

Barriers to Medical Innovation

In the first installment of this two-part Health Capital Topics series on medical innovations, the top innovations for 2015 that were profiled at the Cleveland Clinic and TedMed Conferences were discussed. Although unique and influential technologies similar to the ones exhibited at these conferences are showcased every year, these potential innovations often succumb to insurmountable barriers before going to market, which barriers are a daunting challenge for innovators seeking to develop and implement new healthcare inventions. This article will explore the challenges and barriers to innovation in the healthcare sector, and discuss the probability of a less cumbersome and more effective process going forward.

Myriad barriers to healthcare innovation exist, including: (1) strict, overlapping healthcare laws and regulations; (2) disconnects between innovators and providers; and, (3) unfavorable reimbursement models. Innovation in healthcare is challenging in part because no matter how effective the device or technique, innovators must persist through the “*excessive*” rules and policies required of new medical drugs and devices before they may be sold to the public.¹ For example, various federal and state healthcare regulations govern the development of technologies and pharmaceuticals from preclinical testing, through the *Investigational New Drug Application* (IND) and three phases of the clinical trials (if applicable), until well after it is offered to the public; pharmaceuticals are even surveyed after they begin selling on the market.² For pharmaceuticals, the arduous process of taking a drug from the laboratory to the pharmacy takes approximately nine years.³

Once a technology is placed on the market, it tends to cause disruption at the organizational level because existing work processes are interrupted while the new technology is put in place and employees are trained on how to operate the device. Consequently, healthcare providers and organizations tend to be resistant to such change, even if the change is positive.⁴ Such rifts that have inhibited medical innovations include:

- (1) “*A management and front-line worker disconnect (leadership disconnect)*”;
- (2) “*A work environment that discourages knowledge sharing (physical disconnect)*”; and,
- (3) “*Employees who are disengaged (organizational disconnect)*.”⁵

Regardless of the source of resistance, innovators will need to overcome these rifts if their product is to achieve any success, because there are a number of steps in the innovation process that require consumer buy-in, as well as support from providers, manufacturers, and distributors.

Innovations in healthcare, similar to other industries, operate on an incentive basis, with profit typically being the main motivator, and the reimbursement disconnect with medical innovations is especially pronounced. The current fee-for-service reimbursement model, which “*reward[s] individual acts by individual people,*” does not support the integrated care delivery models that are becoming increasingly prevalent in the healthcare industry.⁶ Although the *Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services* (CMS) recently announced a timeline to shift Medicare reimbursement from quantity-based to quality-based, this paradigm shift is still a number of years away. In addition to reimbursement disincentives, uncertain prospects for the future viability of medical innovation may discourage potential venture capitalists. Investors seeking to invest in emerging technologies must weigh the potential of future public policy shifts, price controls in the healthcare industry, “*shortening the life of patents,*”⁷ and the research and development timeframe, among other considerations.

Although there are numerous barriers to healthcare innovation, the latest iteration in healthcare reform (i.e., the *Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act* (ACA), also known as “*Obamacare*”) is causing a paradigm shift in the healthcare industry.⁸ The influx of newly insured individuals; the shift from *volume-based* to *value-based* care; and, the emergence of *emerging healthcare organizations* (EHOs), such as *accountable care organizations* (ACOs) and *clinically integrated networks* (CINs), which aid in creating a continuum of care, may be the catalyst needed to motivate the increase in medical innovations in the United States.

Additionally, there has been a push by educators to incorporate innovation in education. Currently, most medical schools and graduate business programs do not address innovation in their class curricula, and academics recognize that future physicians and healthcare executives are not learning how to construct necessary systems and processes for deciphering problems and finding and administering solutions.⁹ An article published in the *Harvard Business Review*

advocated for healthcare c-suite executives, healthcare innovators, and teaming up with graduate school professors to provide students with lectures and projects that have a “*real world*” perspective. The authors also suggested that schools host “*entrepreneurs-in-residence*” to serve in a mentoring role for both students and faculty.

Although healthcare expenditures are approaching 20% of the U.S. *gross domestic product* (GDP),¹⁰ productivity in healthcare has been slow to increase, compared with other industries.¹¹ Medical innovations, to increase efficiency and improve outcomes in the healthcare industry, are desperately needed.¹² The potential of new technologies that could dramatically change the healthcare industry may be hindered in part by intense regulatory scrutiny that hinders rapid and fluid change; a rift between innovators and providers; and, reimbursement and funding restrictions. However, catalysts such as the ACA and a systemic change in medical education may be able to break medical innovations free of entry barriers, potentially resulting in a sea change in the way healthcare is delivered, and a renewed focus on efficient, quality patient care.

1 “Overcoming Obstacles to Innovation in the Health Care Industry” By Jacqueline Zhou, Soapbox, November 19, 2014, <http://soapboxhq.com/blog/overcoming-obstacles-innovation-health-care-industry> (Accessed 1/30/15). “Medical Innovation in the Changing Healthcare Marketplace: Conference Summary,” Board on Health Care Services, National Research Council, Washington, DC: National Academies Press (2002), Accessed at http://www.nap.edu/openbook.php?record_id=10358&page=37 (Accessed 3/17/15), p. 37.

2 “Medical Innovation in the Changing Healthcare Marketplace: Conference Summary,” Board on Health Care Services, National Research Council, Washington, DC: National Academies Press (2002), Accessed at http://www.nap.edu/openbook.php?record_id=10358&page=37 (Accessed 3/17/15), p. 37. “The Drug Development and Approval Process,” The Independent Institute, http://www.fdareview.org/approval_process.shtml (Accessed 3/17/15).

3 “The Drug Development and Approval Process,” The Independent Institute, http://www.fdareview.org/approval_process.shtml (Accessed 3/17/15).

4 “Understanding Different Models of Innovation” By the Innovations Exchange Team, based on an interview with Hal Stern, Senior Vice President at Sun Microsystems, Inc., Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, March 26, 2014, <https://innovations.ahrq.gov/perspectives/understanding-different-models-innovation> (Accessed 1/30/2015).

5 Zhou, November 19, 2014, (Accessed 1/30/2015).

6 Board on Health Care Services, 2002 (Accessed 3/17/15), p. 36.

7 Board on Health Care Services, 2002 (Accessed 3/17/15), p. 38.

8 “Healthcare’s Innovation Imperative: Ten Truths Entrepreneurs Need To Know,” By Ashley Ledbetter Dombkowski, Ph.D., Forbes, March 20, 2014, <http://www.forbes.com/sites/kerryadolan/2014/03/20/healthcares-innovation-imperative-ten-truths-entrepreneurs-need-to-know/> (Accessed 3/17/15).

9 “Bridging Health Care’s Innovation-Education Gap,” By Regina Herzlinger, Vasant Kumar Ramaswamy, and Kevin A. Schulman, Harvard Business Review, November 11, 2014, <https://hbr.org/2014/11/bridging-health-cares-innovation-education-gap> (Accessed 3/17/15).

10 “Health expenditure, total (% of GDP),”The World Bank, <http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SH.XPD.TOTL.ZS> (Accessed 3/17/15).

11 “US Hospitals Experienced Substantial Productivity Growth During 2002–11,” By John A. Romley, Dana P. Goldman, and Neeraj Sood, Health Affairs, Vol. 34, No. 3 (2015), p. 511.

12 Herzlinger, Ramaswamy, and Schulman, November 11, 2014, (Accessed 3/17/15).



(800) FYI - VALU

Providing Solutions
in the Era of
Healthcare Reform

Founded in 1993, HCC is a
nationally recognized healthcare
economic financial consulting firm

- [HCC Home](#)
- [Firm Profile](#)
- [HCC Services](#)
- [HCC Experts](#)
- [Clients & Projects](#)
- [HCC News](#)
- [Upcoming Events](#)
- [Contact Us](#)
- [Email Us](#)

HEALTH CAPITAL

CONSULTANTS (HCC) is an established, nationally recognized healthcare financial and economic consulting firm headquartered in St. Louis, Missouri, with regional personnel nationwide. Founded in 1993, HCC has served clients in over 45 states, in providing services including: valuation in all healthcare sectors; financial analysis, including the development of forecasts, budgets and income distribution plans; healthcare provider related intermediary services, including integration, affiliation, acquisition and divestiture; Certificate of Need (CON) and regulatory consulting; litigation support and expert witness services; and, industry research services for healthcare providers and their advisors. HCC's accredited professionals are supported by an experienced research and library support staff to maintain a thorough and extensive knowledge of the healthcare reimbursement, regulatory, technological and competitive environment.



Robert James Cimas, MHA, ASA, FRICS, MCBA, CVA, CM&AA, serves as Chief Executive Officer of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, a nationally recognized healthcare financial and economic consulting firm headquartered in St. Louis, MO, serving clients in 49 states since 1993. Mr. Cimas has over thirty years of experience in serving clients, with a professional focus on the financial and economic aspects of healthcare service sector entities including: valuation consulting and capital formation services; healthcare industry transactions including joint ventures, mergers, acquisitions, and divestitures; litigation support & expert testimony; and, certificate-of-need and other regulatory and policy planning consulting.

Mr. Cimas holds a Masters in Health Administration from the University of Maryland, as well as several professional designations: Accredited Senior Appraiser (ASA – American Society of Appraisers); Fellow Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (FRICS – Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors); Master Certified Business Appraiser (MCBA – Institute of Business Appraisers); Accredited Valuation Analyst (AVA – National Association of Certified Valuators and Analysts); and, Certified Merger & Acquisition Advisor (CM&AA – Alliance of Merger & Acquisition Advisors). He has served as an expert witness on cases in numerous courts, and has provided testimony before federal and state legislative committees. He is a nationally known speaker on healthcare industry topics, the author of several books, the latest of which include: "[Accountable Care Organizations: Value Metrics and Capital Formation](#)" [2013 - Taylor & Francis, a division of CRC Press], "[The Adviser's Guide to Healthcare](#)" – Vols. I, II & III [2010 – AICPA], and "[The U.S. Healthcare Certificate of Need Sourcebook](#)" [2005 - Beard Books]. His most recent book, entitled "[Healthcare Valuation: The Financial Appraisal of Enterprises, Assets, and Services](#)" was published by John Wiley & Sons in 2014.

Mr. Cimas is the author of numerous additional chapters in anthologies; books, and legal treatises; published articles in peer reviewed and industry trade journals; research papers and case studies; and, is often quoted by healthcare industry press. In 2006, Mr. Cimas was honored with the prestigious "[Shannon Pratt Award in Business Valuation](#)" conferred by the Institute of Business Appraisers. Mr. Cimas serves on the Editorial Board of the Business Appraisals Practice of the Institute of Business Appraisers, of which he is a member of the College of Fellows. In 2011, he was named a Fellow of the Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (RICS).



Todd A. Zigrang, MBA, MHA, ASA, FACHE, is the President of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, where he focuses on the areas of valuation and financial analysis for hospitals, physician practices, and other healthcare enterprises. Mr. Zigrang has over 20 years of experience providing valuation, financial, transaction and strategic advisory services nationwide in over 1,000 transactions and joint ventures. Mr. Zigrang is also considered an expert in the field of healthcare compensation for physicians, executives and other professionals.

Mr. Zigrang is the author of the soon-to-be released "[Adviser's Guide to Healthcare – 2nd Edition](#)" (AICPA, 2014), numerous chapters in legal treatises and anthologies, and peer-reviewed and industry articles such as: [The Accountant's Business Manual](#) (AICPA); [Valuing Professional Practices and Licenses](#) (Aspen Publishers); [Valuation Strategies; Business Appraisal Practice](#); and, [NACVA QuickRead](#). Additionally, Mr. Zigrang has served as faculty before professional and trade associations such as the American Society of Appraisers (ASA); the National Association of Certified Valuators and Analysts (NACVA); the Physician Hospitals of America (PHA); the Institute of Business Appraisers (IBA); the Healthcare Financial Management Association (HFMA); and, the CPA Leadership Institute.

Mr. Zigrang holds a Master of Science in Health Administration (MHA) and a Master of Business Administration (MBA) from the University of Missouri at Columbia. He is a Fellow of the American College of Healthcare Executives (FACHE) and holds the Accredited Senior Appraiser (ASA) designation from the American Society of Appraisers, where he has served as President of the St. Louis Chapter, and is current Chair of the ASA Healthcare Special Interest Group (HSIG).



John R. Chwarzinski, MSF, MAE, is Senior Vice President of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**. Mr. Chwarzinski holds a Master's Degree in Economics from the University of Missouri – St. Louis, as well as, a Master's Degree in Finance from the John M. Olin School of Business at Washington University in St. Louis. Mr. Chwarzinski's areas of expertise include advanced statistical analysis, econometric modeling, and economic and financial analysis.



Jessica L. Bailey, Esq., is the Director of Research of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, where she conducts project management and consulting services related to the impact of both federal and state regulations on healthcare exempt organization transactions and provides research services necessary to support certified opinions of value related to the Fair Market Value and Commercial Reasonableness of transactions related to healthcare enterprises, assets, and services. Ms. Bailey is a member of the Missouri and Illinois Bars and holds a J.D. and Health Law Certificate from Saint Louis University School of Law, where she served as Fall Managing Editor for the Journal of Health Law and Policy.



Richard W. Hill, III, Esq. is Senior Counsel of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, where he manages research services necessary to support certified opinions of value related to the Fair Market Value and Commercial Reasonableness of transactions related to healthcare enterprises, assets, and services, and conducts analyses of contractual relationships for subject enterprises. Mr. Hill is a member of the Missouri Bar and holds a J.D. and Health Law Certificate from Saint Louis University School of Law.