

Physicians Foundation Issues List of Physician Concerns for 2015

In the era of increased regulatory scrutiny of healthcare entities, physicians are increasingly struggling to seamlessly integrate regulatory changes with high-quality medical practice. It is the belief of many physicians that the prevention of fraud, the implementation of *electronic health record* (EHR) systems, and the creation of value-based care models, among other landmark shifts in the healthcare industry stemming from the *Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act* (ACA), will not decrease the cost and increase the quality of healthcare.¹ Instead, physicians are concerned that continued implementation of ACA mandates may have “*unintended effects*” on healthcare delivery that could negatively impact their healthcare delivery to patients.²

Reflecting these concerns, the Physicians Foundation released its 2015 Physicians Watch List, an insight into the trends physicians are witnessing or predicting will occur in healthcare. The Watch List, based on the results of a survey of over 20,000 physicians, noted “*five critical areas that will have major impact on practicing physicians*” during the 2015 calendar year:

- (1) Increasing Provider Consolidation;
- (2) Increased Strain on the Physician-Patient Relationship;
- (3) The Implementation of ICD-10;
- (4) Cost of Care Transparency for Both Patients & Physicians; and,
- (5) Access to Physician Care.³

Healthcare providers, administrators, and compliance personnel can utilize this information, above, to develop courses of action to mitigate these concerns while also promoting high-quality, cost-efficient medical practice. This Health Capital Topics article will summarize the five areas of concern noted by the Physicians Foundation as well as provide suggested courses of action that physicians and administrators may take to potentially alleviate these concerns.

According to the survey, physicians increasingly fear that provider consolidation has negatively impacted a physician’s ability to make sound clinical decisions.⁴ In a 2014 survey of physicians conducted by the Physicians Foundation, 69% of physicians stated that “*their medical decisions are sometimes or often compromised.*”⁵ As provider consolidation is likely to

continue in 2015,⁶ physicians fear that pressures related to cost-efficiencies associated with larger health systems may impact medical decision-making.⁷ Because physicians serve front-line roles in the delivery of healthcare in both the clinic and hospital setting, and are the “*experts*” who make clinical judgments on care delivery, health systems may be well-served to note the concerns of their physicians as they implement policies, procedures, and business plans. For example, as systems incorporate evidence-based practice guidelines in medical practice to promote efficiencies, health systems could solicit and utilize feedback from its medical staff and employed physicians about proposed and implemented guidelines, giving physicians a voice in the continued refinement of such policies.⁸ Further, health systems implementing evidence-based practice guidelines may find it appropriate to develop mechanisms that allow for easy identification of aberrations to the guidelines and encourage collaboration between physicians of different specialties when such situations occur.⁹

Second, physicians are increasingly asserting that the physician-patient relationship has deteriorated as new regulatory schemes have been implemented. Over 78% of physicians responded that patient relationships serve as their greatest source of professional satisfaction,¹⁰ and that limitations on these relationships can negatively affect physician morale. Additionally, 81% of physicians stated that they were working either *at* or *beyond* full capacity, an increase from 75.5% who reported this in 2012, and a major factor in limiting the development of the physician-patient relationship.¹¹ One factor affecting this deterioration is EHR usage. Utilization patterns of EHRs have been shown to correlate with levels of interaction between the physician and the patient, which can affect the interactive, symbiotic nature of the physician-patient relationship.¹² A 2013 study published in the *International Journal of Medical Informatics* concluded that EHR designs which are not “*intuitive for patients to follow...or understand*” lessen the likelihood that physician-patient interactions will result in “*increasing patient understanding or common ground with the physician.*”¹³ Other factors contributing to the deteriorating physician-patient relationship include heavy caseloads on physicians, which lead to shorter

medical appointments,¹⁴ as well as the risk of malpractice, which can encourage defensive medicine.

Although U.S. hospitals and health systems are treating more patients, due in large part to recent insurance coverage expansions, creative and prudent administrators can still craft strategies to increase physician-patient engagement. One of the most effective ways to improve the physician-patient relationship stems from one of this issue's most problematic causes – EHR systems. As a health system trains its physicians and clinical staff to use its EHR system, the training professional can include simple, short lessons about how to engage with the patient while utilizing EHRs, e.g., screen sharing by sitting in positions inviting patients to view their EHR and recognizing that “patients always followed the doctor gaze” during an EHR interaction.¹⁵ While “eye gaze” training seems distant to any impact on the bottom line of a health system, such training of, and its utilization by, physicians has been shown to positively influence patient behaviors.¹⁶ Such training allows physicians to be efficient with their patient communications and take advantage of what often end up being short patient encounters.

In addition to consolidation and deteriorating patient relationships, physicians have also expressed concerns regarding the implementation of the *tenth revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10)* coding system. Physician concerns regarding ICD-10 are twofold. First, physicians fear that continuing delays by the federal government as to the required date of ICD-10 use may create systemic inefficiencies that could lead to lost revenue.¹⁷ Providers have already been forced to reallocate resources originally related to ICD-10 implementation – particularly the valuable resource of *time* – which caused disruptions regarding cash flow for the practice.¹⁸ Second, 75% of physicians surveyed by the Physicians Foundation stated that ICD-10 will “unnecessarily complicate coding,” leading to more paperwork and less time spent with patients.¹⁹ Even with these concerns, there are actions that health systems can potentially take to limit what will be a significant transition from the current, 30-year-old ICD-9 coding system.²⁰ Engaging in strategic planning *now* for the implementation target of October 1, 2015 may help health systems manage the many variables involved in the coding upgrade: computer system updates;²¹ distribution and incorporation of medical documentation consistent with ICD-10 codes;²² communication with software vendors to gauge their preparedness;²³ and, staff training.²⁴ Moreover, creating a back-up plan for the possibility of another implementation delay (e.g., maintaining or storing previous ICD-9 infrastructure) will likely lessen the blow of another delay.

Another growing concern for 2015 stems from the current lack of transparency surrounding medical care costs – a concern of both patients and physicians. As health systems engage in consolidation efforts to

streamline care in accordance with ACA measures, such as accountable care and bundled payment models, physicians have begun to struggle to answer what often is a patient's most basic question: “*how much will my care cost me?*” The current consolidation trend has led to numerous stories surrounding physicians who performed a procedure in January 2014 at one cost, and then performing that same procedure in November 2014 (over 10 months later) for double or triple the cost.²⁵ Often, this increase in cost has a direct effect on patients, whose cost-sharing often rises due to this phenomenon (known as *site of service differentials*), influencing patients to skip that preventive or elective care which often prevents more serious health consequences.²⁶ Physicians fear that this growing influence on patients could affect a provider's ability “*to make the best clinical decisions for patients.*”²⁷

Numerous examinations regarding the pressing issue of price transparency have indirectly provided health systems with tools and strategies to increase medical cost transparency. In an October 2014 report, the *U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO)* noted that:

“Consumers are most likely to respond to information that applies to their personal circumstances, including...information on the specific procedures consumers are considering...and on cost estimates that take into account their particular insurance coverage.”²⁸

The GAO found that creating usable, clear, and searchable interfaces to produce tailored information on price and quality improves the patient's ability to weigh decisions relating to their own medical care;²⁹ however, the GAO found the *Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)*, which administers the Medicare and Medicaid programs, inadequately provided such information to its beneficiaries.³⁰ For large health systems with increasing leverage in negotiating contracts with payors, advocating for usable, clear search platforms from commercial health insurers is becoming an increasing possibility. As commercial insurers increasingly develop transparency tools for consumer use,³¹ health systems can integrate these information portals with their own IT systems, allowing providers to more efficiently share more accurate cost and quality estimates with patients and develop referral patterns that are increasingly based on patient needs.³²

On a macro level, physicians are concerned that, taken together, the healthcare trends described above will ultimately limit patient access to physician care. In its 2014 survey of physicians, the Physicians Foundation found that 44% of physicians plan to “*take steps that would reduce access to their services,*” e.g., working shorter workdays, retiring within three years.³³ This cutback is coinciding with increased Medicare enrollment, a recent influx of newly-insured individuals, and recent Medicaid expansions under the ACA, possibly limiting patient access to meaningful physician services while at the same time the ACA is seeking to increase access to medical care for Americans.³⁴ As a

result, hospitals and health systems are facing increasing pressure to utilize their resources efficiently to serve the needs of its current and future patients. Nevertheless, like the other physician concerns described above, health systems may be able to take certain acts to limit access issues and utilize their resources efficiently. Prominent among these is the use of *Community Health Needs Assessments* (CHNAs). Hospitals and health systems can conduct – and commit to utilizing – a CHNA in the development of strategic behaviors to maintain profitability and (for many hospitals) its charitable mission. Hospitals and health systems that are facing increasing resource constraints can follow the example of critical access hospitals in utilizing CHNAs, which help focus these essential providers on maximizing their resources to meet community needs by clearly discovering and presenting local health priorities. In addition to utilizing CHNA, health systems can lobby Congress to lift the funding cap on residency training slots, which will promote the training of increasing numbers of medical school graduates.³⁵

Many of the concerns that physicians have at the beginning of 2015 reflect common concerns related to the U.S. healthcare industry in the current era of healthcare reform. Concerns regarding clinical autonomy, improper influences, and cost of care signify broader concerns about the ability of the ACA to positively impact the U.S. healthcare system by: improving quality, increasing efficiency, and broadening access for a larger portion of the U.S. population. Although such questions are difficult to answer and highly partisan, healthcare administrators taking a “*how-to-fix*” approach carry solution-oriented attitudes that can bring the needed impetus to solving not only the concerns of physicians but also of patients and other players in healthcare today.

1 “2014 Survey of America’s Physicians: Practice Patterns & Perspectives” The Physicians Foundation, 2014, http://www.physiciansfoundation.org/uploads/default/2014_Physicians_Foundation_Biennial_Physician_Survey_Report.pdf (Accessed 2/4/14) p. 7.

2 “The Physicians Foundation Releases 2015 Physician Watch List” The Physicians Foundation, December 15, 2014, <http://www.physiciansfoundation.org/news/the-physicians-foundation-releases-2015-physician-watch-list/> (Accessed 2/4/15).

3 *Ibid.*

4 *Ibid.*

5 The Physicians Foundation, 2014.

6 “Top 2015 Healthcare Trends, The Camden Group, January 6, 2015, <http://www.thecamdengroup.com/press-releases/top-2015-healthcare-trends/> (Accessed 2/4/15).

7 The Physicians Foundation, 2014.

8 “The Promises and Pitfalls of Evidence-Based Medicine” By Stefan Timmermans and Aaron Mauck, *Health Affairs*, Vol. 24, No. 1, January/February 2005, p. 24.

9 *Ibid.*

10 The Physicians Foundation, 2014.

11 The Physicians Foundation, 2014.

12 “Dynamic Modeling of Patient and Physician Eye Gaze to Understand the Effects of Electronic Health Records on Doctor-Patient Communication and Attention” By Enid Montague & Onur Asan, *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, Vol. 83, No. 4 (April 2013), p. 231-232.

13 *Ibid.*

14 The Physicians Foundation, 2014.

15 Montague & Asan, April 2013, p. 231.

16 *Ibid.*

17 The Physicians Foundation, December 15, 2014.

18 *Ibid.*

19 The Physicians Foundation, 2014, p. 57.

20 “ICD-10 Transition for Physicians” McKesson Corporation, 2015, <http://www.mckesson.com/providers/icd-10-physicians/> (Accessed 2/13/15).

21 The Physicians Foundation, December 15, 2014.

22 McKesson Corporation, 2015.

23 “ICD-10 Preparation: 6 Elements That Need to be in Your Impact Assessments” ICD-10 Watch, *Healthcare IT News*, January 18, 2012, <http://www.icd10watch.com/blog/icd-10-preparation-6-elements-need-be-your-impact-assessments> (Accessed 2/13/15).

24 McKesson Corporation, 2015.

25 The Physicians Foundation, December 15, 2014.

26 “When Hospitals Buy Doctors’ Offices, and Patient Fees Soar” By Margot Sanger-Katz, *The New York Times*, February 6, 2015, <http://www.nytimes.com/2015/02/07/upshot/medicare-proposal-would-even-out-doctors-pay.html?abt=0002&abg=0> (Accessed 2/13/15).

27 The Physicians Foundation, December 15, 2014.

28 “Health Care Transparency: Actions Needed to Improve Cost and Quality Information for Consumers” United States Government Accountability Office, October 2014, <http://www.gao.gov/assets/670/666572.pdf> (Accessed 2/13/15) p. 14.

29 *Ibid.*, p. 18.

30 *Ibid.*, p. 21-22.

31 *Ibid.*, p. 10.

32 “Price Transparency in Health Care: Report from the HFMA Price Transparency Task Force” Healthcare Financial Management Association, 2014, <http://www.hfma.org/Content.aspx?id=22305> (Accessed 2/13/15) p. 17.

33 *Ibid.*

34 *Ibid.*

35 *Ibid.*



(800) FYI - VALU

Providing Solutions
in the Era of
Healthcare Reform

Founded in 1993, HCC is a
nationally recognized healthcare
economic financial consulting firm

- [HCC Home](#)
- [Firm Profile](#)
- [HCC Services](#)
- [HCC Experts](#)
- [Clients & Projects](#)
- [HCC News](#)
- [Upcoming Events](#)
- [Contact Us](#)
- [Email Us](#)

HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC) is an established, nationally recognized healthcare financial and economic consulting firm headquartered in St. Louis, Missouri, with regional personnel nationwide. Founded in 1993, HCC has served clients in over 45 states, in providing services including: valuation in all healthcare sectors; financial analysis, including the development of forecasts, budgets and income distribution plans; healthcare provider related intermediary services, including integration, affiliation, acquisition and divestiture; Certificate of Need (CON) and regulatory consulting; litigation support and expert witness services; and, industry research services for healthcare providers and their advisors. HCC's accredited professionals are supported by an experienced research and library support staff to maintain a thorough and extensive knowledge of the healthcare reimbursement, regulatory, technological and competitive environment.



Robert James Cimasi, MHA, ASA, FRICS, MCBA, CVA, CM&AA, serves as Chief Executive Officer of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, a nationally recognized healthcare financial and economic consulting firm headquartered in St. Louis, MO, serving clients in 49 states since 1993. Mr. Cimasi has over thirty years of experience in serving clients, with a professional focus on the financial and economic aspects of healthcare service sector entities including: valuation consulting and capital formation services; healthcare industry transactions including joint ventures, mergers, acquisitions, and divestitures; litigation support & expert testimony; and, certificate-of-need and other regulatory and policy planning consulting.

Mr. Cimasi holds a Masters in Health Administration from the University of Maryland, as well as several professional designations: Accredited Senior Appraiser (ASA – American Society of Appraisers); Fellow Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (FRICS – Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors); Master Certified Business Appraiser (MCBA – Institute of Business Appraisers); Accredited Valuation Analyst (AVA – National Association of Certified Valuators and Analysts); and, Certified Merger & Acquisition Advisor (CM&AA – Alliance of Merger & Acquisition Advisors). He has served as an expert witness on cases in numerous courts, and has provided testimony before federal and state legislative committees. He is a nationally known speaker on healthcare industry topics, the author of several books, the latest of which include: “*Accountable Care Organizations: Value Metrics and Capital Formation*” [2013 - Taylor & Francis, a division of CRC Press], “*The Adviser’s Guide to Healthcare*” – Vols. I, II & III [2010 – AICPA], and “*The U.S. Healthcare Certificate of Need Sourcebook*” [2005 - Beard Books]. His most recent book, entitled “*Healthcare Valuation: The Financial Appraisal of Enterprises, Assets, and Services*” was published by John Wiley & Sons in 2014.

Mr. Cimasi is the author of numerous additional chapters in anthologies; books, and legal treatises; published articles in peer reviewed and industry trade journals; research papers and case studies; and, is often quoted by healthcare industry press. In 2006, Mr. Cimasi was honored with the prestigious “*Shannon Pratt Award in Business Valuation*” conferred by the Institute of Business Appraisers. Mr. Cimasi serves on the Editorial Board of the Business Appraisals Practice of the Institute of Business Appraisers, of which he is a member of the College of Fellows. In 2011, he was named a Fellow of the Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (RICS).



Todd A. Zigrang, MBA, MHA, ASA, FACHE, is the President of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, where he focuses on the areas of valuation and financial analysis for hospitals, physician practices, and other healthcare enterprises. Mr. Zigrang has over 20 years of experience providing valuation, financial, transaction and strategic advisory services nationwide in over 1,000 transactions and joint ventures. Mr. Zigrang is also considered an expert in the field of healthcare compensation for physicians, executives and other professionals.

Mr. Zigrang is the author of the soon-to-be released “*Adviser’s Guide to Healthcare – 2nd Edition*” (AICPA, 2014), numerous chapters in legal treatises and anthologies, and peer-reviewed and industry articles such as: *The Accountant’s Business Manual* (AICPA); *Valuing Professional Practices and Licenses* (Aspen Publishers); *Valuation Strategies; Business Appraisal Practice*; and, *NACVA QuickRead*. Additionally, Mr. Zigrang has served as faculty before professional and trade associations such as the American Society of Appraisers (ASA); the National Association of Certified Valuators and Analysts (NACVA); the Physician Hospitals of America (PHA); the Institute of Business Appraisers (IBA); the Healthcare Financial Management Association (HFMA); and, the CPA Leadership Institute.

Mr. Zigrang holds a Master of Science in Health Administration (MHA) and a Master of Business Administration (MBA) from the University of Missouri at Columbia. He is a Fellow of the American College of Healthcare Executives (FACHE) and holds the Accredited Senior Appraiser (ASA) designation from the American Society of Appraisers, where he has served as President of the St. Louis Chapter, and is a current member of the ASA Healthcare Special Interest Group (HSIG) committee.



Matthew J. Wagner, MBA, CFA, is Senior Vice President of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, where he focuses on the areas of valuation and financial analysis. Mr. Wagner has provided valuation services regarding various healthcare related enterprises, assets and services, including but not limited to, physician practices, diagnostic imaging service lines, ambulatory surgery centers, physician-owned insurance plans, equity purchase options, physician clinical compensation, and healthcare equipment leases.



John R. Chwarzinski, MSF, MAE, is Vice President of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**. Mr. Chwarzinski holds a Master’s Degree in Economics from the University of Missouri – St. Louis, as well as, a Master’s Degree in Finance from the John M. Olin School of Business at Washington University in St. Louis. Mr. Chwarzinski’s areas of expertise include advanced statistical analysis, econometric modeling, and economic and financial analysis.



Jessica L. Bailey, Esq., is the Director of Research of **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC)**, where she conducts project management and consulting services related to the impact of both federal and state regulations on healthcare exempt organization transactions and provides research services necessary to support certified opinions of value related to the Fair Market Value and Commercial Reasonableness of transactions related to healthcare enterprises, assets, and services. Ms. Bailey is a member of the Missouri and Illinois Bars and holds a J.D. and Health Law Certificate from Saint Louis University School of Law, where she served as Fall Managing Editor for the Journal of Health Law and Policy.