

Accountable Care Organizations Series: What Are ACOs?

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA), passed on March 23, 2010, sets forth several healthcare reform initiatives designed to increase healthcare access, improve healthcare quality, and contain healthcare costs. An important Medicare delivery system reform initiative, the Medicare Shared Savings Program (MSSP) constitutes a new approach to the delivery of healthcare with the three-part aim of: “(1) *better care for individuals*; (2) *better health for populations*; and (3) *lower growth in expenditures*.”¹ Section 3022 of the ACA directs the Secretary of Health and Human Services (HHS) to create the MSSP by January 1, 2012, and is intended to encourage the development of Accountable Care Organizations (ACOs) in Medicare.² In addition to the general ACO guidelines included in the ACA, a set of proposed rules released March 31, 2011 by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) provides more details about this emerging organization. While the final rules are still evolving, these two regulations provide insight into the structure and governance of ACOs. In this second part of the Accountable Care Organizations Series, this article considers the question: *What Are ACOs?*

The structure of an ACO is characterized by the integration between physician partners and the reimbursement model coordinated with the MSSP. The proposed rules on ACOs define accountable care organizations as:

*“[A] legal entity that is recognized and authorized under applicable state law ... and comprised of an eligible group ... of ACO participants that work together to manage and coordinate care for Medicare FFS beneficiaries and have established a mechanism for shared governance that provides all ACO participants with an appropriate proportionate control over the ACO’s decision making process.”*³

ACOs are unique from current integrated health systems in the degree of autonomy given to physicians, the flexibility afforded to physician groups, hospitals, and other networks of providers, and the quality requirements for various reimbursement benefits.⁴ The most structured portion of ACOs is their internal organization, which has been consistent between the

ACA and the proposed rules released by CMS.

ORGANIZATIONAL MODELS

Several legal entities have been approved to become ACOs based on their ability to coordinate care between primary and specialty providers while being compliant with various regulations. These include: ACO professionals in group practices; networks of individual practices of ACO professionals; partnerships or joint venture arrangements between hospitals and ACO professionals; hospitals employing ACO professionals; and, other groups of providers of services and suppliers as determined by the Secretary of HHS.⁵ The proposed rules also include critical access hospitals (CAHs) as an entity eligible for ACO status, due to their role in providing healthcare services to underserved and low income populations, which have high Medicare participation. There is some concern, however, that CAHs will be unable to meet the criteria necessary to become an ACO; therefore, only CAHs that submit bills for both facilities and professional services directly to Medicare are eligible to be an ACO.⁶ Additionally, all ACOs are required to have a legal and governance structure that allows them to receive and distribute shared savings payments. Each ACO must be a recognized legal entity with a unique Tax Identification Number, but can form through any state permitted contract (e.g., corporation, partnership, foundation, etc.). Those providers not eligible to formally transition to an ACO may still benefit from the shared savings and vertical integration by working with a legally permitted ACO.⁷

Beyond the formal legal structure necessary to receive and distribute any shared savings, ACOs must meet several other requirements. The organization must have a management structure that includes both clinical and administrative systems, which will include technology, such as electronic medical records and computerized physician order entry systems that allow providers to coordinate care. An ACO must also be large enough to support a sufficient number of primary care physicians to provide care for at least 5,000 beneficiaries. These requirements will be monitored by a governance board, which the ACO may define and organize, but that must be provider driven.⁸ Finally, any organization desiring to be an ACO must commit to participate in the MSSP for

at least three years; must define processes to promote evidence-based medicine, patient engagement, coordination of care, and quality and cost measures; and, must demonstrate that it focuses on patient-centered care.⁹ To ensure an eligible organization is willing to conform to these criteria, all ACOs must enter into a legally binding agreement with the Secretary of HHS signed by an executive of the governance board.¹⁰ Once an ACO is established, CMS has set forth various guidelines to promote organizational integrity.

As ACOs are emerging healthcare organizations, CMS anticipates that patients may be confused regarding the services and benefits available. Therefore, under the patient-centeredness requirement, CMS will limit and monitor marketing activities and must approve all direct correspondence with patients, prospective and current. CMS plans to monitor ACOs compliance with all fraud and abuse regulations to ensure the integrity of the MSSP. The proposed rules also give CMS the ability to modify various reporting standards that may need to be changed or added as the MSSP is implemented and subsequently evolves.¹¹

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

ACO shared savings are presented as bonuses directly distributed to ACOs for savings generated from reducing healthcare costs. These bonuses will be determined by the Secretary of HHS and calculated according to a percentage of the difference between an ACOs Medicare expenditures and a benchmark amount, specifically set for each ACO.¹² CMS proposes a six-month period to calculate benchmarks and per capita expenditures for each performance year, which will then be used to calculate savings based on quality and cost data supplied to HHS by each ACO.¹³ The proposed rules set out 65 proposed measures for establishing quality performance standards that ACOs must meet for shared savings.¹⁴ These measures are to be collected through several means, including calculation by the ACO through CMS systems and surveys.

REIMBURSEMENT MODELS

ACOs will continue to receive reimbursements under the Medicare fee-for-service program, as any other organizations serving Medicare patients; although providers in an ACO may choose from a variety of payment structures to determine the amount of risk they want to assume.¹⁵ Under the MSSP, physicians will receive bonuses for achieving resource use and quality targets over the course of a year and penalties for failing meet these requirements.¹⁶ The proposed rule sets out two risk models with various incentives for ACOs to receive share savings payments: the one-sided risk model and the two-sided risk model.

The risk associated with forming an ACO lies in meeting quality and performance standards set by CMS based on benchmark expenditures. After significant

capital investment, ACOs will only receive shared savings payments if their expenditures are below their CMS established benchmark and above the CMS established minimum savings rate (MSR).¹⁷ Under the one-sided risk model, ACOs will receive shared savings for only the first two years of their three year required participation with the percentage of savings set at 50 percent. Although the opportunity for shared savings is lower under this model, ACOs are also shielded from prospective penalties, known as shared losses, if standards are not met, as they are only liable during their third year of operation. This option is designed for smaller populations with high variation in expenditures, where the risk of forming an ACO may be higher.¹⁸ Within the two-sided risk model, ACOs receive shared savings payments for all three years with maximum sharing percentage set at 60 percent. ACOs under this risk model will also be liable for shared losses for all three years, although CMS has proposed a shared loss cap: 5 percent of the first year benchmark, 7.5 percent year two, and 10 percent year three.¹⁹

To adjust for normal variation in healthcare spending, CMS will also establish a MSR to be applied to each model. The MSR will be a percentage of the ACOs benchmark expenditures. ACOs opting for the one-sided risk model, necessary for populations with high variance in expenditures, will have larger MSRs as the population served decreases in size. For the two-sided risk model, CMS has proposed a flat two percent MSR.²⁰

CONCLUSION

The key component that most analysts agree will lead to the success or failure of ACOs is flexibility.²¹ The ultimate structure requirements for ACOs will not be known until the final CMS rules are released. Judging from the negative response of associations and those healthcare organizations who participated in the ACO pilot program, there will likely be a lot of change between what CMS has released so far and the final regulations. In the next article Health Capital Consultants will take a closer look at the ACO participants themselves to answer the question, *Who are ACOs?*

¹ “Medicare Program; Medicare Shared Savings Program: Accountable Care Organizations and Medicare Program: Waiver Designs in Connection With the Medicare Shared Savings Program and the Innovation Center; Proposed Rule and Notice,” Fed. Reg. Vol. 76 No. 67, April 7, 2011.

² “Medicare Program; Medicare Shared Savings Program: Accountable Care Organizations and Medicare Program: Waiver Designs in Connection With the Medicare Shared Savings Program and the Innovation Center; Proposed Rule and Notice,” Fed. Reg. Vol. 76 No. 67, April 7, 2011.

³ “Medicare Program; Medicare Shared Savings Program: Accountable Care Organizations and Medicare Program: Waiver Designs in Connection With the Medicare Shared Savings Program and the Innovation Center; Proposed Rule and Notice” Federal Register, Vol. 76, No. 67 (April 7, 2011), p. 19537.

⁴ “Accountable Care Organizations: A new model for sustainable innovation” By Paul H. Keckley and Michelle Hoffman, Deloitte

(Continued on next page)

- Center for Health Solutions, 2010, p. 11.
- 5 “Medicare Program; Medicare Shared Savings Program: Accountable Care Organizations and Medicare Program: Waiver Designs in Connection With the Medicare Shared Savings Program and the Innovation Center; Proposed Rule and Notice” Federal Register, Vol. 76, No. 67 (April 7, 2011), p. 19537.
- 6 “Medicare Program; Medicare Shared Savings Program: Accountable Care Organizations and Medicare Program: Waiver Designs in Connection With the Medicare Shared Savings Program and the Innovation Center; Proposed Rule and Notice” Federal Register, Vol. 76, No. 67 (April 7, 2011), p. 19538-9.
- 7 “Medicare Program; Medicare Shared Savings Program: Accountable Care Organizations and Medicare Program: Waiver Designs in Connection With the Medicare Shared Savings Program and the Innovation Center; Proposed Rule and Notice” Federal Register, Vol. 76, No. 67 (April 7, 2011), p. 19540.
- 8 “Medicare Program; Medicare Shared Savings Program: Accountable Care Organizations and Medicare Program: Waiver Designs in Connection With the Medicare Shared Savings Program and the Innovation Center; Proposed Rule and Notice” Federal Register, Vol. 76, No. 67 (April 7, 2011), p. 19541.
- 9 “Medicare ‘Accountable Care Organizations’ Shared Savings Program—New Section 1899 of Title XVIII: Preliminary Questions & Answers” CMS/Office of Legislation, p. 1-2.
- 10 “Medicare Program; Medicare Shared Savings Program: Accountable Care Organizations and Medicare Program: Waiver Designs in Connection With the Medicare Shared Savings Program and the Innovation Center; Proposed Rule and Notice” Federal Register, Vol. 76, No. 67 (April 7, 2011), p. 19544.
- 11 “Medicare Program; Medicare Shared Savings Program: Accountable Care Organizations and Medicare Program: Waiver Designs in Connection With the Medicare Shared Savings Program and the Innovation Center; Proposed Rule and Notice” Federal Register, Vol. 76, No. 67 (April 7, 2011), p. 19551-2, 60.
- 12 “Accountable Care Organizations: Promise of Better Outcomes at Restrained Costs; Can They Meet Their Challenges?” By C. Frederick Geilfuss and Renate M. Gray, *BNA’s Health Law Reporter*, Vol. 19, no. 956 (July 8, 2010).
- 13 “Medicare Program; Medicare Shared Savings Program: Accountable Care Organizations and Medicare Program: Waiver Designs in Connection With the Medicare Shared Savings Program and the Innovation Center; Proposed Rule and Notice” Federal Register, Vol. 76, No. 67 (April 7, 2011), p. 19554.
- 14 “Medicare Program; Medicare Shared Savings Program: Accountable Care Organizations and Medicare Program: Waiver Designs in Connection With the Medicare Shared Savings Program and the Innovation Center; Proposed Rule and Notice” Federal Register, Vol. 76, No. 67 (April 7, 2011), p. 19571-91.
- 15 “Accountable Care Organizations: A new model for sustainable innovation” By Paul H. Keckley and Michelle Hoffman, Deloitte Center for Health Solutions, 2010, p. 11.
- 16 “Accountable Care Organizations” By David Glass and Jeff Stensland, MedPAC, (April 9, 2008), p. 4.
- 17 “What Providers Need to Know: Accountable Care Organizations: Fact Sheet” Medicare Learning Network, Publication ICN 903693, Washington, D.C: Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, April 2011, p. 3.
- 18 “What Providers Need to Know: Accountable Care Organizations: Fact Sheet” Medicare Learning Network, Publication ICN 903693, Washington, D.C: Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, April 2011, p. 3.
- 19 “What Providers Need to Know: Accountable Care Organizations: Fact Sheet” Medicare Learning Network, Publication ICN 903693, Washington, D.C: Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, April 2011, p. 4.
- 20 “What Providers Need to Know: Accountable Care Organizations: Fact Sheet” Medicare Learning Network, Publication ICN 903693, Washington, D.C: Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, April 2011, p. 3.
- 21 “Comments on ACO Rule Say More Flexibility Needed For Broad-Based Participation” American Health Lawyers Association, *Health Lawyers Weekly*, Vol. 19, No. 23, June 10, 2011, <http://www.healthlawyers.org/News/Health%20Lawyers%20Weekly/Pages/2011/June%202011/June%2010%202011/CommentsOnACORuleSayMoreFlexibilityNeededForBroad-BasedParticipation.aspx> (Accessed 6/16/2011)



(800) FYI - VALU

Providing Solutions
in the Era of
Healthcare Reform

Founded in 1993, HCC is a
nationally recognized healthcare
economic financial consulting firm

- [HCC Home](#)
- [Firm Profile](#)
- [HCC Services](#)
- [HCC Experts](#)
- [Clients Projects](#)
- [HCC News](#)
- [Upcoming Events](#)
- [Contact Us](#)
- [Email Us](#)

HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC) is an established, nationally recognized healthcare financial and economic consulting firm headquartered in St. Louis, Missouri, with regional personnel nationwide. Founded in 1993, HCC has served clients in over 45 states, in providing services including: valuation in all healthcare sectors; financial analysis, including the development of forecasts, budgets and income distribution plans; healthcare provider related intermediary services, including integration, affiliation, acquisition and divestiture; Certificate of Need (CON) and regulatory consulting; litigation support and expert witness services; and, industry research services for healthcare providers and their advisors. HCC's accredited professionals are supported by an experienced research and library support staff to maintain a thorough and extensive knowledge of the healthcare reimbursement, regulatory, technological and competitive environment.



Robert James Cimasi, MHA, ASA, FRICS, MCBA, AVA, CM&AA, serves as President of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, a nationally recognized healthcare financial and economic consulting firm headquartered in St. Louis, MO, serving clients in 49 states since 1993. Mr. Cimasi has over thirty years of experience in serving clients, with a professional focus on the financial and economic aspects of healthcare service sector entities including: valuation consulting and capital formation services; healthcare industry transactions including joint ventures, mergers, acquisitions, and divestitures; litigation support & expert testimony; and, certificate-of-need and other regulatory and policy planning consulting.

Mr. Cimasi holds a Masters in Health Administration from the University of Maryland, as well as several professional designations: Accredited Senior Appraiser (ASA – American Society of Appraisers); Fellow Royal Intuition of Chartered Surveyors (FRICS – Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors); Master Certified Business Appraiser (MCBA – Institute of Business Appraisers); Accredited Valuation Analyst (AVA – National Association of Certified Valuators and Analysts); and, Certified Merger & Acquisition Advisor (CM&AA – Alliance of Merger & Acquisition Advisors). He has served as an expert witness on cases in numerous courts, and has provided testimony before federal and state legislative committees. He is a nationally known speaker on healthcare industry topics, the author of several books, the latest of which include: *“The U.S. Healthcare Certificate of Need Sourcebook”* [2005 - Beard Books], *“An Exciting Insight into the Healthcare Industry and Medical Practice Valuation”* [2002 – AICPA], and *“A Guide to Consulting Services for Emerging Healthcare Organizations”* [1999 John Wiley and Sons].

Mr. Cimasi is the author of numerous additional chapters in anthologies; books, and legal treatises; published articles in peer reviewed and industry trade journals; research papers and case studies; and, is often quoted by healthcare industry press. In 2006, Mr. Cimasi was honored with the prestigious *“Shannon Pratt Award in Business Valuation”* conferred by the Institute of Business Appraisers. Mr. Cimasi serves on the Editorial Board of the Business Appraisals Practice of the Institute of Business Appraisers, of which he is a member of the College of Fellows.



Todd A. Zigrang, MBA, MHA, ASA, FACHE, is the Senior Vice President of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, where he focuses on the areas valuation and financial analysis for hospitals and other healthcare enterprises. Mr. Zigrang has significant physician integration and financial analysis experience, and has participated in the development of a physician-owned multi-specialty MSO and networks involving a wide range of specialties; physician-owned hospitals, as well as several limited liability companies for the purpose of acquiring acute care and specialty hospitals, ASCs and other ancillary facilities; participated in the evaluation and negotiation of managed care contracts, performed and assisted in the valuation of various healthcare entities and related litigation support engagements; created pro-forma financials; written business plans; conducted a range of industry research; completed due diligence practice analysis; overseen the selection process for vendors, contractors, and architects; and, worked on the arrangement of financing.

Mr. Zigrang holds a Master of Science in Health Administration and a Masters in Business Administration from the University of Missouri at Columbia, and is a Fellow of the American College of Healthcare Executives. He has co-authored *“Research and Financial Benchmarking in the Healthcare Industry”* (STP Financial Management) and *“Healthcare Industry Research and its Application in Financial Consulting”* (Aspen Publishers). He has additionally taught before the Institute of Business Appraisers and CPA Leadership Institute, and has presented healthcare industry valuation related research papers before the Healthcare Financial Management Association; the National CPA Health Care Adviser’s Association; Association for Corporate Growth; Infocast Executive Education Series; the St. Louis Business Valuation Roundtable; and, Physician Hospitals of America.



Anne P. Sharamitaro, Esq., is the Vice President of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, where she focuses on the areas of Certificate of Need (CON); regulatory compliance, managed care, and antitrust consulting. Ms. Sharamitaro is a member of the Missouri Bar and holds a J.D. and Health Law Certificate from Saint Louis University School of Law, where she served as an editor for the Journal of Health Law, published by the American Health Lawyers Association. She has presented healthcare industry related research papers before Physician Hospitals of America and the National Association of Certified Valuation Analysts and co-authored chapters in *“Healthcare Organizations: Financial Management Strategies,”* published in 2008.