

CMS Seeks Comments on Anti-Kickback Statute Reform

The Trump Administration has continued to identify potential barriers to care coordination and value-based care incentives through changes to current regulation, as part of Administration's "regulatory sprint towards the coordinated care."1 These changes have been primarily focused on modernizing fraud and abuse laws, as noted by the June 25, 2018 Request For Information (RFI) seeking public comments on reforming the physician self-referral law, commonly known as the Stark Law.² On August 24, 2018, the same day that comments were due for the Stark Law RFI, the Office of Inspector General (OIG) of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) published another RFI seeking public input on changes to the Anti-Kickback Statute (AKS) and beneficiary inducements in the Civil Monetary Penalty (CMP) Law.³

HHS has stated that updating fraud and abuse laws is key to facilitating innovation in coordination of care arrangements that ultimately underlie the healthcare industry's shift toward value-based reimbursement (VBR).⁴ As part of the most recently released RFI, HHS is seeking comments on ways that the agency could improve the safe harbors to AKS and the exceptions to the beneficiary CMP definition inducements of "remuneration."⁵ AKS "provides criminal penalties for individuals or entities that knowingly and willfully offer, pay, solicit, or receive remuneration to induce or reward the referral of business reimbursable under Federal health care programs."6 AKS safe harbors are available for providers who comply with their components in order to avoid criminal prosecution under AKS and the imposition of civil monetary penalties.⁷ The CMP Law, specifically the beneficiary inducement prohibition, authorizes imposing civil monetary penalties for offering or paying any remuneration to Medicare or Medicaid beneficiaries in efforts to influence the beneficiary's provider or supplier selection.⁸

The OIG states in the RFI that it is soliciting comments specific to four categories. One category on which the agency is seeking feedback is the promotion of care coordination and value-based care, including potential care and payment models which they are interested in pursuing, and how those models promote care coordination or value-based care, while preventing potential harms, e.g., increased costs.⁹ Additionally, the OIG requests commenters to identify any AKS safe harbors or CMP exceptions that should be added or modified related to coordinated care.¹⁰ The RFI also asks how "*value' could*

be defined and used in a safe harbor or exception such that OIG could evaluate 'value' within an arrangement to determine compliance."¹¹ Lastly, commenters are encouraged to provide thoughts on the potential definitions for a number of terms, as well as on where the OIG might "clarify its position through guidance as opposed to regulation."¹²

The second category for which information is requested is beneficiary engagement, including beneficiary incentives (e.g., the types of incentives in which providers and suppliers are interested, how they can affect quality of care and care coordination) and cost-sharing obligations (e.g., situations in which cost-sharing obligations are challenging, any financial or fraud and abuse risks to waiving cost-sharing amounts, and any potential risks to reducing/eliminating cost-sharing).¹³

The third category requests feedback regarding other regulatory topics, including information on current fraud and abuse waivers, cybersecurity-related items and services (including the donation or subsidization of same), and new exceptions required by the *Bipartisan Budget Act* of 2018.¹⁴

The fourth category for which the OIG seeks information from commentators is the intersection of the Stark Law and AKS.¹⁵ The agency is asking for feedback related to specific circumstances where Stark Law exceptions and AKS safe harbors should align to achieve the aforementioned goals of the RFI, as well as whether any Stark Law exceptions should not have a corresponding AKS safe harbor.¹⁶

Because many VBR models potentially implicate fraud and abuse laws, the OIG has recognized that they are significant hurdles for arrangements that may otherwise advance coordinated care efforts.¹⁷ Over the past few years, the OIG has received "an increasing number of comments" from industry stakeholders to its yearly safe harbor and special fraud alert solicitation.¹⁸ Perhaps in response to this increasing input from the healthcare industry, HHS has already issued this year an RFI on the Stark Law (as noted above) and a proposed rule (which is currently being reviewed by the Office of Management) entitled, "Removal of Safe Harbor Protection for Rebates to Plans or PBMs Involving Prescription Pharmaceuticals and Creation of New Safe Harbor Protection."19 However, whether these RFIs on the "modernization" of fraud and abuse laws in the shift to VBR will ultimately result in any agency action remains to be seen.

- "Stark Law Reform Push Sees Movement on Multiple Fronts" By Steven Porter, Health Leaders, August 27, 2018, https://www.healthleadersmedia.com/finance/stark-law-reformpush-sees-movement-multiple-fronts (Accessed 8/31/18).
- ² "OIG Seeks Comments on Anti-Kickback Statute and Beneficiary Inducements as Part of its Regulatory Sprint to Coordinated Care" By Amy Hooper et al., McDermott News, August 27, 2018, https://www.mwe.com/en/thoughtleadership/publications/2018/08/oig-seeks-comments-on-aks (Accessed 8/31/18); "Medicare Program; Request for Information Regarding the Physician Self-Referral Law" Federal Register Vol. 83, No. 122 (June 25, 2018) p. 29524. For more information on the Stark Law RFI, see "CMS to Review Stark Law Relevance Once Again" Health Capital Topics, Vol. 11, Issue 7 (July 2018), https://www.healthcapital.com/hcc/newsletter/07_18/HTML/STA RK/convert_stark_reform_topics_article_7.24.18a_skr.php (Accessed 9/11/18).
- 3 Hooper, August 27, 2018; Porter, August 27, 2018; "Medicare and State Health Care Programs: Fraud and Abuse; Request for Information Regarding the Anti-Kickback Statute and Beneficiary Inducements CMP" Federal Register Vol. 83, No. 166 (August 27, 2018) p. 43607.
- 4 "HHS Asks for Stakeholder Input on Reforming Anti-Kickback Statute" American Health Lawyers Association, August 24, 2018,

https://www.healthlawyers.org/News/Health%20Lawyers%20Wee kly/Pages/2018/August%202018/August%2024%202018/HHS-Asks-for-Stakeholder-Input-on-Reforming-Anti-Kickback-Statute-.aspx (Accessed 8/31/18).

- 5 *Ibid*; Federal Register, August 27, 2018.
- 6 Federal Register, August 27, 2018, p. 43608.
- 7 Ibid.
- 8 Hooper, August 27, 2018.
- 9 Federal Register, August 27, 2018, p. 43609.
- 10 *Ibid.*
- 11 *Ibid.*
- 12 Ibid.
- 13 Ibid, p. 43609-43610.
- 14 Ibid, p. 43610.
- 15 *Ibid*, p. 43611.
- 16 Ibid.
- 17 Hooper, August 27, 2018.
- 18 "OIG Issues Request for Information on Federal Anti-kickback and Beneficiary Inducement Issues" ReedSmith, Reed Smith Client Alerts, September10, 2018, https://www.reedsmith.com/en/perspectives/2018/09/oig-issuesrequest-for-information (Accessed 9/11/18).
- 19 *Ibid*.





(800)FYI - VALU Providing Solutions in the Era of Healthcare Reform

Founded in 1993, HCC is a nationally recognized healthcare economic financial consulting firm

- HCC Home
- Firm Profile
- HCC Services
- HCC Experts
- Clients & Projects
- HCC News
- Upcoming Events
- Contact Us
- Email Us

HCC Services

- Valuation Consulting
- <u>Commercial</u> <u>Reasonableness</u> <u>Opinions</u>
- <u>Commercial Payor</u> <u>Reimbursement</u> <u>Benchmarking</u>
- <u>Litigation Support &</u> <u>Expert Witness</u>
- <u>Financial Feasibility</u> Analysis & Modeling
- <u>Intermediary</u>
 <u>Services</u>
- <u>Certificate of Need</u>
- <u>ACO Value Metrics</u>
 <u>& Capital Formation</u>
- <u>Strategic Consulting</u>
- <u>Industry Research</u> Services



Todd A. Zigrang, MBA, MHA, ASA, FACHE, is the President of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS** (HCC), where he focuses on the areas of valuation and financial analysis for hospitals, physician practices, and other healthcare enterprises. Mr. Zigrang has over 20 years of experience providing valuation, financial, transaction and strategic advisory services nationwide in over 1,000 transactions and joint ventures. Mr. Zigrang is

also considered an expert in the field of healthcare compensation for physicians, executives and other professionals.

Mr. Zigrang is the co-author of "<u>The Adviser's Guide to Healthcare – 2nd Edition</u>" [2015 – AICPA], numerous chapters in legal treatises and anthologies, and peer-reviewed and industry articles such as: *The Accountant's Business Manual* (AICPA); *Valuing Professional Practices and Licenses* (Aspen Publishers); *Valuation Strategies; Business Appraisal Practice*; and, *NACVA QuickRead*. In addition to his contributions as an author, Mr. Zigrang has served as faculty before professional and trade associations such as the American Society of Appraisers (ASA); American Health Lawyers Associate (AHLA); the American Bar Association (ABA); the National Association of Certified Valuators and Analysts (NACVA); Physician Hospitals of America (PHA); the Institute of Business Appraisers (IBA); the Healthcare Financial Management Association (HFMA); and, the CPA Leadership Institute.

Mr. Zigrang holds a Master of Science in Health Administration (MHA) and a Master of Business Administration (MBA) from the University of Missouri at Columbia. He is a Fellow of the American College of Healthcare Executives (FACHE) and holds the Accredited Senior Appraiser (ASA) designation from the American Society of Appraisers, where he has served as President of the St. Louis Chapter, and is current Chair of the ASA Healthcare Special Interest Group (HSIG).



John R. Chwarzinski, MSF, MAE, is Senior Vice President of HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC). Mr. Chwarzinski's areas of expertise include advanced statistical analysis, econometric modeling, as well as, economic and financial analysis. Mr. Chwarzinski is the co-author of peerreviewed and industry articles published in *Business Valuation Review* and *NACVA QuickRead*, and he has spoken before the Virginia Medical Group

Management Association (VMGMA) and the Midwest Accountable Care Organization Expo. Mr. Chwarzinski holds a Master's Degree in Economics from the University of Missouri – St. Louis, as well as, a Master's Degree in Finance from the John M. Olin School of Business at Washington University in St. Louis. He is a member of the St. Louis Chapter of the American Society of Appraisers, as well as a candidate for the Accredited Senior Appraiser designation from the American Society of Appraisers.



Jessica L. Bailey-Wheaton, Esq., is Vice President and General Counsel of HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC), where she conducts project management and consulting services related to the impact of both federal and state regulations on healthcare exempt organization transactions and provides research services necessary to support certified opinions of value related to the Fair Market Value and Commercial Reasonableness of

transactions related to healthcare enterprises, assets, and services. Ms. Bailey-Wheaton is a member of the Missouri and Illinois Bars and holds a J.D., with a concentration in Health Law, from Saint Louis University School of Law, where she served as Fall Managing Editor for the *Journal of Health Law & Policy*.



Daniel J. Chen, MSF, is a Senior Financial Analyst at **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS** (HCC), where he develops fair market value and commercial reasonableness opinions related to healthcare enterprises, assets, and services. In addition, Mr. Chen prepares, reviews and analyzes forecasted and pro forma financial statements to determine the most probable future net economic benefit related to healthcare enterprises,

assets, and services, and applies utilization demand and reimbursement trends to project professional medical revenue streams, as well as ancillary services and technical component (ASTC) revenue streams. Mr. Chen has a Master of Science in Finance from Washington University St. Louis.