

Management Services Agreements: Considerations for Fair Market Value

In consideration of the *Fair Market Value* (FMV) for the provision of Professional Management Services, this *Health Capital Topics* article briefly discusses the current trends in the *Practice Management* industry, as these trends may directly and indirectly affect both the management company and the healthcare entity. This overview of the services provided by practice management groups, is followed by a discussion of the *competitive, reimbursement, regulatory, and technological* environments in which practice management groups operate.

Overview of Practice Management Services

Medical Practice management may be defined as “a growing business strategy intended to help [organizations] overcome the challenges of fluctuating markets and adapt to the ever-evolving needs of consumers.”¹ Medical practice management companies, also known as *Management Service Organizations* (MSO), carry out a variety of duties, including those related to:

- (1) Financial Management;
- (2) Business Operations;
- (3) Human Resources Management;
- (4) Information Management;
- (5) Organizational Governance;
- (6) Patient Care Systems;
- (7) Quality Management; and,
- (8) Risk Management.²

Although the foundation of *Practice Management* is to ensure that the healthcare entity is effectively carrying out day-to-day operations,³ it is equally important that an MSO enables the entity to have the flexibility to adapt to market changes.⁴

Competitive Environment

Practice Management has become popular in recent years among healthcare entities, due to pressures within the healthcare industry to reduce costs, implement new technologies, and comply with increasingly complex regulations.⁵ Three (3) main types of MSO companies exist, including:

- (1) Large multi-specialty groups, which are publicly funded through stock;

- (2) Large single-specialty groups, which receive investment from private equity funds; and,
- (3) “*Under the radar*” larger single specialty groups, which are often funded through private financing, such as loans or private investment, but are not large enough for market interest.⁶

In the mid-1990s, many MSOs started investing in both independent physician practices and hospital-based physician groups; however, by 2002, 80 percent of the top ten public MSOs were in bankruptcy after failing to reach financial benchmarks.⁷ It was not until after the 2010 passage of the *Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act* (ACA) that MSOs regained popularity, in part due to the ACA’s restructuring of payment and delivery models, such as bundled payments and ACOs.⁸ Not only are MSOs becoming more common, but they are also becoming larger, and raising capital for buyouts.⁹

Reimbursement Environment – Management Services Fees

There are a number of payment arrangements that an MSO can make with healthcare entities in regard to compensation for its services. Payment arrangements between an MSO and a healthcare entity include, but are not limited to: (1) fixed fee arrangements; (2) a percentage of an entity’s revenues or profits; (3) a portion of cost savings that the MSO helped the entity realize; and, (4) a combination of the models listed above.¹⁰ MSOs must be cautious as to what compensation arrangement will be made between itself and a healthcare entity, as such arrangements may be in violation of state laws that mandate how an MSO may structure its agreements.¹¹ For example, in New York, the New York State Department of Health questioned several hypothetical MSO payment arrangements, including: (1) “*per visit*” fees; (2) actual cost plus mark-up fees; and, (3) percentage of collection fees.¹²

Regulatory Environment

Healthcare enterprises face a range of federal and state legal and regulatory constraints, which affect their formation, operation, procedural coding and billing, and transactions. Federal fraud and abuse laws, specifically those related to the *Anti-Kickback Statute* (AKS) and physician self-referral laws (the “*Stark Law*”), may have the greatest impact on the operations of healthcare organizations. For example, MSOs must be particularly careful not to violate AKS through its fee structure. In a

1998 advisory opinion, the *Office of Inspector General* (OIG) expressed concern regarding MSOs receiving payment as a percentage of collections or revenue while performing marketing services.¹³

In addition to fraud and abuse laws, almost all states have provisions against the *Corporate Practice of Medicine* (CPOM).¹⁴ Although the regulated content of CPOM provisions vary across states, these laws generally prohibit unlicensed individuals or corporations from engaging in the practice of medicine by employing licensed physicians.¹⁵ CPOM was established with the intent of ensuring that licensed physicians could practice medicine without pressure from a lay person or being “*subject to commercialization or exploitation.*”¹⁶ CPOM laws typically include exceptions, such as provisions allowing physicians to provide medical services via a professional corporation.¹⁷ In summary, CPOM laws dictate what type of relationship healthcare entities may have with physicians (i.e., employment versus independent contractor).¹⁸

Technological Environment

Research indicates that implementation of *health information technology* (HIT) may lead to improved efficiency and quality management.¹⁹ HIT includes a variety of computer applications, such as billing software, staffing models, and *electronic health records* (EHR).²⁰ In recent years, there has been a rapid adoption of technological innovations in the U.S., largely due to regulatory and reimbursement changes in healthcare. The now ubiquitous presence of EHR in healthcare has fundamentally changed the way that healthcare is delivered.²¹ Namely, EHRs are essential to data collection needed for compliance with the expanding number of initiatives related to value-based care reporting and clinical outcomes analysis,²² which could financially benefit an MSO depending on the MSO’s fee structure.

A *practice management system* (PMS) is software used by healthcare entities that has the ability to automate some of the recurring tasks that burden healthcare providers.²³ PMSs are typically used for administrative and financial tasks and are utilized most by small to medium-sized providers.²⁴ By automating these time-intensive tasks, physician and provider groups are able to operate more efficiently.²⁵ As of January 1, 2014, all public and private healthcare providers were required to adopt and demonstrate “*meaningful use*” of EHRs to maintain their existing Medicare reimbursement levels.²⁶ Of note, financial incentives to utilize EHRs as part of the “*meaningful use*” program was merged into the *Merit-based Incentive Payment System* (MIPS), a value-based reimbursement program implemented under the *Medicare Access and CHIP Reauthorization Act of 2015* (MACRA).²⁷ Because of the increased use of EHRs and the overlap with PMSs, some providers may integrate the two, which may serve to streamline the workflow, resulting in higher revenues.²⁸

With increased administrative burdens within the healthcare industry, more provider groups are opting for

revenue cycle management (RCM) systems, designed to improve efficiencies and enhance financial performance.²⁹ RCM systems are more comprehensive than medical billing software in that they also include claim processing, denial management, patient payment, and revenue generation capabilities.³⁰ RCM systems can either be outsourced to an external vendor, or used in conjunction with an internal EHR system.³¹ It is important to note that with the current shift in the reimbursement environment, from *volume-based* to *value-based* payment, RCM systems will now need to track and submit both cost and quality data, as well as accurately administer compensation based on the performance of these metrics.³²

Valuation Considerations

There are numerous, generally accepted healthcare valuation approaches, methods and procedures that may be utilized in the valuation of MSOs. The choice of approach(es) or method(s) depends primarily upon the purpose of the valuation report and the specific characteristics of the services being appraised. The objective and purpose of the engagement, the standard of value, the premise of value, and the availability and reliability of data must all be considered by the valuation analyst in the selection of applicable approaches and methods.

In addition to determining the FMV of management services, a valuation engagement may also include the opinion of the *Commercial Reasonableness* of the *Management Services Agreement* (MSA) arrangement. While *separate* and *distinct* from the *regulatory* threshold related to the standard of FMV, the threshold of Commercial Reasonableness is *critical* to establish the legal permissibility of a subject healthcare transaction, and may be subject to a similar level of scrutiny by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and the OIG. The key components of a Commercial Reasonableness analysis include both a consideration of the *qualitative* factors that affect the Commercial Reasonableness opinion (e.g., the business purpose of the professional medical practice and the necessity of MSA, the experience and expertise of the MSO, various enterprise and organizational elements of the medical practice), as well as a *quantitative* analysis of the elements of the MSA.

Practice Management Industry Outlook

MSOs may face several challenges in the near future. The aging *Baby Boomer* population, and the associated rise in the number of chronic conditions suffered by this cohort, is expected to increase the number of individuals requiring services provided by healthcare providers in subsequent years, which may drive growth within the PM industry.³³ The primary challenge of MSOs may be staying compliant with current laws and regulations. For instance, the fee charged by an MSO to a physician group must be at FMV and be *commercially reasonable*, as to not violate federal and state *Anti-Kickback*, *Stark*, or *Corporate Practice of Medicine* (CPOM) laws.³⁴ Further, professional physician practices may face an uncertain reimbursement environment, as public payors, notably

Medicare and Medicaid, are switching from *volume-based* to *value-based* models of reimbursement.³⁵ In order to cope with uncertain reimbursement policies, as well as a potential increase in demand, healthcare entities, with the help of MSOs, will likely have to become more efficient, which may be achieved in part through the adoption of HIT.

As evidenced by these trends, the U.S. healthcare environment is complex and rapidly changing; to meet these challenges, successful MSOs must: (1) have

expertise in the specialties of the medical practices that they manage; (2) strategically plan for future changes in the industry; (3) regularly assess the practice's performance; and, (4) provide guidance to the practice regarding mergers and acquisitions.³⁶ In an era of increasing regulatory scrutiny and growing healthcare transaction volume, an FMV and *Commercial Reasonableness* opinion, prepared by an experienced and independent valuation firm, can increase the defensibility, and regulatory compliance, of the proposed MSA arrangement.

- 1 "What is Practice Management?" By Ryan Goodrich, Business News Daily, July 31, 2013, <http://www.businessnewsdaily.com/4836-practice-management.html> (Accessed 4/9/18).
- 2 "Roles of a Medical Office" AthenaHealth, <https://www.athenahealth.com/knowledge-hub/practice-management/what-is-practice-management> (Accessed 4/9/18).
- 3 *Ibid.*
- 4 Goodrich, July 31, 2013.
- 5 "What is Practice Management?" AAPC, <https://www.aapc.com/practice-management/practice-management.aspx> (Accessed 4/9/18).
- 6 "Anesthesia Practice Management Companies (PMCs) - Market Forces, Money and Growth" Anesthesia Stat, November 25, 2015, <http://www.anesthesiastat.com/2015/11/25/anesthesia-practice-management-companies-pmcs-market-forces-money-and-growth/> (Accessed 4/9/18).
- 7 "Physician Practice Management Companies Stage a Comeback; Anatomic Pathology Groups Remain Skeptical" By Jon Stone, Dark Daily, February 24, 2016, <https://www.darkdaily.com/physician-practice-management-companies-stage-a-comeback-anatomic-pathology-groups-remain-skeptical-224> (Accessed 4/9/18).
- 8 *Ibid.*
- 9 "Herding Hospital Docs: Staffing Firms Buy MD Groups" By Dave Barkholz, Modern Healthcare, December 12, 2015, <http://www.modernhealthcare.com/article/20151212/MAGAZINE/312129981> (Accessed 4/9/18).
- 10 "Physician Practice Management Arrangements: State Fee-Splitting Prohibitions and the Corporate Practice of Medicine" By Janice A. Anderson and Cullin B. Hughes, Compliance Today, Health Care Compliance Association, January 2014, p. 30.
- 11 Anderson and Hughes, January 2014, p. 30.
- 12 "Management Services and Billing Agreements: What Physicians Need to Know" By Gregory J. Naclerio and Jay B. Silverman, Ruskin Moscou Faltischek P.C., January 20, 2010, <http://www.rmfc.com/management-services-and-billing-agreements-what-physicians-need-to-know/> (Accessed 4/9/18).
- 13 "OIG Advisory Opinion No. 98-4" Office of the Inspector General, April 15, 1998, https://oig.hhs.gov/fraud/docs/advisoryopinions/1998/ao98_4.pdf (Accessed 4/9/18).
- 14 "Issue Brief: Corporate Practice of Medicine" American Medical Association, 2015, https://www.ama-assn.org/sites/default/files/media-browser/premium/arc/corporate-practice-of-medicine-issue-brief_1.pdf (Accessed 4/9/18); "Corporate Practice of Medicine: A Fifty State Survey" First Edition, Washington, DC: American Health Lawyers Association, 2014.
- 15 AHLA, 2014, p. v.
- 16 "The People v. United Medical Service" 200 N.E. 157, 163 (Ill. 1936).
- 17 "Accountable Care Legal Guide" Smith, Anderson, Blount, Dorsett, Mitchell & Jernigan, LLP, 2013, http://www.tac-consortium.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/04/AC_Legal_Guide_NC.pdf (Accessed 4/9/18), p. 16.
- 18 AHLA, 2014, p. v.
- 19 "Understanding the Costs and Benefits of Health Information Technology in Nursing Homes and Home Health Agencies: Case Study Findings" By Andrew Kramer MD, et al., U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, June 2009, <https://aspe.hhs.gov/system/files/pdf/75876/HITcsf.pdf> (Accessed 4/9/18), p. iv-v.
- 20 *Ibid.*, p. 1.
- 21 "The Impact of Technology on Healthcare" By Bianca Banova, American Institute of Medical Sciences and Education, March 28, 2013, <https://www.aimseducation.edu/blog/the-impact-of-technology-on-healthcare/> (Accessed 4/9/18).
- 22 "Improved Diagnostics and Patient Outcomes" HealthIT, October 5, 2017, <https://www.healthit.gov/providers-professionals/improved-diagnostics-patient-outcomes> (Accessed 4/9/18); "Physician Survey: EHRs Increase Practice Costs, Reduce Productivity" By Heather Landi, Healthcare Informatics, October 3, 2016, <https://www.healthcare-informatics.com/news-item/ehr/physician-survey-ehrs-increase-practice-costs-little-improvement-clinical-outcomes> (Accessed 4/9/18).
- 23 "Practice Management Systems Automate Practice Operations" AthenaHealth, <https://www.athenahealth.com/knowledge-hub/practice-management/system> (Accessed 4/9/18); "Practice Management Software (PMS)" Techopedia, <https://www.techopedia.com/definition/25018/practice-management-software-pms> (Accessed 4/9/18).
- 24 "Practice Management Software (PMS)" Techopedia, <https://www.techopedia.com/definition/25018/practice-management-software-pms> (Accessed 4/9/18).
- 25 "How to Select a Practice Management System" American Medical Association, <https://www.ama-assn.org/practice-management/how-select-practice-management-system> (Accessed 4/9/18).
- 26 "American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009" Public Law 111-5, 123 STAT. 115 (February 17, 2009).
- 27 "Medicare Access and CHIP Reauthorization Act of 2015" Public Law 114-10, 129 STAT. 87 (April 16, 2015); "Meaningful Use" HealthIT.gov, September 5, 2017, <https://www.healthit.gov/topic/federal-incentive-programs/meaningful-use> (Accessed 4/9/18).
- 28 "Practice Management & EHRs: Interface or Integrate?" By Bob Mitchell, November 2011, <http://www.clinical-innovation.com/topics/ehr-emr/practice-management-ehrs-interface-or-integrate> (Accessed 4/9/18).
- 29 "Improving Financial Performance with a Revenue Cycle Management System" AthenaHealth, <https://www.athenahealth.com/knowledge-hub/staying-independent/revenue-cycle-management-solution> (Accessed 4/9/18).
- 30 "What is Revenue Cycle Management?" CureMD, <http://www.curemd.com/revenue-cycle-management/> (Accessed 4/9/18).
- 31 *Ibid.*
- 32 AthenaHealth, (Accessed 4/9/18).
- 33 "IBISWorld Industry Report 62311: Nursing Care Facilities in the U.S." By Dmitry Diment, IBISWorld, June 2015, p. 5.
- 34 "Management Service Organizations (MSOs): Understanding the Role of MSOs in Today's World of Healthcare and How to Evaluate MSO Opportunities" By Lee Ferber, CPA, GettryMarcus, <http://www.gettrymarcus.com/management-service-organizations-msos/> (Accessed 4/9/18).
- 35 Diment, June 2015, p. 5.
- 36 Ferber, (Accessed 4/9/18).



(800)FYI - VALU

*Providing Solutions
in the Era of
Healthcare Reform*

Founded in 1993, HCC is a nationally recognized healthcare economic financial consulting firm

- [HCC Home](#)
- [Firm Profile](#)
- [HCC Services](#)
- [HCC Experts](#)
- [Clients & Projects](#)
- [HCC News](#)
- [Upcoming Events](#)
- [Contact Us](#)
- [Email Us](#)

HCC Services

- [Valuation Consulting](#)
- [Commercial Reasonableness Opinions](#)
- [Commercial Payer Reimbursement Benchmarking](#)
- [Litigation Support & Expert Witness](#)
- [Financial Feasibility Analysis & Modeling](#)
- [Intermediary Services](#)
- [Certificate of Need](#)
- [ACO Value Metrics & Capital Formation](#)
- [Strategic Consulting](#)
- [Industry Research Services](#)



Todd A. Zigrang, MBA, MHA, ASA, FACHE, is the President of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, where he focuses on the areas of valuation and financial analysis for hospitals, physician practices, and other healthcare enterprises. Mr. Zigrang has over 20 years of experience providing valuation, financial, transaction and strategic advisory services nationwide in over 1,000 transactions and joint ventures. Mr. Zigrang is also considered an expert in the field of healthcare compensation for physicians, executives and other professionals.

Mr. Zigrang is the co-author of "[The Adviser's Guide to Healthcare – 2nd Edition](#)" [2015 – AICPA], numerous chapters in legal treatises and anthologies, and peer-reviewed and industry articles such as: *The Accountant's Business Manual* (AICPA); *Valuing Professional Practices and Licenses* (Aspen Publishers); *Valuation Strategies*; *Business Appraisal Practice*; and, *NACVA QuickRead*. In addition to his contributions as an author, Mr. Zigrang has served as faculty before professional and trade associations such as the American Society of Appraisers (ASA); American Health Lawyers Associate (AHLA); the American Bar Association (ABA); the National Association of Certified Valuators and Analysts (NACVA); Physician Hospitals of America (PHA); the Institute of Business Appraisers (IBA); the Healthcare Financial Management Association (HFMA); and, the CPA Leadership Institute.

Mr. Zigrang holds a Master of Science in Health Administration (MHA) and a Master of Business Administration (MBA) from the University of Missouri at Columbia. He is a Fellow of the American College of Healthcare Executives (FACHE) and holds the Accredited Senior Appraiser (ASA) designation from the American Society of Appraisers, where he has served as President of the St. Louis Chapter, and is current Chair of the ASA Healthcare Special Interest Group (HSIG).



John R. Chwarzinski, MSF, MAE, is Senior Vice President of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**. Mr. Chwarzinski's areas of expertise include advanced statistical analysis, econometric modeling, as well as, economic and financial analysis. Mr. Chwarzinski is the co-author of peer-reviewed and industry articles published in *Business Valuation Review* and *NACVA QuickRead*, and he has spoken before the Virginia Medical Group Management Association (VMGMA) and the Midwest Accountable Care Organization Expo. Mr. Chwarzinski holds a Master's Degree in Economics from the University of Missouri – St. Louis, as well as, a Master's Degree in Finance from the John M. Olin School of Business at Washington University in St. Louis. He is a member of the St. Louis Chapter of the American Society of Appraisers, as well as a candidate for the Accredited Senior Appraiser designation from the American Society of Appraisers.



Jessica L. Bailey-Wheaton, Esq., is Vice President and General Counsel of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, where she conducts project management and consulting services related to the impact of both federal and state regulations on healthcare exempt organization transactions and provides research services necessary to support certified opinions of value related to the Fair Market Value and Commercial Reasonableness of transactions related to healthcare enterprises, assets, and services. Ms. Bailey-Wheaton is a member of the Missouri and Illinois Bars and holds a J.D., with a concentration in Health Law, from Saint Louis University School of Law, where she served as Fall Managing Editor for the *Journal of Health Law & Policy*.



Daniel J. Chen, MSF, is a Senior Financial Analyst at **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, where he develops fair market value and commercial reasonableness opinions related to healthcare enterprises, assets, and services. In addition, Mr. Chen prepares, reviews and analyzes forecasted and pro forma financial statements to determine the most probable future net economic benefit related to healthcare enterprises, assets, and services, and applies utilization demand and reimbursement trends to project professional medical revenue streams, as well as ancillary services and technical component (ASTC) revenue streams. Mr. Chen has a Master of Science in Finance from Washington University St. Louis.