

Bundled Payment Models Miss Medicare Savings and Quality Objectives

Bundled payments are increasingly being criticized for not bringing about the predicted quality and cost-saving outcomes that were expected. These previously promising¹ outcomes, especially as relates to joint replacement, have been found by recent research to be relatively meager.² Further, there is no significant difference in *quality* realized when implementing bundled payments.³ This *Health Capital Topics* article will review the recently-published studies regarding the quality and cost effectiveness of two major bundled payment models – the *Comprehensive Care for Joint Replacement (CJR)* model and the *Bundled Payments for Care Improvement Initiative (BPCI) Advanced* program.

Bundled payments, also known as “*episode-based payments*,” are single payments for all healthcare services corresponding to a specific treatment or condition.⁴ Healthcare providers who accept bundled payments from a payor assume the financial risk for all costs of medical services that exceed the bundled payment amount for the particular treatment or condition.⁵ Bundled payments operate under the assumption that the model will incentivize providers to lower costs and reduce unnecessary services.⁶

The first modern incarnation of bundled payments from the *Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)* was the *Medicare Participating Heart Bypass Center Demonstration*, which took place from 1991 through 1996.⁷ The short-lived test, which involved only four hospitals, showed promising results.⁸ The hospitals in the program were able to significantly lower costs related to bypass surgery while maintaining quality.⁹ However, later research into the demonstration project found that cost reductions actually came primarily from changes in the nursing management and pharmacy changes.¹⁰

In 2006, the bundled payment model gained significant attention when Geisinger Health System implemented its “*ProvenCare*” model, which packaged coronary heart bypass surgery into one bundled price.¹¹ The model proved much more successful than originally anticipated, and with extraordinary quality results.¹² Patients receiving services under *ProvenCare* saw a significantly shorter length of stay than patients not receiving care through the model.¹³ The results of the program helped spur considerable support for more widespread use of bundling. Consequently, in 2008, the *Medicare Payment Advisory Commission (MedPAC)* requested that

Congress implement a “*path to bundled payment*.”¹⁴ Following the recommendation from MedPAC, the 2010 *Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA)* required Medicare to reform post-acute service payment, which included the implementation of bundled payment models.¹⁵ As a result of the ACA, which allowed CMS to test bundled payments through a new payment model, CMS created the *Bundled Payments for Care Improvement (BPCI) Initiative*.¹⁶ The program tests bundled payment models on various treatments.¹⁷

In 2016, Medicare implemented the *Comprehensive Care for Joint Replacement (CJR)* model, which bundles payment for joint replacement surgery.¹⁸ The model stems from the BPCI Initiative, which created four broad models of care wherein payments are bundled for a particular episode of care.¹⁹ The bundling model was poised to make a swift and significant impact on healthcare costs because joint replacements are the most common surgery among beneficiaries,²⁰ and the cost and quality can vary significantly.²¹ Historical studies regarding the effectiveness of the program showed that bundled payments lower costs while maintaining or improving quality for lower extremity joint replacement.²² However, more recent evidence indicates that, in fact, the *only* type of clinical episode that results in cost savings is lower extremity joint replacement.²³ Further, the savings from lower extremity joint replacement may possibly be due to the favorable demographics of the population receiving the procedure, as they tend to be younger and have lower rates of poverty and disability.²⁴ Disappointingly, the cost-savings from bundled payments for lower extremity joint replacement is lower than what was predicted.²⁵ Significantly, the evidence now indicates that further extending the bundled payment program may actually work *against* Medicare’s goals, because the achievement of savings varies by the timing of participation, with participants joining later making smaller gains.²⁶ Newer CJR participants may have joined at a later date because they lacked time, resources, or control over discharge practices, so the program only minimally benefited them once they did join.²⁷ The voluntary nature of joining allows for less commitment and less industry pressure and payor pressure to join, leading to the unequal implementation of institutional policies.²⁸ Finally, the evidence shows that Medicare’s bundled payment system is only effective with unbridled patient selection, where

providers can choose the healthiest patients for bundled payment.²⁹ However, positive early results from bundled payment programs encouraged CMS to pursue bundled payment models further.

In 2018, subsequent to the roll-out of the CJR model, Medicare announced the new BPCI Advanced Model.³⁰ The model expands upon the original BPCI model by increasing the number of episodes from four to 48 and taking into account more quality aspects.³¹ However, if the current results of the voluntary bundled payment models are any indication, quality and cost improvement will be dependent on the complexity of the particular clinical episode.³² Further research is required to fully understand if bundled payment models are practical solutions for highly complex medical conditions. Cutting

costs by bundling payments simply does not work as effectively for non-orthopedic conditions.³³

The nascency of bundled payment programs means that any evidence related to their foretold success is limited, if not indeterminate.³⁴ Further, the evidence that does exist reveals that more complex clinical episodes, such as spinal fusion procedures, are not associated with cost savings, largely due to the high patient complexity.³⁵ It does not appear that bundled payments will be the “*silver bullet*” for the fragmented and expensive U.S. healthcare system; rather, it is one of many tools that can be implemented to help bring costs under control. Nevertheless, continued implementation of bundled payments by private payors may lead healthcare organizations to better integrate into bundled payment models and create greater cost-savings for all.

1 Early research showed great potential for more significant cost decreases and better quality outcomes because early research was promising. “Association Between Hospital Participation in a Medicare Bundled Payment Initiative and Payments and Quality Outcomes for Lower Extremity Joint Replacement Episodes” Laura A. Dummit, Daver Kahvecioglu, Grecia Marrufo, et al, *Journal of the American Medical Association*, Vol. 316, No. 12 (September 2016), p. 1267, 1274-1277.

2 Researchers found that participation in BPCI was only associated with a 1.6% decrease in spending. “Spending And Quality After Three Years Of Medicare’s Voluntary Bundled Payment For Joint Replacement Surgery” By Amol S. Navathe, Ezekiel J. Emanuel, Atheendar S. Venkataramani, Qian Huang, Atul Gupta, Claire T. Dinh, Eric Z. Shan, Dylan Small, Norma B. Coe, Erkuan Wang, Xinshuo Ma, Jingsan Zhu, Deborah S. Cousins, and Joshua M. LiaoSee, *Health Affairs*, Vol. 39, Issue 1 (January 2020), p. 58.

3 *Ibid.*

4 “Analysis of Bundled Payment” RAND Corporation, 2020, https://www.rand.org/pubs/technical_reports/TR562z20/analysis-of-bundled-payment.html (Accessed 1/7/20).

5 *Ibid.*

6 *Ibid.*

7 “Medicare Participating Heart Bypass Center Demonstration” Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, 2020, <https://innovation.cms.gov/Medicare-Demonstrations/Medicare-Participating-Heart-Bypass-Center-Demonstration.html> (Accessed 1/7/20).

8 “Medicare Participating Heart Bypass Center Demonstration: Executive Summary Final Report” By Jerry Cromwell, Debra A. Dayhoff, Nancy T. McCall, Sujha Subramanian, Rachel C. Freitas, Robert J. Hart, Cheryl Caswell, William Statson, Health Economics Research Inc., July 1998, https://innovation.cms.gov/Files/Migrated-Medicare-Demonstration-x/Medicare_Heart_Bypass_Executive_Summary.pdf (Accessed 1/7/20), p. ES-9 – ES-12.

9 *Ibid.*

10 RAND Corporation, 2020.

11 “‘ProvenCare’: a provider-driven pay-for-performance program for acute episodic cardiac surgical care” By AS Casale, et al., *Annals of Surgery*, Vol. 246, No. 4 (October 2007), p. 613-614.

12 *Ibid.*

13 *Ibid.*, p. 621-630.

14 “Report to the Congress: reforming the delivery system, Chapter 4. A path to bundled payment around a hospitalization” Medicare Payment Advisory Commission, June 2008, p. 80-101.

15 “‘Side-by-side comparison of major health care reform proposals” Henry J. Kaiser Family Foundation, 2010, p. 28.

16 “Bundled Payments for Care Improvement (BPCI) Initiative: General Information” Centers for Medicare and Medicaid

Services, 2020, <https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/bundled-payments/> (Accessed 1/7/2020).

17 *Ibid.*

18 “Comprehensive Care for Joint Replacement Model” Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, January 6, 2020, <https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/cjr> (Accessed 1/7/20).

19 CMS, 2020.

20 CMS, January 6, 2020.

21 “A Collaborative Of Leading Health Systems Finds Wide Variations In Total Knee Replacement Delivery And Takes Steps To Improve Value” By Ivan M. Tomek, Allison L. Sabel, Mark I. Froimson, George Muschler, David S. Jevsevar, Karl M. Koenig, David G. Lewallen, James M. Naessens, Lucy A. Savitz, James L. Westrich, William B. Weeks, and James N. Weinstein, *Health Affairs*, June 2012, Vol. 31, No. 6, p. 1329.

22 “The Impact Of Bundled Payment On Health Care Spending, Utilization, And Quality: A Systematic Review” By Rajender Agarwal, Joshua M. Liao, Ashutosh Gupta, and Amol S. Navathe, *Health Affairs*, Vol. 39, No. 1 (January 2020), p. 50.

23 *Ibid.*

24 *Ibid.*, p. 55.

25 “Spending And Quality After Three Years Of Medicare’s Voluntary Bundled Payment For Joint Replacement Surgery” By Amol S. Navathe, Ezekiel J. Emanuel, Atheendar S. Venkataramani, Qian Huang, Atul Gupta, Claire T. Dinh, Eric Z. Shan, Dylan Small, Norma B. Coe, Erkuan Wang, Xinshuo Ma, Jingsan Zhu, Deborah S. Cousins, and Joshua M. LiaoSee, *Health Affairs*, Vol. 39, Issue 1 (January 2020), p. 64.

26 *Ibid.*, p. 64-65.

27 *Ibid.*, p. 64.

28 *Ibid.*, p. 65.

29 *Ibid.*

30 “BPCI Advanced” Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, 2020, <https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/bpci-advanced> (Accessed 1/7/20).

31 *Ibid.*

32 Agarwal, Liao, Gupta, and Navathe, p. 55-56.

33 Showing other conditions have significant variance in cost savings from orthopedic surgeries. “Evaluation of Medicare’s Bundled Payments Initiative for Medical Conditions” By Karen E. Joynt Maddox, E. John Orav, Jie Zheng and Arnold M. Epstein, *The New England Journal of Medicine*, Vol. 379, No. 3 (July 2018), p. 266.

34 Showing there was limited evidence of a successful implementation and the evidence thus collected cannot not give definitive results of success due to the short period of time from the date of implementation of the original programs. RAND Corporation, 2020.

35 Agarwal, Liao, Gupta, and Navathe, p. 55.



(800)FYI - VALU

*Providing Solutions
in the Era of
Healthcare Reform*

Founded in 1993, HCC is a nationally recognized healthcare economic financial consulting firm

- [HCC Home](#)
- [Firm Profile](#)
- [HCC Services](#)
- [HCC Experts](#)
- [Clients & Projects](#)
- [HCC News](#)
- [Upcoming Events](#)
- [Contact Us](#)
- [Email Us](#)

HCC Services

- [Valuation Consulting](#)
- [Commercial Reasonableness Opinions](#)
- [Commercial Payor Reimbursement Benchmarking](#)
- [Litigation Support & Expert Witness](#)
- [Financial Feasibility Analysis & Modeling](#)
- [Intermediary Services](#)
- [Certificate of Need](#)
- [ACO Value Metrics & Capital Formation](#)
- [Strategic Consulting](#)
- [Industry Research Services](#)



[Todd A. Zigrang](#), MBA, MHA, CVA, ASA, FACHE, is the President of HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC), where he focuses on the areas of valuation and financial analysis for hospitals, physician practices, and other healthcare enterprises. Mr. Zigrang has over 25 years of experience providing valuation, financial, transaction and strategic advisory services nationwide in over 2,000 transactions and joint ventures. Mr. Zigrang is also considered an expert in the field of healthcare compensation for physicians, executives and other professionals.

Mr. Zigrang is the co-author of "[The Adviser's Guide to Healthcare – 2nd Edition](#)" [2015 – AICPA], numerous chapters in legal treatises and anthologies, and peer-reviewed and industry articles such as: *The Accountant's Business Manual* (AICPA); *Valuing Professional Practices and Licenses* (Aspen Publishers); *Valuation Strategies*; *Business Appraisal Practice*; and, *NACVA QuickRead*. In addition to his contributions as an author, Mr. Zigrang has served as faculty before professional and trade associations such as the American Society of Appraisers (ASA); American Health Lawyers Associate (AHLA); the American Bar Association (ABA); the National Association of Certified Valuators and Analysts (NACVA); Physician Hospitals of America (PHA); the Institute of Business Appraisers (IBA); the Healthcare Financial Management Association (HFMA); and, the CPA Leadership Institute.



[Jessica L. Bailey-Wheaton](#), Esq., is Senior Vice President & General Counsel of HCC, where she focuses on project management and consulting services related to the impact of both federal and state regulations on healthcare exempt organization transactions, and research services necessary to support certified opinions of value related to the Fair Market Value and Commercial Reasonableness of transactions related to healthcare enterprises, assets, and services. She has presented before associations such as the American Bar Association and NACVA.



[John R. Chwarzinski](#), MSF, MAE, is Senior Vice President of HCC, where he focuses on the areas of valuation and financial analysis of healthcare enterprises, assets and services. Mr. Chwarzinski holds a Master's Degree in Economics from the University of Missouri – St. Louis, as well as, a Master's of Science in Finance Degree from the John M. Olin School of Business at Washington University in St. Louis. He has presented before associations such as the National Association of Certified Valuators and Analysts; the Virginia Medical Group Management Association; and, the Missouri Society of CPAs. Mr. Chwarzinski's areas of expertise include advanced statistical analysis, econometric modeling, and economic and quantitative financial analysis.



[Daniel J. Chen](#), MSF, CVA, focuses on developing Fair Market Value and Commercial Reasonableness opinions related to healthcare enterprises, assets, and services. In addition he prepares, reviews and analyzes forecasted and pro forma financial statements to determine the most probable future net economic benefit related to healthcare enterprises, assets, and services and applies utilization demand and reimbursement trends to project professional medical revenue streams and ancillary services and technical component (ASTC) revenue streams. Mr. Chen holds the Certified Valuation Analyst (CVA) designation from NACVA.



[Paul M. Doelling](#), MHA, FACMPE, has over 25 years of healthcare valuation and operational management experience and he has previously served as an administrator for a number of mid to large-sized independent and hospital-owned physician practice groups. During that time, he has participated in numerous physician integration and affiliation initiatives. Paul has authored peer-reviewed and industry articles, as well as served as faculty before professional associations such as the Medical Group Management Association (MGMA) and the Healthcare Financial Management Association (HFMA). He is a member of MGMA, as well as HFMA where he previously served as President of the Greater St. Louis Chapter.