

MedPAC Suggests Changes to Stark “In-Office Ancillary Services Exception”

The Medicare Patient Advisory Commission (MedPac), who advises Congress on Medicare payment issues, is turning their focus on what some claim is the overuse and increasing costs of radiation therapy, outpatient rehabilitation services (physical and occupational therapy and speech-language pathology services), diagnostic imaging, and laboratory tests. The reform suggestions being considered by MedPac would greatly reduce the number of physician offices covered by the Stark Law In-Office Exception, and would reduce the overall market for MRI, CT, and PET imaging for Medicare patients.¹

Currently, Stark Law prohibits any physician from referring a patient reimbursable under a federal program for any “designated health services” to another physician group or entity with which the physician has an ownership or compensation interest.² Under the In-Office Exception, owners and employees of group practices may refer Medicare patients within the group while still remaining compliant with Stark Law, subject to certain restrictions.³ Despite Stark’s attempt to limit physicians, the Medicare Physician Fee Schedule is based on a fee-for-service payment system, which promotes over-utilization of services. Additionally, under the In-Office exception, many physicians have invested in ancillary equipment leading to increased utilization.⁴ In 2008 Medicare paid \$104 million to multi-specialty physician groups for radiation therapy alone, an 84 percent increase from 2003. Similarly, reimbursement payments for outpatient rehabilitation increased 57 percent (\$1.4 billion to \$2.2 billion) over the same time period.⁵ Rapid growth along with reports that some diagnostic imaging and physical therapy services are not clinically appropriate has brought about concern about the equity and accuracy of physician payments.⁶ Since March of 2010, MedPac has been considering various methods to address the financial incentives of the fee-for-service model which are discussed in MedPac’s June 2010 report to Congress.

The following three options are under consideration as a means to reign in the growth of ancillary services: (1) limiting the types of services or groups covered by the In-Office Exception; (2) changing payment protocols to mitigate incentives to increase volumes; and, (3) using targeted pre-authorization requirements for advanced diagnostic imaging.⁷ Under the limiting of services

option, three levels of restriction are being deliberated. The most drastic limitation would exclude all outpatient therapy and radiation therapy from the In-Office Exception. Less severe, a second option would be limiting the exception to physician groups that can demonstrate clinical integration in order to balance the risk of overutilization with the benefits of “comprehensive and coordinated care.”⁸ Another approach would exclude diagnostic tests that are not generally provided on the same day as an office visit.⁹ The second option of reducing payment incentives would change Medicare payment policies by either reducing payment rates for diagnostic tests performed by physicians who would fall under the In-Office Exception to offset rising costs or improving payment accuracy for ancillary services and including such discrete services within larger payment bundles creating a single payment rate for one episode of care.¹⁰ The third option under consideration would require self-referring physicians with a history of relatively high utilization of advanced imaging services to participate in prior authorization programs for such services where CMS or contactor would review their requests.¹¹

MedPac has not initiated any policy recommendations to Congress, but is examining each of these options individually, and in combination, as each possesses various strengths and weaknesses. These possible reforms would have a broad impact, possibly; eliminating competition from multi-specialty physician groups, effecting mid level provider of outpatient services who provide care under incident to billing, and impacting where Medicare patients may receive care. Additionally, these suggestions would have a great impact on CMS itself as more clear definitions of; clinical integration, what designates high utilization as compared to peers, redefined payment policies, and timing of service may all be needed.¹² Whatever MedPac eventually suggests, it is unlikely that any reform would go into effect until 2012 at the earliest.¹³

¹ “Medpac Explores reform That Could Dramatically affect Competition for Radiation Therapy, Outpatient Rehab, Lab Test and Diagnostic Imaging” By Kate W. Feola, et al., McDermott Will & Emery, Newsletter (May 10, 2010), http://www.mwe.com/index.cfm/fuseaction/publications.nldetail/object_id/cb96b385-5ef4-4a73-a9b1-0360ba15e691.cfm (Accessed 6/14/10).

² “Financial Relationships Between Physicians and Entities Furnishing Designated Health Services” 69 Fed. Reg. 16126 Section

(Continued from previous page)

- 411.350(a) (March 26, 2004).
- ³ “General Exceptions to the Referral Prohibition Related to Both Ownership/Investment and Compensation” 69 Fed. Reg. 16126 Section 411.355(a) (March 26, 2004).
- ⁴ “Aligning Incentives in Medicare” Medicare Payment Advisory Commission, Report to Congress, Washington, D.C.: MedPac, June 2010, p. 214.
- ⁵ “MedPac Explores reform That Could Dramatically affect Competition for Radiation Therapy, Outpatient Rehab, Lab Test and Diagnostic Imaging” By Kate W. Feola, et al., McDermott Will & Emery, Newsletter (May 10, 2010), http://www.mwe.com/index.cfm/fuseaction/publications.nldetail/object_id/cb96b385-5ef4-4a73-a9b1-0360ba15e691.cfm (Accessed 6/14/10).
- ⁶ “Aligning Incentives in Medicare” Medicare Payment Advisory Commission, Report to Congress, Washington, D.C.: MedPac, June 2010, p. 213.
- ⁷ “Aligning Incentives in Medicare” Medicare Payment Advisory Commission, Report to Congress, Washington, D.C.: MedPac, June 2010, p. 224.
- ⁸ “Aligning Incentives in Medicare” Medicare Payment Advisory Commission, Report to Congress, Washington, D.C.: MedPac, June 2010, p. 227.
- ⁹ “Aligning Incentives in Medicare” Medicare Payment Advisory Commission, Report to Congress, Washington, D.C.: MedPac, June 2010, p. 227.
- ¹⁰ “Aligning Incentives in Medicare” Medicare Payment Advisory Commission, Report to Congress, Washington, D.C.: MedPac, June 2010, p. 228, 230.
- ¹¹ “Aligning Incentives in Medicare” Medicare Payment Advisory Commission, Report to Congress, Washington, D.C.: MedPac, June 2010, p. 231-32.
- ¹² “Aligning Incentives in Medicare” Medicare Payment Advisory Commission, Report to Congress, Washington, D.C.: MedPac, June 2010, p. 225, 226, 227, 228, 230, 232.
- ¹³ “Medpac Explores reform That Could Dramatically affect Competition for Radiation Therapy, Outpatient Rehab, Lab Test and Diagnostic Imaging” By Kate W. Feola, et al., McDermott Will & Emery, Newsletter (May 10, 2010), http://www.mwe.com/index.cfm/fuseaction/publications.nldetail/object_id/cb96b385-5ef4-4a73-a9b1-0360ba15e691.cfm (Accessed 6/14/10).



(800) FYI - VALU

*Providing Solutions
in the Era of
Healthcare Reform*

Founded in 1993, HCC is a nationally recognized healthcare economic financial consulting firm

- [HCC Home](#)
- [Firm Profile](#)
- [HCC Services](#)
- [HCC Experts](#)
- [Clients Projects](#)
- [HCC News](#)
- [Upcoming Events](#)
- [Contact Us](#)
- [Email Us](#)

HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC) is an established, nationally recognized healthcare financial and economic consulting firm headquartered in St. Louis, Missouri, with regional personnel nationwide. Founded in 1993, HCC has served clients in over 45 states, in providing services including: valuation in all healthcare sectors; financial analysis, including the development of forecasts, budgets and income distribution plans; healthcare provider related intermediary services, including integration, affiliation, acquisition and divestiture; Certificate of Need (CON) and regulatory consulting; litigation support and expert witness services; and, industry research services for healthcare providers and their advisors. HCC's accredited professionals are supported by an experienced research and library support staff to maintain a thorough and extensive knowledge of the healthcare reimbursement, regulatory, technological and competitive environment.



Robert James Cimasi, MHA ASA, CBA, AVA, CM&AA, President. Mr. Cimasi is a nationally recognized healthcare industry expert, with over 25 years experience in serving clients, in 49 states, with a professional focus on the financial and economic aspects of healthcare industry including: valuation consulting; litigation support & expert testimony; business intermediary and capital formation services; certificate-of-need and other regulatory and policy planning; and, healthcare industry transactions, joint ventures, mergers and divestitures.

Mr. Cimasi holds a Masters in Health Administration from the University of Maryland, and several professional certifications. He has been certified and has served as an expert witness on cases in numerous states, and has provided testimony before federal and state legislative committees.

Mr. Cimasi is a nationally known speaker on healthcare industry topics, is the author of several nationally published books, chapters, published articles, research papers and case studies, and is often quoted by healthcare industry press. Mr. Cimasi's latest book, "*The U.S. Healthcare Certificate of Need Sourcebook*", was published in 2005 by Beard Books. In 2006, Mr. Cimasi was honored with the prestigious "*Shannon Pratt Award in Business Valuation*" conferred by the Institute of Business Appraisers and was elevated to the Institute's College of Fellows in 2007.



Todd A. Zigrang, MHA, MBA, FACHE, Senior Vice-President. Mr. Zigrang has over twelve years experience in providing valuation, financial analysis, and provider integration services to HCC's clients nationwide. He has developed and implemented hospital and physician driven MSOs and networks involving a wide range of specialties; developed a physician-owned ambulatory surgery center; participated in the evaluation and negotiation of managed care contracts, performed valuations of a wide array of healthcare entities; participated in numerous litigation support engagements; created pro-forma financials; written

business plans and feasibility analyses; conducted comprehensive industry research; completed due diligence analysis; overseen the selection process for vendors, contractors, and architects; and, developed project financing.

Mr. Zigrang holds a Masters in Business Administration and a Master of Science in Health Administration from the University of Missouri at Columbia. He holds the Certified Healthcare Executive (CHE) designation from, and is a Diplomat of, the American College of Healthcare Executives and a member of the Healthcare Financial Management Association.



Anne P. Sharamitaro, Esq., Vice President. Ms. Sharamitaro focuses on the areas of Certificate of Need (CON); regulatory compliance, managed care, and antitrust consulting. Ms. Sharamitaro was admitted to the Missouri after graduating with J.D. and Health Law Certificate from St. Louis University School of Law. At St. Louis University, served as an editor and staff member of the *Journal of Health Law*, published by the American Health Lawyers Association. She has presented healthcare industry related research papers before Physician Hospitals of America (f/k/a American Surgical Hospital Association) and the National Association of Certified Valuation Analysts.



Rachel L. Seiler, MPH, is a Senior Research Associate at Health Capital Consultants (HCC). Ms. Seiler holds a Masters in Public Health at Saint Louis University. At HCC, Ms. Seiler serves as a Sr. Project Manager for consulting services providing research for financial and economic analyses related to provider, physician, and executive compensation; valuation of healthcare enterprises, assets and services; healthcare industry trends and medical specialty trends; market demographics and competition; and, various regulatory, reimbursement and technology topics related to health policy and economic trends

regarding a wide and diverse array of healthcare industry topics. Ms. Seiler was co-author of the study entitled "*Market Impact of Specialty Hospitals: A Study of the Profitability of General Short-Term Acute Care Hospitals*", published in the Winter 2008 edition of the *Journal of Health Care Finance*, and defended it at a poster presentation at Academy Health in Chicago.