Outlook for the Pharmacy Benefit Management Industry (Part Two of a Two-Part Series)

Recent legal actions against Express Scripts, Inc. (ESI) have triggered momentum for potential shifts in the competitive landscape for the Pharmacy Benefit Management (PBM) industry in the United States. Most notably, Anthem's March 2016 lawsuit against ESI alleging non-competitive pricing for PBM services has increased calls for heightened price transparency among PBMs, as well as a movement toward in-house PBMs among health insurers. Despite these trends, the future of independently operated PBMs might not be entirely inauspicious. Since PBMs have the ability to privately negotiate prices with pharmaceutical manufacturers, PBMs can bargain for cheaper, sometimes exclusive, deals that smaller health insurance providers do not have the competitive position to leverage.² This second installment of the two-part Health Capital Topics series on the PBM industry will discuss the current state of the litigation regarding ESI, and the potential impact that such litigation may have on ESI and the PBM industry as

In March 2016, Anthem sued ESI for not providing "competitive benchmark pricing" for its services and products.³ Anthem alleges that the difference between the current rates charged by ESI and the actual market rate totals \$13 billion in losses.⁴ ESI responded with a countersuit in April 2016, which denied Anthem's allegation of not providing "competitive benchmark pricing" and claimed that Anthem "failed to negotiate in good faith" with ESI.⁵ Anthem rebutted by filing a motion to dismiss several of ESI's counterclaims in July 2016.⁶ The court has not delivered a ruling on such claims and motions, and litigation for the case is ongoing.

Despite the counterclaims, the lawsuit has caused dissatisfaction to percolate among various ESI stakeholders, subsequently spawning new lawsuits involving the company. As mentioned in Part One of this series, certain ESI shareholders filed a class-action suit against the PBM giant in May 2016, alleging that ESI misrepresented its relationship with Anthem, causing financial losses for the shareholders when news of the litigation caused a "precipitous decline" in ESI stocks.⁷ Additionally, in June 2016, several participants in health plans that utilize Anthem and ESI to provide health and pharmaceutical benefits, respectively, brought a classaction lawsuit against both companies.⁸ The lawsuit

alleged that ESI charged "above competitive pricing levels" and that Anthem failed "to adequately monitor [ESI's] activities to the detriment of [its clients]," both in violation of their fiduciary duties under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA).9

Further, five pharmacies filed a separate class-action suit against ESI in August 2016, claiming that ESI illegally utilized the pharmacies' "customer information and prescription data" in order to siphon customers from the private pharmacies toward using ESI's mail-order pharmacy. 10 The suit alleges that if pharmacies contracting with ESI do not provide ESI with certain customer information, such as the patient's address and prescription drug order, the PBM will not reimburse the pharmacy for filling prescriptions. 11 Although the lawsuit states that this information is provided to ESI in order for the company to "perform the functions it has agreed to perform,"12 the lawsuit alleges that ESI utilizes this information to switch customers who are using high profit medications to ESI's mail-order service without their knowledge. 13 The suit alleges such actions reduce pharmacy profits, as well as violates the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) by using protected health information beyond the scope of its PBM functioning¹⁴ Further, the pharmacies allege that the practice of mailing prescriptions is potentially dangerous for the health of patients who consume these products. 15 Many medications must be stored at specific temperatures and humidity levels in order to maintain their clinical effectiveness, ¹⁶ which the pharmacies allege cannot often be assured through the postal service. 17 Exposure to non-regulated environments can render a medication ineffective, meaning that the medication would not have its intended impact on a patient's health. 18 Litigation in all cases is currently ongoing.

The pending lawsuits could result in several negative repercussions for ESI. First, if Anthem wins the case against ESI and is able to void their contract before its natural termination in 2019,¹⁹ the PBM industry may undergo significant shifts in market share. Most notably, ESI would potentially lose approximately 14 percent of its revenue if Anthem successfully voided its PBM contract with ESI.²⁰ Second, Anthem's successful implementation of its plan to develop an in-house PBM may add a new competitor to the PBM market,

potentially fragmenting this industry.²¹ Third, ESI's mail-order pharmacy business could face financial consequences should the court rule in favor of the pharmacies claiming that ESI illegally used client information and is in violation of HIPAA.²² Considering that mail-order prescription revenue for ESI rose from \$8 billion in 2009 to \$37.6 billion in 2013,²³ scaling back its mail-order service could result in significant revenue losses for ESI.

The outcome of ESI's case with Anthem could foreshadow a broader trend of insurance providers moving toward in-house PBMs. Currently, UnitedHealth Group utilizes a wholly owned subsidiary, OptumRx, to provide PBM services.²⁴ Likewise, Cigna and Humana also operate their own PBMs: Cigna Pharmacy Benefit Management²⁵ and Humana Pharmacy Solutions,²⁶ respectively. If the proposed Aetna-Humana and Anthem-Cigna mergers are successful, this would create both: (1) the second and third largest health insurance providers by market share, respectively; and, (2) greater patient pools from which to operate their in-house PBMs.²⁷ Despite this trend toward consolidation, the strategy of developing in-house PBMs may be limited. First, this strategy might only be available to larger health insurance providers who can leverage their market power to negotiate lower prices from pharmaceutical manufacturers.²⁸ In this context, PBM organizations may still be needed to serve as intermediaries between smaller insurance companies and pharmaceutical manufacturers. Second, due to antitrust concerns, the U.S Department of Justice (DOJ) and state attorneys general have filed lawsuits blocking the mergers,²⁹ with litigation in both cases currently ongoing. Should the lawsuits block the mergers, it is unclear whether Aetna and Anthem would possess the requisite number of covered beneficiaries to move forward with establishing their own in-house PBMs.

1 "If Anthem Splits with Express Scripts, A New PBM May Emerge" By Bruce Japsen, Forbes, March 22, 2016, http://www.forbes.com/sites/brucejapsen/2016/03/22/if-anthem-splits-with-express-scripts-a-new-pbm-may-emerge/#7c4435a14bfa (Accessed 9/6/16); "Anthem, Inc. vs. Express Scripts, Inc." Case 1:16-cv-02048-ER (S.D.N.Y., March 21, 2016), Complaint, p. 2.

One reason that health insurance companies may establish in-house PBMs is to better control prescription costs.30 The lack of price transparency in the PBM industry can prevent health insurance providers from deciphering if they are paying a fair market price for prescriptions.31 Currently, the process PBMs use to set prices is largely opaque. Since a PBM often contracts with multiple pharmaceutical manufacturers and insurance companies, it can negotiate different prices with each business.³² This means that clients might receive different rates for the same service(s) or product(s), potentially generating animosity between the PBM and its clients.³³ Although health insurers expect to be charged a higher price for a medication than the the wholesale price PBM negotiates manufacturers,34 that price markup varies across medications and PBMs. 35 Therefore, the PBM has some discretion when negotiating the price of a drug.³⁶ When faced with such arguments, PBMs have asserted that disclosing their negotiation practices would reduce a PBM's effectiveness in brokering discounts for health plans, ultimately increasing consumer costs.³⁷

The current lawsuits against ESI suggest that changes could be on the horizon for the PBM industry. Issues with price transparency are already increasing the push for inhouse PBMs. While this option may not be available to every insurance provider, it could indicate a shift in how PBMs operate if their largest clients become their competitors. This shift may increase competition among the currently operating PBMs, and may even impact how pricing and services are offered across the PBM industry in the future. Healthcare providers treating patients covered by health insurers utilizing PBMs may find it prudent to monitor continued developments in this market to determine the potential impact on provider reimbursement and patient access to affordable pharmaceutical benefits.

- 9 Ibid.
- 10 "Trone Health Services et al. vs. Express Scripts et al." Case No. 4:16-cv-01250-RLW (E.D.M., August 1, 2016), Complaint, p. 1.
- 11 *Ibid*, p.6-7.
- 12 *Ibid*, p. 9.
- 13 Ibid, p. 16-17.
- 14 *Ibid*, p. 16-17.
- 15 Ibid, p. 12.
- 16 Ibid, p. 12-13; "Mistakes in Storage May Alter Medication" By Walecia Konrad, New York Times, August 5, 2011, http://www.nytimes.com/2011/08/16/health/16consumer.html?_r= 0 (Accessed 9/20/16); "Why You Should Never Store Medications at High Temperatures" By Alice G. Walton, The Atlantic, September 13, 2011, http://www.theatlantic.com/health/archive/2011/09/why-you-should-never-store-medications-at-high-temperatures/245011/ (Accessed 9/20/16).
- 17 "Trone Health Services et al. vs. Express Scripts et al." Complaint, p. 12-13.
- 18 Ibid
- 19 "Anthem, Inc. vs. Express Scripts, Inc." Complaint, p. 2.
- 20 "Express Scripts Isn't All Anthem" By Max Nisen, Bloomberg, February 18, 2016, https://www.bloomberg.com/gadfly/articles/2016-02-18/express-scripts-isn-t-all-anthem (Accessed September 6, 2016); "Melbourne Municipal Firefighters' Pension Trust Fund v.

² Bruce Japsen, March 22, 2016; "The Value of Pharmacy Benefit Management and the National Cost Impact of Proposed PBM Legislation" By Price Waterhouse Coopers, July 2004, https://www.pharmamedtechbi.com/~/media/Images/Publications/Archive/The%20Pink%20Sheet%20Daily/2004/7/14/1404071400 9/040719_pcma_report.pdf (Accessed 9/13/16), p. 16.

^{3 &}quot;Anthem, Inc. vs. Express Scripts, Inc." Complaint, p. 2.

⁴ Ibid.

^{5 &}quot;Anthem, Inc., against Express Scripts, Inc." Case No. 1:16-cv-02048-ER (S.D.N.Y, April 19, 2016), Answer and Counterclaims, p. 3, 10.

^{6 &}quot;Anthem, Inc. v. Express Scripts, Inc." Case No. 1:16-cv-02048-ER (S.D.N.Y, July 8, 2016), Notice of Motion to Dismiss, p. 1.

^{7 &}quot;Melbourne Municipal Firefighters' Pension Trust Fund v. Express Scripts Holding Company" Case No. 1:16-cv-03338-UA (S.D.N.Y., May 4, 2016), Complaint for Violations of the Federal Securities Law, p. 4.

^{8 &}quot;Burnett et al. v. Express Scripts, Inc., and Anthem, Inc." Case No. 1:16-cv-04948 (S.D.N.Y., June 24, 2016), Class Action Complaint, p. 2.

- Express Scripts Holding Company" Complaint for Violations of the Federal Securities Law, p. 2.
- 21 Bruce Japsen, March 22, 2016.
- 22 "Trone Health Services et al. vs. Express Scripts et al." Complaint, p. 1, 16-17.
- 23 Ibid, p. 13.
- 24 "Pharmacy" UnitedHealthcare, 2016, https://www.uhc.com/employer/pharmacy (Accessed 9/6/16).
- 25 "Cigna Pharmacy Benefit Management" Cigna, http://www.cigna.com/pharmacy-benefit-management/ (Accessed 9/7/16).
- 26 "Humana Pharmacy Solutions" Humana, https://www.humana.com/agent/products-andservices/pharmacy/solutions/ (Accessed September 7, 2016).
- 27 A combined Aetna-Humana would create a company with over 11% market share nationally based on total direct written premiums, while a combined Anthem-Cigna would possess approximately 9% market share based on the same measure. "2014 Market Share Reports: For the Top 125 Accident and Health Insurance Groups and Companies by State and Countrywide" National Association of Insurance Commissioners, 2015, http://www.naic.org/prod_serv/MSR-HB-15.pdf (Accessed 9/8/16), p. 15; "United States of America v. Anthem, Inc. and Cigna Corp." Case No. 1:16-cv-01493 (U.S.D.C., July 21, 2016), Complaint; "United States of America v. Aenta, Inc. and Humana, Inc." Case 1:16-cv-01494 (U.S.D.C., July 21, 2016), Complaint; "U.S. Sues to Block Anthema-Cigna and Aetna-Humana Mergers" By Leslie Picker and Reed Abelson, The New York Times, July 21, 2016,

- http://www.nytimes.com/2016/07/22/business/dealbook/us-sues-to-block-anthem-cigna-and-aetna-humana-mergers.html?_r=0 (Accessed 9/6/6).
- 28 Bruce Japsen, Forbes, March 22, 2016.
- 29 "United States of America et al. v. Anthem, Inc. and Cigna Corp." Complaint; "United States of America et al. v. Aetna, Inc. and Humana, Inc." Complaint. The states of California, Colorado, Connecticut, Georgia, Iowa, Maine, Maryland, New Hampshire, New York, Tennessee, and Virginia, along with the District of Columbia, joined the DOJ in suing to block the Anthem and Cigna merger, while the states of Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Iowa, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Virginia, along with the District of Columbia, joined the DOJ in suing to block the Aetna and Humana merger.
- 30 Bruce Japsen, March 22, 2016.
- 31 "Shining the Light on Non-Transparent PBM Cash Flows" By Robert I. Garis, et al., America's Pharmacist, Vol. 126, No. 11 (2004).
- 32 "PBM Competition in Pharmaceutical Supply Chain" By Panos Kouvelis, et al., Manufacturing and Service Operations Management, Vol. 17, No. 4 (Fall 2015), p. 512.; Robert I. Garis, et al., 2004.
- 33 Panos Kouvelis, et al., Fall 2015, p. 512; Robert I. Garis, et al., 2004
- 34 Panos Kouvelis, et al., Fall 2015, p. 512.
- 35 Ibid.
- 36 Ibid.
- 37 "Leveling the Playing Field in the Pharmacy Benefit Management Industry" By Allison Dabbs Garrett and Robert Garis, Valparaiso University Law Review, Vol. 42, No. 1 (Fall 2007), p. 10; Price Waterhouse Coopers, July 2004, p. 17.





(800)FYI - VALU

Providing Solutions in the Era of Healthcare Reform

Founded in 1993, HCC is a nationally recognized healthcare economic financial consulting firm

- HCC Home
- Firm Profile
- HCC Services
- HCC Experts
- Clients & Projects
- HCC News
- Upcoming Events
- Contact Us
- Email Us

HCC Services

- Valuation Consulting
- Commercial Reasonableness Opinions
- Commercial Payor Reimbursement Benchmarking
- <u>Litigation Support &</u> Expert Witness
- Financial Feasibility
 Analysis & Modeling
- <u>Intermediary</u> <u>Services</u>
- Certificate of Need
- ACO Value Metrics & Capital Formation
- Strategic Consulting
- <u>Industry Research</u> Services



Robert James Cimasi, MHA, ASA, FRICS, MCBA, CVA, CM&AA, serves as Chief Executive Officer of HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC), a nationally recognized healthcare financial and economic consulting firm headquartered in St. Louis, MO, serving clients in 49 states since 1993. Mr. Cimasi has over thirty years of experience in serving clients, with a professional focus on the financial and economic aspects of healthcare service sector entities including: valuation consulting and capital formation services; healthcare industry transactions including joint ventures, mergers, acquisitions, and divestitures; litigation support & expert testimony; and, certificate-of-need and other regulatory and policy planning consulting.

Mr. Cimasi holds a Master in Health Administration from the University of Maryland, as well as several professional designations: Accredited Senior Appraiser (ASA – American Society of Appraisers); Fellow Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (FRICS – Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors); Master Certified Business Appraiser (MCBA – Institute of Business Appraisers); Certified Valuation Analyst (CVA – National Association of Certified Valuators and Analysts); and, Certified Merger & Acquisition Advisor (CM&AA – Alliance of Merger & Acquisition Advisors). He has served as an expert witness on cases in numerous courts, and has provided testimony before federal and state legislative committees. He is a nationally known speaker on healthcare industry topics, and is the author of several books, the latest of which include: "The Adviser's Guide to Healthcare – 2nd Edition" [2015 – AICPA]; "Healthcare Valuation: The Financial Appraisal of Enterprises, Assets, and Services" [2014 – John Wiley & Sons]; "Accountable Care Organizations: Value Metrics and Capital Formation" [2013 - Taylor & Francis, a division of CRC Press]; and, "The U.S. Healthcare Certificate of Need Sourcebook" [2005 - Beard Books].

Mr. Cimasi is the author of numerous additional chapters in anthologies; books, and legal treatises; published articles in peer reviewed and industry trade journals; research papers and case studies; and, is often quoted by healthcare industry press. In 2006, Mr. Cimasi was honored with the prestigious "Shamnon Pratt Award in Business Valuation" conferred by the Institute of Business Appraisers. Mr. Cimasi serves on the Editorial Board of the Business Appraisals Practice of the Institute of Business Appraisers, of which he is a member of the College of Fellows. In 2011, he was named a Fellow of the Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (RICS). In 2016, Mr. Cimasi was named a "Pioneer of the Profession" as part of the recognition of the National Association of Certified Valuators and Analysts (NACVA) "Industry Titans" awards, which distinguishes those whom have had the greatest impact on the valuation profession.



Todd A. Zigrang, MBA, MHA, ASA, FACHE, is the President of HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC), where he focuses on the areas of valuation and financial analysis for hospitals, physician practices, and other healthcare enterprises. Mr. Zigrang has over 20 years of experience providing valuation, financial, transaction and strategic advisory services nationwide in over 1,000 transactions and joint ventures. Mr. Zigrang is also considered an expert in the field of healthcare compensation for physicians, executives and other professionals.

Mr. Zigrang is the co-author of "The Adviser's Guide to Healthcare – 2nd Edition" [2015 – AICPA], numerous chapters in legal treatises and anthologies, and peer-reviewed and industry articles such as: The Accountant's Business Manual (AICPA); Valuing Professional Practices and Licenses (Aspen Publishers); Valuation Strategies; Business Appraisal Practice; and, NACVA QuickRead. In addition to his contributions as an author, Mr. Zigrang has served as faculty before professional and trade associations such as the American Society of Appraisers (ASA); the National Association of Certified Valuators and Analysts (NACVA); Physician Hospitals of America (PHA); the Institute of Business Appraisers (IBA); the Healthcare Financial Management Association (HFMA); and, the CPA Leadership Institute.

Mr. Zigrang holds a Master of Science in Health Administration (MHA) and a Master of Business Administration (MBA) from the University of Missouri at Columbia. He is a Fellow of the American College of Healthcare Executives (FACHE) and holds the Accredited Senior Appraiser (ASA) designation from the American Society of Appraisers, where he has served as President of the St. Louis Chapter, and is current Chair of the ASA Healthcare Special Interest Group (HSIG).



John R. Chwarzinski, MSF, MAE, is Senior Vice President of HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC). Mr. Chwarzinski's areas of expertise include advanced statistical analysis, econometric modeling, as well as, economic and financial analysis. Mr. Chwarzinski is the co-author of peerreviewed and industry articles published in Business Valuation Review and NACVA QuickRead, and he has spoken before the Virginia Medical Group Management Association (VMGMA) and the Midwest Accountable Care Organization Expo.

Mr. Chwarzinski holds a Master's Degree in Economics from the University of Missouri – St. Louis, as well as, a Master's Degree in Finance from the John M. Olin School of Business at Washington University in St. Louis. He is a member of the St. Louis Chapter of the American Society of Appraisers, as well as a candidate for the Accredited Senior Appraiser designation from the American Society of Appraisers.



Jessica L. Bailey-Wheaton, Esq., is Vice President and General Counsel of HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC), where she conducts project management and consulting services related to the impact of both federal and state regulations on healthcare exempt organization transactions and provides research services necessary to support certified opinions of value related to the Fair Market Value and Commercial Reasonableness of transactions related to healthcare enterprises, assets, and services. Ms. Bailey is a member of the Missouri and Illinois Bars and holds a J.D., with a concentration in Health Law, from Saint Louis University School of Law, where she served as Fall Managing Editor for the Journal of Health Law & Policy.



Kenneth J. Farris, Esq., is an Associate at **HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS** (HCC), where he provides research services necessary to support certified opinions of value related to the Fair Market Value and Commercial Reasonableness of transactions related to healthcare enterprises, assets, and services, and tracks impact of federal and state regulations on healthcare exempt organization transactions. Mr. Farris is a member of the Missouri Bar and holds a J.D. from Saint Louis University School of Law, where he served as the 2014-2015 Footnotes Managing Editor for the *Journal of Health Law & Policy*.