE, is Vice President of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**. Mr. Chwarzinski holds a Master’s Degree in Economics from the University of Missouri – St. Louis, as well as, a Master’s Degree in Finance from the John M. Olin School of Business at Washington University in St. Louis. Mr. Chwarzinski’s areas of expertise include advanced statistical analysis, econometric modeling, and economic and financial analysis.



**Jessica L. Bailey**, Esq., is the Director of Research of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, where she conducts project management and consulting services related to the impact of both federal and state regulations on healthcare exempt organization transactions and provides research services necessary to support certified opinions of value related to the Fair Market Value and Commercial Reasonableness of transactions related to healthcare enterprises, assets, and services. Ms. Bailey is a member of the Missouri and Illinois Bars and holds a J.D. and Health Law Certificate from Saint Louis University School of Law, where she served as Fall Managing Editor for the Journal of Health Law and Policy.