

## ACO Models – Studies Examine Sustainability

One of the main objectives of the 2010 *Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act* (ACA) is to decrease the rapid growth of health expenditures.<sup>1</sup> One of the steps taken by the federal government to address these concerns was to implement *Accountable Care Organization* (ACO) models.<sup>2</sup> Through these models, the *Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services* (CMS) encourages hospitals and physicians with financial incentives (e.g., bonus payments, cost-savings, risk-sharing, and other financial penalties) to improve the quality and efficiency of care, in part through provider collaboration.<sup>3</sup> Two voluntary ACO model tracks were initiated – the *Pioneer ACO Program* and the *Medicare Shared Savings Program* (MSSP).<sup>4</sup> While the MSSP was designed for eligible health organizations seeking to switch from a traditional *fee-for-service* payment model to a *value-based* payment model, the Pioneer ACO Program was designed for more mature health organizations that were already experienced in coordinating care.<sup>5</sup> The latter program sought organizations that wanted to transition from a *shared savings model* to a *population-based model* on a track consistent with, but separate from, the MSSP.<sup>6</sup> These initiatives were intended to be an experiment in health policy; however, their viability has been recently questioned by both health professionals and policy makers.<sup>7</sup> The sustainability of ACO models has already been called into question, as Pioneer ACO Program began with 32 participating health organizations in 2012 and concluded with only 8 in December 2016.<sup>8</sup> In this *Health Capital Topics* article, the practicality of ACO models, as well as alternative options to promoting sustainable payment and delivery models, are addressed.

Data from these original initiatives suggest that both ACO models have failed to decrease the continuing rise in health expenditures. In a *New England Journal of Medicine* (NEJM) study examining the cost of care under the 2012 Pioneer ACO Program, Medicare only realized a net savings of 0.4 percent per quarter after accounting for the bonus incentives it paid out to participating organizations.<sup>9</sup> In another NEJM study (conducted by the same authors) evaluating the MSSP program, a lower cost of care was realized by Medicare in 2012, but the cost savings were offset by bonus payments paid out to organizations, totaling a \$6 million loss.<sup>10</sup> When the study was conducted again for 2013 program implementation, no cost savings were realized by Medicare.<sup>11</sup> Although omitting bonus payments paid out to organizations would have resulted in cost savings for

Medicare, organizations would have had little incentive to participate in either program without a financial reward.<sup>12</sup> Therefore, the two programs may be less financially practical than originally estimated. If the burden of healthcare costs are indicated to increase in subsequent years, an alternative payment model more capable at lowering Medicare expenditures may need to be discussed, developed, and implemented.

The development of ACO models has further contributed to the consolidation of health organizations.<sup>13</sup> This is in part due to the attempts by healthcare organizations to absorb the financial risks involved in establishing and operating an ACO through operational efficiencies.<sup>14</sup> Although healthcare organizations were consolidating before the creation of ACOs, these *emerging healthcare organizations* (EHOs) have likely contributed to the accelerating rate at which organizations are consolidating.<sup>15</sup> This trend has ultimately led to the accumulation of market power by health systems, giving them more leverage to negotiate higher prices for healthcare services with private payors.<sup>16</sup> One study found a 15.3 percent increase in price for health services when a health system monopoly was present, compared to a market with four or more hospitals.<sup>17</sup> Moreover, prices for hospitals situated in duopoly and triopoly markets were 6.4 percent and 4.8 percent higher than hospitals located in markets with four or more hospitals, respectively.<sup>18</sup> In another study conducted by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, it was found that hospital consolidations resulted in price increases of up to 40 percent.<sup>19</sup> As a response to the higher payments required by health organizations from payors, insurance companies have begun distributing the burden of increased costs onto consumers and employers in the form of *out-of-pocket* costs, making health services less affordable to the average consumer (patient).<sup>20</sup>

Given the insufficient data available to support the financial viability of hospital-based ACOs, alternative payment models have been proposed and implemented in an attempt to lower health expenditures. One promising model has been physician-led ACOs.<sup>21</sup> Unlike hospital-led ACOs, which encourage the formation of a costly hospital-based health delivery infrastructure, physician-led ACOs financially incentivize physicians to promote the utilization of low-cost health services, such as those situated in primary care or outpatient facilities.<sup>22</sup> Although both hospital-based and physician-led ACOs

receive bonuses for avoiding hospitalizations, the financial incentives to lower health expenditures are greater for physicians because they are not conflicted with lost revenue from decreased hospital admissions.<sup>23</sup> As the author of one of the first NEJM studies examining MSSP performance states, “[Physician-led ACOS] have stronger incentives to lower inpatient and hospital outpatient spending than groups integrated with

*hospitals because their shared-savings bonuses are not offset by foregone profits from reductions in hospital care.*”<sup>24</sup> The development and assessment of new innovative payment models by CMS, such as the one listed above, may be a more effective approach to combatting the economic ailments of the U.S. healthcare industry.

- 1 “Are Medicare ACOs Working? Experts Disagree” Kaiser Family Foundation, Kaiser Health News (October 21, 2015).
- 2 “Reassessing ACOs and Health Care Reform” By Kevin A. Schulman, MD and Barak D. Richman, JD, PhD, Journal of the American Medical Association, Vol. 316, No. 7 (August 16, 2016), p. 707.
- 3 “Accountable Care Organizations (ACO)” Centers for Medicaid & Medicare Services, CMS.gov, May 12, 2017, <https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/ACO/> (Accessed 6/14/17).
- 4 “The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act” H.R. 3590, 111th Cong. § 3021-3022 (2010).
- 5 “Shared Savings Program” Centers for Medicaid & Medicare Services, CMS.gov, January 18, 2017, <https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/sharedsavingsprogram/index.html> (Accessed 6/28/17); “Pioneer ACO Model” Centers for Medicaid & Medicare Services, CMS.gov, June 20, 2017, <https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/Pioneer-ACO-Model/> (Accessed 6/28/17).
- 6 “Pioneer ACO Model” Centers for Medicaid & Medicare Services, CMS.gov, June 20, 2017, <https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/Pioneer-ACO-Model/> (Accessed 6/28/17).
- 7 Kevin A. Schulman, MD and Barak D. Richman, JD, PhD, August 16, 2016
- 8 “Pioneer ACO Model” Centers for Medicaid & Medicare Services, CMS.gov, June 20, 2017, <https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/Pioneer-ACO-Model/> (Accessed 6/28/17).
- 9 “Performance in year 1 of pioneer accountable care organizations” By J.M. McWilliams, B.E. Landon, and M.E. Chernew, New England Journal of Medicine, Vol. 373, No. 8 (August 20, 2015), p. 777; Kevin A. Schulman, MD and Barak D. Richman, JD, PhD, August 16, 2016; Percentage was calculated based on data provided in study.. Percentage was calculated based on data provided in study.
- 10 “Early Performance of accountable care organizations in Medicare” By J.M. McWilliams et al., New England Journal of Medicine, Vol. 374, No. 24 (June 16, 2016), p. 2357-2366. Figure was calculated based on data provided in study.
- 11 *Ibid.* p. 2362.
- 12 J.M. McWilliams, B.E. Landon, and M.E. Chernew, August 20, 2015; *Ibid.* p. 2357-2366.
- 13 “The Economics of Medicare Accountable Care Organizations” By Erwin A. Blackstone, Ph.D. and Joseph P. Fuhr Jr., Ph.D., American Health & Drug Benefits, Vol. 9, No. 1 (February 2016), p. 15.
- 14 *Ibid.*; “How Has Hospital Consolidation Affected the Price and Quality of Hospital Care?” By William B. Vogt, Ph.D. and Robert Town, Ph.D., Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, February 2006, [http://www.rwjf.org/content/dam/farm/reports/issue\\_briefs/2006/rwjf12056/subassets/rwjf12056\\_1](http://www.rwjf.org/content/dam/farm/reports/issue_briefs/2006/rwjf12056/subassets/rwjf12056_1) (Accessed 6/22/17).
- 15 Kevin A. Schulman, MD and Barak D. Richman, JD, PhD, August 16, 2016
- 16 “The Economics of Medicare Accountable Care Organizations” By Erwin A. Blackstone, Ph.D. and Joseph P. Fuhr Jr., Ph.D., American Health & Drug Benefits, Vol. 9, No. 1 (February 2016), p. 15.
- 17 “The price ain’t right? Hospital prices and health spending on the privately insured” By Z. Cooper et al., National Bureau of Economic Research, Working Paper 21815, December 2015, [http://www.healthcarepricingproject.org/sites/default/files/pricing\\_variation\\_manuscript\\_0.pdf](http://www.healthcarepricingproject.org/sites/default/files/pricing_variation_manuscript_0.pdf) (Accessed 6/14/17).
- 18 *Ibid.*
- 19 William B. Vogt, Ph.D. and Robert Town, Ph.D., Robert Wood, February 2006
- 20 Erwin A. Blackstone, Ph.D. and Joseph P. Fuhr Jr., Ph.D., February 2016
- 21 Kevin A. Schulman, MD and Barak D. Richman, JD, PhD, August 16, 2017, p. 708.
- 22 *Ibid.* p. 707.
- 23 “Health Reform and Physician-led Accountable Care” By Farzad Mostashari, M.D., M.P.H. et al., Journal of American Medical Association, Vol. 311, No. 18 (May 14, 2014), p. 1855.
- 24 J.M. McWilliams, June 16, 2016, p. 2364.



(800)FYI - VALU

Providing Solutions  
in the Era of  
Healthcare Reform

Founded in 1993, HCC is a  
nationally recognized healthcare  
economic financial consulting firm

- [HCC Home](#)
- [Firm Profile](#)
- [HCC Services](#)
- [HCC Experts](#)
- [Clients & Projects](#)
- [HCC News](#)
- [Upcoming Events](#)
- [Contact Us](#)
- [Email Us](#)

## HCC Services

- [Valuation Consulting](#)
- [Commercial Reasonableness Opinions](#)
- [Commercial Payer Reimbursement Benchmarking](#)
- [Litigation Support & Expert Witness](#)
- [Financial Feasibility Analysis & Modeling](#)
- [Intermediary Services](#)
- [Certificate of Need](#)
- [ACO Value Metrics & Capital Formation](#)
- [Strategic Consulting](#)
- [Industry Research Services](#)



**Robert James Cimasi**, MHA, ASA, FRICS, MCBA, CVA, CM&AA, serves as Chief Executive Officer of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, a nationally recognized healthcare financial and economic consulting firm headquartered in St. Louis, MO, serving clients in 49 states since 1993. Mr. Cimasi has over thirty years of experience in serving clients, with a professional focus on the financial and economic aspects of healthcare service sector entities including: valuation consulting and capital formation services; healthcare industry transactions including joint ventures, mergers, acquisitions, and divestitures; litigation support & expert testimony; and, certificate-of-need and other regulatory and policy planning consulting.

Mr. Cimasi holds a Master in Health Administration from the University of Maryland, as well as several professional designations: Accredited Senior Appraiser (ASA – American Society of Appraisers); Fellow Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (FRICS – Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors); Master Certified Business Appraiser (MCBA – Institute of Business Appraisers); Certified Valuation Analyst (CVA – National Association of Certified Valuators and Analysts); and, Certified Merger & Acquisition Advisor (CM&AA – Alliance of Merger & Acquisition Advisors). He has served as an expert witness on cases in numerous courts, and has provided testimony before federal and state legislative committees. He is a nationally known speaker on healthcare industry topics, and is the author of several books, the latest of which include: *“The Adviser’s Guide to Healthcare – 2nd Edition”* [2015 – AICPA]; *“Healthcare Valuation: The Financial Appraisal of Enterprises, Assets, and Services”* [2014 – John Wiley & Sons]; *“Accountable Care Organizations: Value Metrics and Capital Formation”* [2013 – Taylor & Francis, a division of CRC Press]; and, *“The U.S. Healthcare Certificate of Need Sourcebook”* [2005 – Beard Books].

Mr. Cimasi is the author of numerous additional chapters in anthologies; books, and legal treatises; published articles in peer reviewed and industry trade journals; research papers and case studies; and, is often quoted by healthcare industry press. In 2006, Mr. Cimasi was honored with the prestigious *“Shannon Pratt Award in Business Valuation”* conferred by the Institute of Business Appraisers. Mr. Cimasi serves on the Editorial Board of the Business Appraisals Practice of the Institute of Business Appraisers, of which he is a member of the College of Fellows. In 2011, he was named a Fellow of the Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (RICS). In 2016, Mr. Cimasi was named a *“Pioneer of the Profession”* as part of the recognition of the *National Association of Certified Valuators and Analysts (NACVA) “Industry Titans”* awards, which distinguishes those whom have had the greatest impact on the valuation profession.



**Todd A. Zigrang**, MBA, MHA, ASA, FACHE, is the President of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, where he focuses on the areas of valuation and financial analysis for hospitals, physician practices, and other healthcare enterprises. Mr. Zigrang has over 20 years of experience providing valuation, financial, transaction and strategic advisory services nationwide in over 1,000 transactions and joint ventures. Mr. Zigrang is also considered an expert in the field of healthcare compensation for physicians, executives and other professionals.

Mr. Zigrang is the co-author of *“The Adviser’s Guide to Healthcare – 2nd Edition”* [2015 – AICPA], numerous chapters in legal treatises and anthologies, and peer-reviewed and industry articles such as: *The Accountant’s Business Manual* (AICPA); *Valuing Professional Practices and Licenses* (Aspen Publishers); *Valuation Strategies: Business Appraisal Practice*; and, *NACVA QuickRead*. In addition to his contributions as an author, Mr. Zigrang has served as faculty before professional and trade associations such as the American Society of Appraisers (ASA); the National Association of Certified Valuators and Analysts (NACVA); Physician Hospitals of America (PHA); the Institute of Business Appraisers (IBA); the Healthcare Financial Management Association (HFMA); and, the CPA Leadership Institute.

Mr. Zigrang holds a Master of Science in Health Administration (MHA) and a Master of Business Administration (MBA) from the University of Missouri at Columbia. He is a Fellow of the American College of Healthcare Executives (FACHE) and holds the Accredited Senior Appraiser (ASA) designation from the American Society of Appraisers, where he has served as President of the St. Louis Chapter, and is current Chair of the ASA Healthcare Special Interest Group (HSIG).



**John R. Chwarzinski**, MSF, MAE, is Senior Vice President of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**. Mr. Chwarzinski’s areas of expertise include advanced statistical analysis, econometric modeling, as well as, economic and financial analysis. Mr. Chwarzinski is the co-author of peer-reviewed and industry articles published in *Business Valuation Review* and *NACVA QuickRead*, and he has spoken before the Virginia Medical Group Management Association (VMGMA) and the Midwest Accountable Care Organization Expo.

Mr. Chwarzinski holds a Master’s Degree in Economics from the University of Missouri – St. Louis, as well as, a Master’s Degree in Finance from the John M. Olin School of Business at Washington University in St. Louis. He is a member of the St. Louis Chapter of the American Society of Appraisers, as well as a candidate for the Accredited Senior Appraiser designation from the American Society of Appraisers.



**Jessica L. Bailey-Wheaton**, Esq., is Vice President and General Counsel of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, where she conducts project management and consulting services related to the impact of both federal and state regulations on healthcare exempt organization transactions and provides research services necessary to support certified opinions of value related to the Fair Market Value and Commercial Reasonableness of transactions related to healthcare enterprises, assets, and services. Ms. Bailey-Wheaton is a member of the Missouri and Illinois Bars and holds a J.D., with a concentration in Health Law, from Saint Louis University School of Law, where she served as Fall Managing Editor for the *Journal of Health Law & Policy*.



**Daniel J. Chen**, MSF, is a Senior Financial Analyst at **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, where he develops fair market value and commercial reasonableness opinions related to healthcare enterprises, assets, and services. In addition he prepares, reviews and analyzes forecasted and pro forma financial statements to determine the most probable future net economic benefit related to healthcare enterprises, assets, and services and applies utilization demand and reimbursement trends to project professional medical revenue streams and ancillary services and technical component (ASTC) revenue streams. Mr. Chen has a M.S. in Finance from Washington University St. Louis.