



Are Primary Care Physicians Finally Ready for Value-Based Reimbursement?

The call from primary care physicians for changes to the current healthcare reimbursement structure is growing louder in the face of the hardships endured as a result of the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic. In response, professional organizations, payor organizations, and payors themselves are answering that call, in the form of two July 2020 announcements related to innovative payment systems directly targeted at independent medical practices and primary care physicians.

Currently, the most commonly-used reimbursement structure in the U.S. healthcare delivery system is *fee-for-service* (FFS) payment models.¹ Under an FFS model, healthcare providers receive separate compensation for each service provided, such as an office visit or procedure.² Over the past several decades, however, the U.S. healthcare system has been moving away from FFS and toward value-based reimbursement (VBR).³ The *Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services* (CMS) has introduced numerous VBR models over the past decade, largely as a result of legislation such as the *Medicare Improvements for Patients & Providers Act* (MIPPA), the *Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act* (ACA), and the *Medicare Access & CHIP Reauthorization Act* (MACRA), all of which place more emphasis on VBR.⁴ The adoption of VBR models has similarly skyrocketed on the state level over the past decade, with the number of states utilizing VBR models increasing from three in 2011 to 48 states as of 2018.⁵ In addition to government payors, commercial payors have established various VBR models over the years, albeit at a slower rate than the federal government.⁶

The key difference between these two reimbursement systems is the emphasis placed on *quantity* of services provided (emphasized by FFS) versus *quality* of services provided (emphasized by VBR). Many VBR models use benchmarks to compare facility performance in categories such as immunization rates, Medicare spending per beneficiary, and patient feedback, and rewards those above those benchmarks.⁷ Other VBR models utilize *bundled payments* (also known as *global* or *capitated* payments) in reimbursing providers for all of the treatment related to a specific disease/condition or a specific timeframe, which in turn rewards hospitals who provide high-quality care for a lower cost than the bundled payment.⁸

Physicians, especially primary care physicians, have previously been unwilling to take on the financial risk inherent in VBR models.⁹ However, perhaps as a result of the pandemic, physicians are beginning to petition for this shift to value-based care as well. The COVID-19 pandemic has particularly devastated the healthcare services sector, as it caused a dramatic drop in FFS reimbursement even as expenses increased due to the higher costs of obtaining *personal protective equipment* (PPE) and the costs related to implementing technology for those physicians seeking to provide telehealth services.¹⁰ Further, both before and during the pandemic, physicians have been providing a greater number of non-billable services, such as monitoring chronic disease and coordinating the delivery of pharmaceuticals to patients.¹¹ Many primary care physicians are being forced to reconsider their financial viability in light of this public health emergency (especially those who did not already have telehealth capabilities);¹² the recognition that the subsequent closing of many of these practices could result in reduced healthcare access has led to significant pushback against this development, as well as against the lack of reimbursement sufficient to drive intended outcomes, as many industry stakeholders point to higher quality, more proactive, and more inclusive primary care as a means to slowing the rise of overall healthcare costs.¹³

An additional weakness in the healthcare delivery system exposed by this pandemic has been providers' dependency on elective procedures. This reliance is incentivized by FFS – providers earn more for performing more procedures and for over-treating patients.¹⁴ However, since the start of the pandemic, elective procedures, which comprise the majority of hospital revenue, have plummeted, leading to serious financial issues for many providers.¹⁵ While many elective procedures are essential,¹⁶ others (despite bringing in significant revenue) have been found to be ineffective or even harmful for patients.¹⁷ This overuse has created a considerable amount of waste resulting in substantial healthcare costs.¹⁸ In fact, payors have stated that the money being saved from cancelled procedures exceeds the amount of funds being expended for the treatment of COVID-19 patients.¹⁹

In response to these issues, and the growing call for change, the *American Academy of Family Physicians* (AAFP) and *National Alliance of Healthcare Purchaser Coalitions* (National Alliance) announced on July 15, 2020, their creation of a partnership for the purpose of leveraging regional employer coalitions and physician networks to create a national prospective payment system for primary care.²⁰ This effort builds on the work of many business groups and alliances across the U.S., all of whom are committed to reorganizing primary care and transforming the U.S. healthcare system in order to maintain a healthy workforce and help their communities thrive.²¹ The AAFP and National Alliance asserted that “[t]he primary care system in the United States is collapsing,” pointing to causes such as long-standing underinvestment, poor financing structures, and overwhelming administrative work.²² These organizations believe that prioritizing and properly funding “comprehensive and continuous” primary care will lead to better health outcomes and lower per-capita costs.²³ Under such a system, primary care physicians would have the resources needed to perform patient-centered care and provide patients with the requisite support through a core team of care coordinators, case managers, social workers; a centralized network pharmacy; and, programs to address social determinants of health.²⁴ Physician practices would also be able to invest in telehealth offerings and expand service lines to provide vital services to patients, both during the pandemic and thereafter.²⁵

Private payors are also seeking solutions to ameliorate the shortcomings of FFS models in relation to primary care and independent medical practices. Blue Cross Blue Shield (BCBS) of Massachusetts announced in July 2020 the establishment of a new value-based payment model that extends financial support through “*global payment, upside risk incentives, and an immediate support payment*” to small practices.²⁶ Notably, BCBS of Massachusetts was the architect of the 2008 *Alternative Quality Contract* (under which providers were rewarded for quality), one of the first modern shifts to a VBR system.²⁷ While many physicians recognize the importance and potential of value-based care for their patients, it may be difficult to implement these changes without a payment structure that incentivizes these priorities.²⁸ The barriers of entry may be especially high for small practices, for whom caring for seriously ill patients is a significant cost burden.²⁹ BCBS’s new program aims to change this.³⁰ The new upside-only risk model consists of three primary payment strategies:

- (1) Providers are given a “*global*” fund based on their number of patients, which funds are detached from billing codes, for the provider to use at their discretion;
- (2) Incentive payments for providers who achieve high scores on certain quality measures; and,
- (3) Immediate support payments for providers who sign the VBR contract with BCBS.³¹

These two announcements are indicative of changing perspectives relating to reimbursement models, particularly as relates to independent primary care physicians. While the AAFP and National Alliance announcement, and creation of other such alliances across the U.S., indicates rapidly-growing support for systematic change, the BCBS announcement provides an example of a financially-feasible entry point for primary care providers (and other independent medical practices) into value-based care. The advantages to these innovative models are numerous: reduced spending for patients, payors, and the entire healthcare system; greater provider efficiency; higher patient satisfaction; reduced payor risk; supply prices aligned with real value to patients; and healthier communities overall.³² However, it is not without risks or weaknesses – increased regulations may restrict providers’ activities, and bundled payment or other shared savings programs may be difficult to implement and sustain, often requiring considerable initial investment from the provider in order to collect the data needed to report quality metrics.³³ Critics are also concerned about the establishment of appropriate historical benchmarks and changing expectations once healthcare costs start to decline.³⁴ While there may be some immediate drawbacks in the form of costs and implementation difficulties, the current healthcare environment has exposed the fact that the current healthcare reimbursement system has been one public health emergency away from a virtual collapse. Payors are adopting different strategies to combat this frailty and protect primary care providers, including innovative reimbursement strategies and programs to help providers secure funding.³⁵ Whatever the method, the consensus among industry stakeholders appears clear: changes need to be made to prevent a critical physician manpower shortage resulting in significantly decreased access to care. More and more primary care physicians are committing to the VBR shift, and the formal alliances and reimbursement model initiatives have now begun to follow.

1 "Healthcare Valuation Volume 1: The Four Pillars of Healthcare Value" By Robert James Cimasi, Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2014, p. 221.

2 *Ibid*, p. 221-222.

3 *Ibid*, p. 231.

4 "How and why the value based payment model is trending in the healthcare industry" By Andrew Meola, Business Insider, June 27, 2019, <https://www.businessinsider.com/value-based-care-pay-for-performance-healthcare-model> (Accessed 7/21/20).

5 *Ibid*, (citing "Value-Based Care in America: State by State" Commissioned by Change Healthcare, 2019, https://www.pcpcc.org/sites/default/files/resources/%7Ba7b8bc8-0b4c-4c46-b453-2fc58cef9ba%7D_Change_Healthcare_Value-Based_Care_in_America_State-by-State_Report.pdf (Accessed 7/22/20).

6 "The Path to Successful Utilization of Alternative Payment Models" By Todd Zigrang, Jessica Bailey-Wheaton, Esq., and Khaled Klele, The Health Lawyer (June 2020), available at: https://www.americanbar.org/groups/health_law/publications/thealth_lawyer_home/2020-june/path-successful/ (Accessed 7/21/20).

7 Meola, June 27, 2019.

8 *Ibid*.

9 Zigrang, Bailey-Wheaton, and Klele, June 2020.

10 "Physician Practice Revenue Cut in Half Due to COVID-19 Crisis" By Jacqueline LaPointe, Revcycle Intelligence, April 15, 2020, <https://revcycleintelligence.com/news/physician-practice-revenue-cut-in-half-due-to-covid-19-crisis> (Accessed 7/21/20).

11 "Family doctor, employer groups join forces to replace fee-for-service" By Alex Kacik, Modern Healthcare, July 15, 2020, <https://www.modernhealthcare.com/patient-care/family-doctor-employer-groups-join-forces-replace-fee-service> (Accessed 7/21/20).

12 *Ibid*.

13 *Ibid*.

14 Meola, June 27, 2019.

15 "Hospitals Struggle to Restart Lucrative Elective Care After Coronavirus Shutdowns" By Reed Abelson, The New York Times, May 9, 2020, <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/05/09/health/hospitals-coronavirus-reopening.html> (Accessed 7/21/20).

16 *Ibid*.

17 "A silver lining to COVID-19: Fewer low-value elective procedures" By Judith Garber, Lown Institute, April 20, 2020, <https://lowninstitute.org/a-silver-lining-to-covid-19-fewer-low-value-elective-procedures/> (Accessed 7/21/20).

18 *Ibid*.

19 Abelson, May 9, 2020.

20 Kacik, July 15, 2020; "Family Physicians and Employer Coalitions Partner to Address Sustainability and Effectiveness of Primary Care to Improve Health and Wellbeing of All Americans" American Academy of Family Physicians, July 15, 2020, <https://www.aafp.org/media-center/releases-statements/all/2020/aafp-national-alliance.html> (Accessed 7/21/20).

21 *Ibid*.

22 *Ibid*.

23 *Ibid*.

24 "Q&A: Why primary care needs a prospective payment system" By Matthew Weinstock, Modern Healthcare, May 2, 2020, <https://www.modernhealthcare.com/providers/qa-why-primary-care-needs-prospective-payment-system> (Accessed 7/21/20).

25 *Ibid*.

26 "Payer Fuses Value-Based Care, Support Payments for Small Practices" By Kelsey Waddill, Health Payer Intelligence, July 15, 2020, <https://healthpayerintelligence.com/news/payer-fuses-value-based-care-support-payments-for-small-practices> (Accessed 7/21/20).

27 Cimasi, 2014, p. 231.

28 Waddill, July 15, 2020.

29 *Ibid*.

30 *Ibid*.

31 *Ibid*.

32 "What Is Value-Based Healthcare?" NEJM Catalyst, January 1, 2017, <https://catalyst.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/CAT.17.0558?> (Accessed 7/21/20).

33 Meola, June 27, 2019.

34 *Ibid*.

35 Waddill, July 15, 2020.

FREE EBOOK DOWNLOAD
 Health Capital Topics 2019

Visit www.healthcapital.com

This book reviews and discusses subjects in the ever-changing U.S. healthcare industry and how they may affect healthcare organizations. Topics include value-based reimbursement; the latest regulatory pronouncements; emerging healthcare organizations; and much more.

CLICK

GET IT NOW

HCC
 HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS



(800)FYI - VALU

*Providing Solutions
in the Era of
Healthcare Reform*

Founded in 1993, HCC is a nationally recognized healthcare economic financial consulting firm

- [HCC Home](#)
- [Firm Profile](#)
- [HCC Services](#)
- [HCC Experts](#)
- [Clients & Projects](#)
- [HCC News](#)
- [Upcoming Events](#)
- [Contact Us](#)
- [Email Us](#)

HCC Services

- [Valuation Consulting](#)
- [Commercial Reasonableness Opinions](#)
- [Commercial Payor Reimbursement Benchmarking](#)
- [Litigation Support & Expert Witness](#)
- [Financial Feasibility Analysis & Modeling](#)
- [Intermediary Services](#)
- [Certificate of Need](#)
- [ACO Value Metrics & Capital Formation](#)
- [Strategic Consulting](#)
- [Industry Research Services](#)



Todd A. Zigrang, MBA, MHA, CVA, ASA, FACHE, is the President of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, where he focuses on the areas of valuation and financial analysis for hospitals, physician practices, and other healthcare enterprises. Mr. Zigrang has over 25 years of experience providing valuation, financial, transaction and strategic advisory services nationwide in over 2,000 transactions and joint ventures. Mr. Zigrang is also considered an expert in the field of healthcare compensation for physicians, executives and other professionals.

Mr. Zigrang is the co-author of "[The Adviser's Guide to Healthcare – 2nd Edition](#)" [2015 – AICPA], numerous chapters in legal treatises and anthologies, and peer-reviewed and industry articles such as: *The Accountant's Business Manual* (AICPA); *Valuing Professional Practices and Licenses* (Aspen Publishers); *Valuation Strategies*; *Business Appraisal Practice*; and, *NACVA QuickRead*. In addition to his contributions as an author, Mr. Zigrang has served as faculty before professional and trade associations such as the American Society of Appraisers (ASA); American Health Lawyers Associate (AHLA); the American Bar Association (ABA); the National Association of Certified Valuators and Analysts (NACVA); Physician Hospitals of America (PHA); the Institute of Business Appraisers (IBA); the Healthcare Financial Management Association (HFMA); and, the CPA Leadership Institute.

Mr. Zigrang holds a Master of Science in Health Administration (MHA) and a Master of Business Administration (MBA) from the University of Missouri at Columbia. He is a Fellow of the American College of Healthcare Executives (FACHE) and holds the Accredited Senior Appraiser (ASA) designation from the American Society of Appraisers, where he has served as President of the St. Louis Chapter, and is current Chair of the ASA Healthcare Special Interest Group (HSIG).



Jessica L. Bailey-Wheaton, Esq., is Senior Vice President and General Counsel of HCC, where she conducts project management and consulting services related to the impact of both federal and state regulations on healthcare exempt organization transactions, and provides research services necessary to support certified opinions of value related to the Fair Market Value and Commercial Reasonableness of transactions related to healthcare enterprises, assets, and services.

She serves on the editorial boards of NACVA's *The Value Examiner* and of the American Health Lawyers Association's (AHLA's) *Journal of Health & Life Sciences Law*. Additionally, she is the current Chair of the American Bar Association's (ABA) Young Lawyers Division (YLD) Health Law Committee and the YLD Liaison for the ABA Health Law Section's Membership Committee. She has previously presented before the ABA, NACVA, and the National Society of Certified Healthcare Business Consultants (NSCHBC).

Ms. Bailey-Wheaton is a member of the Missouri and Illinois Bars and holds a J.D., with a concentration in Health Law, from Saint Louis University School of Law, where she served as Fall Managing Editor for the *Journal of Health Law & Policy*.



Daniel J. Chen, MSF, CVA, focuses on developing Fair Market Value and Commercial Reasonableness opinions related to healthcare enterprises, assets, and services. In addition he prepares, reviews and analyzes forecasted and pro forma financial statements to determine the most probable future net economic benefit related to healthcare enterprises, assets, and services and applies utilization demand and reimbursement trends to project professional medical revenue streams and ancillary services and technical component (ASTC) revenue streams. Mr. Chen holds the Certified Valuation Analyst (CVA) designation from NACVA.