Telemedicine: Professional Practice Standards (Part Three of a Four-Part Series)

As highlighted in Part Two of this four-part series on telemedicine, the growth in reimbursable telemedicine services has been widely varied across payor types, as well as across the United States. Much of this variance can be attributed to the current state of medical licensure rules for each state. While many state legislatures have debated increasing reimbursement for telemedicine services, ¹ state medical boards continue to impose restrictive regulations on telemedicine. ² The third installment in this Health Capital Topics' four-part series on telemedicine will examine today's shifting telemedicine licensure environment in light of the legislative trends and professional practice standards impacting healthcare delivery.

The Federation of State Medical Boards (FSMB) recently issued a Model Policy for the Appropriate Use of Telemedicine Technologies in the Practice of Medicine (Model Policy)³ requiring those practicing telemedicine to be licensed in the state where a patient is located.4 The FSMB's conservative position on telemedicine cites overriding concerns for patient welfare. ⁵ Many state medical boards and legislatures are debating the extent to which state laws and professional standards will embrace telemedicine. Only nine states extend some form of conditional or telemedicine licensure to out-of-state providers, down 10% since July 2014. Additionally, 19 states and the District of Columbia now require prior informed consent (which is largely attributable to state legislatures adopting the Model Policy language).9

Although telemedicine solutions such as video diagnosis and remote patient monitoring are bridging spatial divides, ¹⁰ professional practice standards have remained relatively rigid. ¹¹ Several states have begun exploring legislative solutions for relaxing telemedicine strictures—citing physician shortages and pressure to increase access to care under the ACA. ¹² Within the past year, over 25 states have considered various proposals to revise professional standards and licensure requirements for telemedicine. ¹³ Every state has a policy in place that hinders the practice of medicine across state lines. ¹⁴ Currently, D.C., Maryland, New York, and Virginia, are the only states that would allow licensure reciprocity from neighboring states. ¹⁵

Practitioners have cited "administrative burdens and time required for state licensing and hospital credentialing; reimbursement; and the cost of technology" as the three greatest barriers to the expansion of telemedicine. Of these barriers, telemedicine practitioners have stated that differing state licensing requirements most inhibit telemedicine expansion. The American Telemedicine Association echoed these concerns noting that professional licensure portability and practice standards pose significant challenges to greater telemedicine implementation. 18

Twelve states have adopted laws giving effect to the FSMB Compact, which expedites licensure, but still "requires physicians to obtain a separate license for each state." 19 Likewise, the Interstate Medical Licensure Compact (enacted in 12 states) creates a pathway to expedite the licensing of qualified physicians who wish to practice in multiple states.²⁰ Twenty-four states have signed onto a somewhat analogous agreement—the Nurse Licensure Compact (NLC).²¹ The NLC was launched in 2000, and has effectively allowed for nurses to practice in other NLC states physically, telephonically, and electronically.²² Mutual recognition has also piqued recent interest as a potential telemedicine licensure solution.²³ Successful mutual recognition models in medicine exist today in the European Community, Australia, the U.S. Veterans Administration, the U.S. military, and the Public Health Service.²⁴ Health law scholars have cited mutual recognition as a potential "workable solution" whereby states would enter into collaborative agreements to honor one another's physician licenses (much like they do with driver's licenses).²⁵ These models, among others, appear to hold promise for ensuring the quality of patient care while providing licensure for the telemedicine solutions of tomorrow.

Telemedicine proponents argue that today's medical licensure scheme has lost its necessity as all U.S. physicians must pass either the U.S. Medical Licensure Examinations or the Comprehensive Osteopathic Medical Licensing Examination. Commentators have further stated that borders are becoming less relevant, and many of today's state-by-state licensure requirements prevent patients from receiving critical *medical services that may be available...just across the*

state line." The American Telemedicine Association (ATA) has advanced the notion that rigid licensure requirements erect "economic trade barriers, restricting access to medical services and artificially protecting markets from competition."29 In addressing this question, health law observers have highlighted the Federal Trade Commission's (FTC's) recent attention to this issue.³⁰ Yet, a singular game-changing edict from the FTC appears unlikely as the commission recently issued a clarifying statement in response to wider anticompetitive concerns for state medical boards underscoring the fact that its guidance "does not suggest that states should actively supervise regulatory boards, nor does it recommend a one-size-fits-all approach. Instead, [the FTC] identified certain overarching legal principles governing when and how a state may provide active supervision for a regulatory board."31 Moreover, critics of twentieth-century state licensure requirements argue that inelastic standards have stymied the growth and innovation of telemedicine.³² Amidst these critiques are concerns that telemedicine will usher in disruptive market forces (e.g., bottomless new norms for patient encounters at unknown intervals with lower payor costs—driving down the value of clinical services).

Addressing the relationship between the quality of care and the emerging norms for remote clinical services may define new best practices, shape standards, and alleviate state medical boards' concerns regarding telemedicine. A recent national survey by the Robert Graham Center evaluated telemedicine developments in light of the *Triple Aim of Health Care's* (Triple Aim's)³⁴ goals of: (1) "improving the patient experience of care (including quality and satisfaction);" and (2) "improving the health of populations;" [emphasis added] while, (3) "reducing the per capita cost of health care." The survey noted:

"A variety of barriers must be overcome before [telemedicine] services can become a routine tool for primary care physicians. Guidelines for the use of [telemedicine] services in clinical practice, definitions of quality, and measurable outcomes must be established.³⁶

As health systems seek to meet the expansion of access goals of the *Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act* (ACA), telemedicine solutions appear to hold promise.³⁷ Yet, state boards and the FSMB demand that telemedicine satisfy long-held professional practice standards that:

"Place the welfare of patients first; Maintain acceptable and appropriate standards of practice; Adhere to recognized ethical codes governing the medical profession; Properly supervise non-physician clinicians; and Protect patient confidentiality." 38

Licensure regimes such as the FSMB Compact, Mutual Recognition, and the Interstate Medical Licensure

Compact appear to address these standards—and the ACA's concerns regarding patient welfare, privacy, and standards of care—while allowing for the telemedicine solutions of tomorrow that will advance the Triple Aim. The next article in this four-part series will further advance this analysis by exploring several technology, cost, and competition concerns across the developing telemedicine market.

- "State Telemedicine Gaps Analysis" By Latoya Thomas, et al., American Telemedicine Association, January 2016, http://www.americantelemed.org/docs/default-source/policy/2016_50-state-telehealth-gaps-analysis-md-physician-practices-licensure.pdf (Accessed 3/14/2016) p. 5.
- 2 See "Texas Medical Panel Votes to Limit Telemedicine Practices in State," By Abby Goodnough, April 10, 2015, http://www.nytimes.com/2015/04/11/us/texas-medical-panel-votes-to-limit-telemedicine-practices-in-state.html (Accessed 3/14/2016); "State-by-State Telemedicine Report Card Shows Mixed Results" By Heather Landi, Healthcare Informatics, February 1, 2016, http://www.healthcare-informatics.com/news-item/state-state-telemedicine-report-cards-shows-mixed-results (Accessed 3/14/2016).
- 3 See "Model Policy for the Appropriate Use of Telemedicine Technologies in the Practice of Medicine" Federation of State Medical Boards, 2014, https://www.fsmb.org/Media/Default/PDF/FSMB/Advocacy/FS MB_Telemedicine_Policy.pdf (Accessed 2/17/2016); and "Proposed patient-centered telemedicine policy raises licensing questions" By Andis Robeznieks, Modern Healthcare, March 20, 2014,
 - http://www.modernhealthcare.com/article/20140320/NEWS/303 209952 (Accessed 3/11/2016).
- 4 FSMB, 2014, p. 5.
- 5 Ibid, p. 3-4.
- 6 Latoya Thomas, et al., January 2016, p. 6-7.
- 7 Ibid, p. 11, listing Alabama, Louisiana, Minnesota, Nevada, New Mexico, Ohio, Oregon, Tennessee, and Texas--the same nine states listed in the ATA's 2015 Gaps Analysis.
- 8 "State Telehealth Policies and Reimbursement Schedules" Center for Connected Health Policy, September 2014, http://cchpca.org/sites/default/files/resources/Fifty%20State%20 Medicaid%20Report.09.2014_1.pdf (Accessed 3/16/2016) p. 8.
- 9 Latoya Thomas, et al., January 2016, p. 4.
- 10 See "Telehealth Services Becoming Popular with U.S. Consumers and Insurers" By Kylie Gumpert, Reuters, December 23, 2015, http://www.reuters.com/article/usa-healthcare-telemedicine-idUSL1N14B20B20151223 (Accessed 1/21/16); See, "CONNECT for Health Act" U.S. Senator Brian Schatz, 2016,
 - http://www.schatz.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/CONNECT%20for%20Health%20Act_One-Pager_02-10-16.pdf/ (Accessed 2/17/2016) citing remote patient monitoring showing benefits in quality care.
- 11 Latoya Thomas, et al., January 2016, p. 1-7; CCHPCA, September 2014, p. 8.
- 12 Abby Goodnough, April 10, 2015.
- 13 Latoya Thomas, et al., January 2016, p. 5.
- 14 *Ibid*, p. 4-5.
- 15 *Ibid*, p. 11.
- 16 Andis Robeznieks, March 20, 2014.
- 17 Ibid.
- 18 Latoya Thomas, et al., January 2016, p. 1.
- "Doctors Without State Borders: Practicing Across State Lines" By Robert Kocher, et al., Health Affairs, February 18, 2014, http://healthaffairs.org/blog/2014/02/18/doctors-without-state-borders-practicing-across-state-lines/ (Accessed 3/11/2016); Latoya Thomas, et al., January 2016, p. 11.
- 20 "Frequently Asked Questions about the Interstate Medical Licensure Compact" Interstate Medical Licensure Compact, http://licenseportability.org/faq/ (Accessed 3/11/2016).
- Robert Kocher, et al., February 18, 2014.

- 22 "Interstate Licensure for Telemedicine: The Time Has Come" By Mei Wa Kwong, JD, AMA Journal of Ethics, Vol. 16, No. 12 (December 2014) p. 1010.
- 23 See "Legal Impediments to the Diffusion of Telemedicine" University of Maryland School of Law, April 16, 2010, http://digitalcommons.law.umaryland.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?a rticle=2194&context=fac_pubs (Accessed 3/14/2016) p. 13, 22.
- 24 *Ibid*, p. 13-14
- 25 Ibid, p. 13, 22.
- Robert Kocher, et al., February 18, 2014.
- 27 Mei Wa Kwong, December 2014, p. 1012.
- 28 "State Telemedicine Gaps Analysis" By Latoya Thomas and Gary Capistrant, American Telemedicine Association, May 2015, http://www.americantelemed.org/docs/defaultsource/policy/50-state-telemedicine-gaps-analysis--physicianpractice-standards-licensure.pdf?sfvrsn=14 (Accessed 3/11/2016) p. 4-5.
- 29 *Ibid*.
- 30 Mei Wa Kwong, December 2014, p. 1011-1012.
- 31 "The when and what of active supervision" Federal Trade Commission, October 14, 2015, https://www.ftc.gov/newsevents/blogs/competition-matters/2015/10/when-what-activesupervision (Accessed 3/18/16).
- 32 Robert Kocher, et al., February 18, 2014.
- 33 See, "Cimasi: 'Wal-martization' of healthcare affecting physician practice valuations" Business Valuation Resources, 2010, http://www.bvwirenews.com/cimasi-%E2%80%9Cwal-

- martization% E2% 80% 9D-of-healthcare-affecting-physician-practice-valuations/ (Accessed 2/18/2016) referring to technology reducing the value of some physicians' services; *See also*, Kylie Gumpert, December 23, 2015, noting patients' costs of discrete telemedicine encounters appear to be trending lower than comparable traditional visits as a traditional doctor's visit costs approximately \$80.00, whereas video-based visits average under \$50.00
- 34 "The IHI Triple Aim" Institute for Healthcare Improvement, 2016.
 - http://www.ihi.org/Engage/Initiatives/TripleAim/Pages/default.a spx (Accessed 2/18/16); See, "Family Physicians and Telehealth: Findings from a National Survey" Robert Graham Center, October 3, 2015, http://www.graham-center.org/content/dam/rgc/documents/publications-reports/RGC% 202015% 20Telehealth% 20Report.pdf (Accessed 3/11/2016) p. 3; See also, "Telemedicine a 'Cornerstone' Solution to Triple Aim Efforts" By Katie Dvorak, Fierce HealthIT, August 27, 2014, http://www.fiercehealthit.com/story/ata-member-telemedicine-cornerstone-solution-triple-aim-efforts/2014-08-27 (Accessed 3/18/16) wherein telemedicine proponents argue that

telemedicine is "a 'cornerstone' solution to Triple Aim efforts."

- 5 Institute for Healthcare Improvement, 2016.
- 36 Robert Graham Center, October 3, 2015, p. 21.
- 37 Ibid. p. 3-4.
- 38 FSMB, 2014, p. 3-4.





(800) FYI - VALU

Providing Solutions in the Era of Healthcare Reform

Founded in 1993, HCC is a nationally recognized healthcare economic financial consulting firm

- HCC Home
- Firm Profile
- HCC Services
- HCC Experts
- Clients & Projects
- HCC News
- Upcoming Events
- Contact Us
- **Email Us**

HEALTH CAPITAL

CONSULTANTS (HCC) is an established, nationally recognized healthcare financial and economic consulting firm headquartered in St. Louis, Missouri, with regional personnel nationwide. Founded in 1993, HCC has served clients in over 45 states, in providing services including: valuation in all healthcare sectors; financial analysis, including the development of forecasts, budgets and income distribution plans; healthcare provider related intermediary services, including integration, affiliation, acquisition and divestiture; Certificate of Need (CON) and regulatory consulting; litigation support and expert witness services; and, industry research services for healthcare providers and their advisors. HCC's accredited professionals are supported by an experienced research and library support staff to maintain a thorough and extensive knowledge of the healthcare reimbursement, regulatory, technological and competitive environment.



Robert James Cimasi, MHA, ASA, FRICS, MCBA, CVA, CM&AA, serves as Chief Executive Officer of HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC), a nationally recognized healthcare financial and economic consulting firm headquartered in St. Louis, MO, serving clients in 49 states since 1993. Mr. Cimasi has over thirty years of experience in serving clients, with a professional focus on the financial and economic aspects of healthcare service sector entities including: valuation consulting and capital formation services; healthcare industry transactions including joint ventures, mergers, acquisitions, and divestitures; litigation support & expert testimony; and, certificate-of-need and other regulatory and policy planning consulting.

Mr. Cimasi holds a Master in Health Administration from the University of Maryland, as well as several professional designations: Accredited Senior Appraiser (ASA – American Society of Appraisers); Fellow Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (FRICS – Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors); Master Certified Business Appraiser (MCBA – Institute of Business Appraisers); Accredited Valuation Analyst (AVA – National Association of Certified Valuators and Analysts); and, Certified Merger & Acquisition Advisor (CM&AA – Alliance of Merger & Acquisition Advisors). He has served as an expert witness on cases in numerous courts, and has provided testimony before federal and state legislative committees. He is a nationally known speaker on healthcare industry topics, and is the author of several books, the latest of which include: "Adviser's Guide to Healthcare – 2nd Edition" [2015 – AICPA]; "Healthcare Valuation: The Financial Appraisal of Enterprises, Assets, and Services" [2014 – John Wiley & Sons]; "Accountable Care Organizations: Value Metrics and Capital Formation" [2013 - Taylor & Francis, a division of CRC Press]; and, "The U.S. Healthcare Certificate of Need Sourcebook" [2005 - Beard Books].

Mr. Cimasi is the author of numerous additional chapters in anthologies; books, and legal treatises; published articles in peer reviewed and industry trade journals; research papers and case studies; and, is often quoted by healthcare industry press. In 2006, Mr. Cimasi was honored with the prestigious "Shannon Pratt Award in Business Valuation" conferred by the Institute of Business Appraisers. Mr. Cimasi serves on the Editorial Board of the Business Appraisals Practice of the Institute of Business Appraisers, of which he is a member of the College of Fellows. In 2011, he was named a Fellow of the Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (RICS).



Todd A. Zigrang, MBA, MHA, ASA, FACHE, is the President of HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC), where he focuses on the areas of valuation and financial analysis for hospitals, physician practices, and other healthcare enterprises. Mr. Zigrang has over 20 years of experience providing valuation, financial, transaction and strategic advisory services nationwide in over 1,000 transactions and joint ventures. Mr. Zigrang is also considered an expert in the field of healthcare compensation for physicians, executives and other professionals.

Mr. Zigrang is the co-author of the "Adviser's Guide to Healthcare – 2nd Edition" [2015 – AICPA], numerous chapters in legal treatises and anthologies, and peer-reviewed and industry articles such as: The Accountant's Business Manual (AICPA); Valuing Professional Practices and Licenses (Aspen Publishers); Valuation Strategies; Business Appraisal Practice; and, NACVA QuickRead. In addition to his contributions as an author, Mr. Zigrang has served as faculty before professional and trade associations such as the American Society of Appraisers (ASA); the National Association of Certified Valuators and Analysts (NACVA); Physician Hospitals of America (PHA); the Institute of Business Appraisers (IBA); the Healthcare Financial Management Association (HFMA); and, the CPA Leadership Institute.

Mr. Zigrang holds a Master of Science in Health Administration (MHA) and a Master of Business Administration (MBA) from the University of Missouri at Columbia. He is a Fellow of the American College of Healthcare Executives (FACHE) and holds the Accredited Senior Appraiser (ASA) designation from the American Society of Appraisers, where he has served as President of the St. Louis Chapter, and is current Chair of the ASA Healthcare Special Interest Group (HSIG).



John R. Chwarzinski, MSF, MAE, is Senior Vice President of HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC). Mr. Chwarzinski's areas of expertise include advanced statistical analysis, econometric modeling, as well as, economic and financial analysis. Mr. Chwarzinski is the co-author of peerreviewed and industry articles published in *Business Valuation Review* and *NACVA QuickRead*, and he has spoken before the Virginia Medical Group Management Association (VMGMA) and the Midwest Accountable Care Organization Expo.

Mr. Chwarzinski holds a Master's Degree in Economics from the University of Missouri – St. Louis, as well as, a Master's Degree in Finance from the John M. Olin School of Business at Washington University in St. Louis. He is a member of the St. Louis Chapter of the American Society of Appraisers, as well as a candidate for the Accredited Senior Appraiser designation from the American Society of Appraisers.



Jessica L. Bailey-Wheaton, Esq., is Senior Counsel of HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC), where she conducts project management and consulting services related to the impact of both federal and state regulations on healthcare exempt organization transactions and provides research services necessary to support certified opinions of value related to the Fair Market Value and Commercial Reasonableness of transactions related to healthcare enterprises, assets, and services. Ms. Bailey is a member of the Missouri and Illinois Bars and holds a J.D., with a concentration in Health Law, from Saint Louis University School of Law, where she served as Fall Managing Editor for the Journal of Health Law & Policy.



Kenneth J. Farris, Esq., is a Research Associate at HEALTH CAPITAL CONSULTANTS (HCC), where he provides research services necessary to support certified opinions of value related to the Fair Market Value and Commercial Reasonableness of transactions related to healthcare enterprises, assets, and services, and tracks impact of federal and state regulations on healthcare exempt organization transactions. Mr. Farris is a member of the Missouri Bar and holds a J.D. from Saint Louis University School of Law, where he served as the 2014-2015 Footnotes Managing Editor for the *Journal of Health Law & Policy*.