

## Court Strikes Down Per-Click Restrictions, or Does It?

One of the government's tools for combating fraud and abuse in the healthcare industry is the *Stark Law*, also known as the self-referral law. In its continual efforts to protect individuals from healthcare fraud, the *Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services* (CMS) has periodically sought to broaden the law and further define the various types of fraud that may occur in the healthcare industry. Recently, the per-click fee restriction included in *Stark IV* has come under fire in the D.C. Circuit for exceeding the statutory authority of the *U.S. Department of Health and Human Services* (HHS). To understand the court's decision and its implications, it is important to understand both the history of the *Stark Law* and the history of the case itself.

The *Stark Law* was initially designed to prevent physician self-referrals, which potentially encourage the over-utilization of healthcare services and increase healthcare costs. The original *Stark Phase I* (out of four phases), was introduced in the *Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1989* (OBRA 1989), and became effective in 1992.<sup>1</sup> *Stark I* allowed physicians with an ownership interest in an equipment leasing company to refer patients to that company and receive a fee for each patient that used the physician-owned equipment.<sup>2</sup> Physicians who owned these leasing companies were eligible to receive payments because of a "loophole" in *Stark I* that only forbade reimbursement for the entity or individual that directly billed Medicare for that *designated health service* (DHS), in contrast to forbidding reimbursement to the entity or individual that performed the DHS.<sup>3</sup>

Since *OBRA 1989*, *Stark Phase I* has been expanded to *Stark Phases II, III*, and now *IV*, with each phase adding more modifications and restrictions than the previous phase.<sup>4</sup> As part of *Stark IV*, regulators added restrictions for diagnostic imaging arrangements based on concerns that physicians' equipment lease arrangements with hospitals promoted over-utilization of their own equipment and shifted the focus away from patient need and toward financial gain.<sup>5</sup>

In *Stark IV*, CMS restricted the ability of physicians to use a unit-of-service, or "per-click," fee payment system for space or equipment leases with a hospital to which the physician refers patients.<sup>6</sup> A per-click arrangement is one in which a physician or healthcare entity leases

equipment to another healthcare organization and receives a fee each time the equipment is used. Importantly, *Stark IV*, which was adopted in the *Inpatient Prospective Payment Systems Fiscal Year 2009 Final Rule* (IPPS Rule) in August 2008, prohibits physicians who refer any patients to a hospital from leasing equipment to that facility on a per-click basis.<sup>7</sup> The *IPPS Rule* essentially changed the definition of "entity" to include both the entity or the individual *billing* Medicare for the DHS and the entity or individual *performing* the DHS.<sup>8</sup> This language change indicates that physicians who referred patients to hospitals that used their equipment were now referring patients to their own company, creating a self-referral payment system that would violate *Stark Law* unless an exception applied.<sup>9</sup> However, the *IPPS Rule* specifically noted that per-click fee arrangements no longer fit within the exceptions to the prohibitions on lease arrangements, including the *lease exception*, the *fair market value exception*, and the *exception for indirect compensation arrangements*, "to the extent that these charges reflect services provided to patients referred between the parties."<sup>10</sup> The per-click part of the rule went into effect on October 1, 2009,<sup>11</sup> giving physicians a year from publication to restructure or eliminate any operational per-click arrangements with hospitals to meet the new compliance standards.<sup>12</sup>

After CMS released the updated regulations, some physicians disagreed with the new restrictions in the *IPPS Rule* and accused HHS of overstepping its statutory authority.<sup>13</sup> In 2009 in the district court of D.C., the *Council for Urological Interests*, a urologist-owned group of joint ventures that leased laser technology to hospitals, brought a lawsuit against the Secretary of HHS arguing that the per-click restrictions and definition change of "entity" violated the *Administrative Procedure Act* and the *Regulatory Flexibility Act* and overstepped HHS's authority under *Stark Law* because the changes prohibited the urologists from providing laser treatment to Medicare patients.<sup>14</sup> The D.C. district court dismissed the case in December 2010 on jurisdictional grounds, but, on appeal, the decision was reversed and remanded back to the district court for further proceedings.<sup>15</sup> Upon rehearing the case, the district court again found in favor of the Secretary, granting her motion for summary judgment based on the finding that (1) HHS's interpretation of performing

DHS was reasonable and consistent with the statutory purpose of *Stark Law* (i.e., prohibiting physician self-referrals); and, (2) the prohibition on per-click payments was valid.<sup>16</sup>

However, on appeal from that decision, the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals held that although (1) the Secretary was *not* barred from prohibiting physicians from charging hospitals for leased equipment on a per-click basis; and, (2) that the new definition of “entity” was *reasonable*, the Secretary’s interpretation of the *Stark Law*, i.e., that it barred physicians from charging a hospital for equipment on a per-click basis when those physicians also referred patients to that facility for procedures, was *unreasonable*.<sup>17</sup> The court remanded the case back to the district court, with instructions to send the issue to the Secretary herself for consideration, “with more care than she exercised here,” of whether the per-click ban was consistent with the *1993 House Conference Report* on which she relied in her argument.<sup>18</sup> The D.C. Circuit expressed bewilderment regarding the Secretary’s explanation of why the per-click restriction was valid, i.e., “because per-unit rates caused the total amount of the lease to fluctuate over the term based on volume and therefore did not meet the statutory ‘set in advance’ requirement.”<sup>19</sup> The court noted that the Secretary’s interpretation of the 1993 report seemed to ignore some of the language within it,<sup>20</sup> pointing out that the report “makes clear that the ‘units of service rates’ are what cannot ‘fluctuate during the contract period,’ not the lessor’s total rental income.”<sup>21</sup>

With the case currently on remand to the HHS Secretary, there is speculation in the healthcare industry surrounding how HHS will interpret, and whether it will enforce, the per-click restrictions. One option is that the HHS Secretary may choose not to re-address the per-click issue, thus implicitly allowing per-click fee arrangements.<sup>22</sup> HHS may also use the *Office of Inspector General* (OIG) to issue guidance or an enforcement action on the issue, or even relate such fee arrangements to the *Anti-kickback Statute*.<sup>23</sup> HHS may choose to interpret the language in a way that maintains the restriction’s validity by focusing on its authority to issue regulations as needed in order to guard against patient and/or program abuse.<sup>24</sup> Given the variety of outcomes that may occur as a result of the court’s decision, physicians and hospitals would be well served to proceed cautiously when considering equipment leasing arrangements.

---

1 “Stark Law- Information on Penalties, Legal Practices, Latest News and Advice” Stark Law.org, <http://starklaw.org/> (Accessed 7/8/15).  
2 “D.C. Federal District Court Upholds Stark ‘Under Arrangements’ and ‘Per-Click’ Restrictions” Hall Render, July 17, 2013, <http://blogs.hallrender.com/blog/d-c-federal-district-court-upholds-stark-under-arrangements-and-per-click-restrictions/> (Accessed 7/8/15).  
3 Ibid.  
4 Stark Law.org, Accessed 7/8/15.  
5 Stark Law.org, Accessed 7/8/15.

---

6 “Stark IV: Check or Checkmate? CMS Officially Reverses Course and Revises the Stark Regulations Limiting Many Hospital-Physician Arrangements” Hall Render, August 8, 2008, <http://www.hallrender.com/library/articles/392/STARK%20IV.pdf> (Accessed 7/21/15).  
7 “IPPS Rule” Federal Register Vol. 73, No. 161, (August 19, 2009) p. 48434, 48752-53.  
8 Ibid, p. 48434, 48751.  
9 Ibid p. 48434, 48752; “‘Under Arrangements’ Under Fire: Stark Rules Set to Become Effective October 1, 2009” By David Edquist and Jeffrey Mark, Von Briesen & Roper, June 2, 2009, <http://www.vonbriesen.com/legal-news/2045/under-arrangements-under-fire-stark-rules-set-to-become-effective-october-1-2009> (Accessed 7/8/2015).  
10 Federal Register Vol. 73, No. 161, (August 19, 2009) p. 48434, 48752-53.  
11 “Physician Self-Referral and Hospital Ownership Disclosure Provisions in the IPPS FY 2009 Final Rule” Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, August 4, 2008, <http://cms.gov/newsroom/mediareleasedatabase/fact-sheets/2008-fact-sheets-items/2008-08-044.html> (Accessed 7/8/15).  
12 Federal Register Vol. 73, No. 161, (August 19, 2009) p. 48434, 48434.  
13 “Council for Urological Interests v. Kathleen Sebelius” 754 F. Supp. 2d 78, 89 (D.D.C. 2010).  
14 Ibid, p. 80.  
15 “Council for Urological Interests v. Kathleen Sebelius” 668 F.3d 704, 704 (D.C. Cir. 2011).  
16 “Council for Urological Interests v. Kathleen Sebelius” 946 F. Supp. 2d 91, 91 (D.D.C. 2013).  
17 “Council for Urological Interests v. Sylvia Burwell” No. 13-5235, 2015 WL 3634632, at \*7, 9, 11 (D.C. Cir. June 12, 2015).  
18 Ibid, p. \*9.  
19 “‘Per-Click’ Fees OK But Don’t Count on It” By Philip Lebowitz, Duane Morris LLP, June 30, 2015, [http://blogs.duanemorris.com/healthlaw/2015/06/30/per-click-fees-ok-but-dont-count-on-it/?utm\\_source=Mondaq&utm\\_medium=syndication&utm\\_campaign=View-Original](http://blogs.duanemorris.com/healthlaw/2015/06/30/per-click-fees-ok-but-dont-count-on-it/?utm_source=Mondaq&utm_medium=syndication&utm_campaign=View-Original) (Accessed 7/9/15); No. 13-5235, p. \*8.  
20 “Court Upholds CMS’ Prohibition on ‘Under-Arrangements’ Transactions, Strikes Down CMS’ Prohibition on ‘Per-Click’ Equipment Rental Arrangements” Jason Caron et al., McDermott Will & Emery, June 29, 2015, <http://www.mwe.com/Court-Upholds-CMS-Prohibition-on-Under-Arrangements-Transactions-with-Referring-Physicians-but-Strikes-Down-CMS-Prohibition-on-Per-Click-Equipment-Rental-Arrangements-with-Referring-Physicians-06-29-2015/> (Accessed 7/9/15).  
21 No. 13-5235, p. \*8.  
22 Jason Caron et al., June 29, 2015.  
23 Ibid.  
24 Philip Lebowitz, June 30, 2015; No. 13-5235, p. \*8.



(800) FYI - VALU

Providing Solutions  
in the Era of  
Healthcare Reform

Founded in 1993, HCC is a  
nationally recognized healthcare  
economic financial consulting firm

- [HCC Home](#)
- [Firm Profile](#)
- [HCC Services](#)
- [HCC Experts](#)
- [Clients & Projects](#)
- [HCC News](#)
- [Upcoming Events](#)
- [Contact Us](#)
- [Email Us](#)

#### HEALTH CAPITAL

CONSULTANTS (HCC) is an established, nationally recognized healthcare financial and economic consulting firm headquartered in St. Louis, Missouri, with regional personnel nationwide. Founded in 1993, HCC has served clients in over 45 states, in providing services including: valuation in all healthcare sectors; financial analysis, including the development of forecasts, budgets and income distribution plans; healthcare provider related intermediary services, including integration, affiliation, acquisition and divestiture; Certificate of Need (CON) and regulatory consulting; litigation support and expert witness services; and, industry research services for healthcare providers and their advisors. HCC's accredited professionals are supported by an experienced research and library support staff to maintain a thorough and extensive knowledge of the healthcare reimbursement, regulatory, technological and competitive environment.



**Robert James Cimasi**, MHA, ASA, FRICS, MCBA, CVA, CM&AA, serves as Chief Executive Officer of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, a nationally recognized healthcare financial and economic consulting firm headquartered in St. Louis, MO, serving clients in 49 states since 1993. Mr. Cimasi has over thirty years of experience in serving clients, with a professional focus on the financial and economic aspects of healthcare service sector entities including: valuation consulting and capital formation services; healthcare industry transactions including joint ventures, mergers, acquisitions, and divestitures; litigation support & expert testimony; and, certificate-of-need and other regulatory and policy planning consulting.

Mr. Cimasi holds a Masters in Health Administration from the University of Maryland, as well as several professional designations: Accredited Senior Appraiser (ASA – American Society of Appraisers); Fellow Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (FRICS – Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors); Master Certified Business Appraiser (MCBA – Institute of Business Appraisers); Accredited Valuation Analyst (AVA – National Association of Certified Valuators and Analysts); and, Certified Merger & Acquisition Advisor (CM&AA – Alliance of Merger & Acquisition Advisors). He has served as an expert witness on cases in numerous courts, and has provided testimony before federal and state legislative committees. He is a nationally known speaker on healthcare industry topics, the author of several books, the latest of which include: "[Accountable Care Organizations: Value Metrics and Capital Formation](#)" [2013 - Taylor & Francis, a division of CRC Press], "[The Adviser's Guide to Healthcare](#)" – Vols. I, II & III [2010 – AICPA], and "[The U.S. Healthcare Certificate of Need Sourcebook](#)" [2005 - Beard Books]. His most recent book, entitled "[Healthcare Valuation: The Financial Appraisal of Enterprises, Assets, and Services](#)" was published by John Wiley & Sons in 2014.

Mr. Cimasi is the author of numerous additional chapters in anthologies; books, and legal treatises; published articles in peer reviewed and industry trade journals; research papers and case studies; and, is often quoted by healthcare industry press. In 2006, Mr. Cimasi was honored with the prestigious "[Shannon Pratt Award in Business Valuation](#)" conferred by the Institute of Business Appraisers. Mr. Cimasi serves on the Editorial Board of the Business Appraisals Practice of the Institute of Business Appraisers, of which he is a member of the College of Fellows. In 2011, he was named a Fellow of the Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (RICS).



**Todd A. Zigrang**, MBA, MHA, ASA, FACHE, is the President of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, where he focuses on the areas of valuation and financial analysis for hospitals, physician practices, and other healthcare enterprises. Mr. Zigrang has over 20 years of experience providing valuation, financial, transaction and strategic advisory services nationwide in over 1,000 transactions and joint ventures. Mr. Zigrang is also considered an expert in the field of healthcare compensation for physicians, executives and other professionals.

Mr. Zigrang is the author of the soon-to-be released "[Adviser's Guide to Healthcare – 2nd Edition](#)" (AICPA, 2014), numerous chapters in legal treatises and anthologies, and peer-reviewed and industry articles such as: [The Accountant's Business Manual](#) (AICPA); [Valuing Professional Practices and Licenses](#) (Aspen Publishers); [Valuation Strategies; Business Appraisal Practice](#); and, [NACVA QuickRead](#). Additionally, Mr. Zigrang has served as faculty before professional and trade associations such as the American Society of Appraisers (ASA); the National Association of Certified Valuators and Analysts (NACVA); the Physician Hospitals of America (PHA); the Institute of Business Appraisers (IBA); the Healthcare Financial Management Association (HFMA); and, the CPA Leadership Institute.

Mr. Zigrang holds a Master of Science in Health Administration (MHA) and a Master of Business Administration (MBA) from the University of Missouri at Columbia. He is a Fellow of the American College of Healthcare Executives (FACHE) and holds the Accredited Senior Appraiser (ASA) designation from the American Society of Appraisers, where he has served as President of the St. Louis Chapter, and is current Chair of the ASA Healthcare Special Interest Group (HSIG).



**John R. Chwarzinski**, MSF, MAE, is Senior Vice President of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**. Mr. Chwarzinski holds a Master's Degree in Economics from the University of Missouri – St. Louis, as well as, a Master's Degree in Finance from the John M. Olin School of Business at Washington University in St. Louis. Mr. Chwarzinski's areas of expertise include advanced statistical analysis, econometric modeling, and economic and financial analysis.



**Jessica L. Bailey**, Esq., is the Director of Research of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, where she conducts project management and consulting services related to the impact of both federal and state regulations on healthcare exempt organization transactions and provides research services necessary to support certified opinions of value related to the Fair Market Value and Commercial Reasonableness of transactions related to healthcare enterprises, assets, and services. Ms. Bailey is a member of the Missouri and Illinois Bars and holds a J.D., with a concentration in Health Law, from Saint Louis University School of Law, where she served as Fall Managing Editor for the Journal of Health Law and Policy.



**Richard W. Hill, III**, Esq. is Senior Counsel of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, where he manages research services necessary to support certified opinions of value related to the Fair Market Value and Commercial Reasonableness of transactions related to healthcare enterprises, assets, and services, and conducts analyses of contractual relationships for subject enterprises. Mr. Hill is a member of the Missouri Bar and holds a J.D., with a concentration in Health Law, from Saint Louis University School of Law.